## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS
LOK SABHA
UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 4067
TO BE ANSWERED ON 10 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ AUGUST, 2016

## IMPACT OF HIGH SPECTRUM PRICE ON MOBILE TARIFF

4067. SHRI JYOTIRADITYA M. SCINDIA:

SHRI KAMAL NATH:
Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:
(a) whether the reserve price for telecom spectrum auction fixed by the Government is too high which can result into hike in tariffs by various telecom companies;
(b) if so, the details thereof; and
(c) the measures taken by the Government to ensure that the high cost of spectrum price do not have cascading effect on mobile tariff?

## ANSWER <br> THE MINISTER OF STATE (IC) OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS \& MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

 (SHRI MANOJ SINHA)(a) \& (b) Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI), having taken into account the comments of various stakeholders received during consultation process, released its recommendation on "Valuation and Reserve Price of Spectrum in 700, 800, 900, 1800, 2100, 2300 and 2500 MHz bands" dated 27.1.2016 and subsequent response dated 18.4.2016 on back reference by the Government. The Government, after considering the above TRAI recommendations, has decided the reserve price for 700, 800, 900, 1800, 2100, 2300 and 2500 MHz bands. A detail of spectrum bands and their reserve price is at Annexure-I.
(c) It is expected that competition in the telecom sector may force operators not to increase the tariffs.

Reserve Price for Auction of Spectrum in Various Bands
Rupees in Crores per MHz

| Licensed Service <br> area (LSA) | Paired |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{M H z}$ |  |
|  | 1595 | 848 |  | 399 | 554 | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ |
| Mumbai | 1192 | 727 |  | 298 | 461 | 146 | 146 |
| Kolkata | 596 | 160 |  | 149 | 116 | 33 | 33 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 971 | 606 |  | 243 | 272 | 68 | 68 |
| Gujarat | 952 | 285 | 673 | 238 | 258 | 39 | 39 |
| Karnataka | 740 | 303 | 558 | 185 | 328 | 98 | 98 |
| Maharashtra | 1272 | 799 |  | 318 | 341 | 58 | 58 |
| Tamilnadu | 900 | 360 |  | 225 | 344 | 132 | 132 |
| Haryana | 186 | 57 | 151 | 47 | 55 | 8 | 8 |
| Kerala | 334 | 243 |  | 83 | 177 | 16 | 16 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 331 | 408 |  | 83 | 123 | 8 | 8 |
| Punjab | 308 | 119 |  | 77 | 91 | 21 | 21 |
| Rajasthan | 364 | 204 |  | 91 | 140 | 6 | 6 |
| U. P. (East) | 459 | 219 | 776 | 115 | 110 | 9 | 9 |
| U.P. (West) | 384 | 182 | 739 | 96 | 111 | 12 | 12 |
| West Bengal | 183 | 82 |  | 46 | 52 | 5 | 5 |
| Assam | 158 |  |  | 40 | 46 | 2 | 2 |
| Bihar | 248 | 136 | 444 | 62 | 86 | 6 | 6 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 64 | 24 |  | 16 | 20 | 1 | 1 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 52 |  |  | 13 | 11 | 1 | 1 |
| North East | 44 |  |  | 11 | 12 | 1 | 1 |
| Orissa | 152 | 57 |  | 38 | 38 | 4 | 4 |

