

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF PLANNING

LOK SABHA
UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 397
TO BE ANSWERED ON 27.11.2024

ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS

397 SHRI G KUMAR NAIK:

Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state:

- (a) the number of Aspirational Districts identified in each State under the Aspirational Districts Programme;
- (b) the details of total funds allocated, released and utilized by each State under the said programme till date;
- (c) whether State-specific variations in fund allocation and release have occurred and if so, the reasons therefor;
- (d) the progress and performance of Karnataka's Aspirational Districts during the last five years, based on socio-economic and development indicators, District-wise;
- (e) whether the Government has conducted any research or assessments on the program's implementation and its impact and if so, the findings thereof;
- (f) whether the Government plans to revise or introduce new indicators for assessing and identifying Aspirational Districts and if so, the details thereof; and
- (g) whether there is any plan to expand the number of Aspirational Districts and if so, the details thereof?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF STATE (INDEPENDENT CHARGE) OF THE MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION; MINISTER OF STATE (INDEPENDENT CHARGE) OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE

(RAO INDERJIT SINGH)

- (a) The number of Aspirational Districts identified in each State under Aspirational Districts Programme is provided as Annexure 1.
- (b) Under the Aspirational Districts Programme, districts undertake various developmental projects to address critical gaps using the financial grants they win through the programme's delta ranking method. The details of funds allocated, sanctioned and

utilized by each State under the Aspirational Districts Programme till date is provided as Annexure 2.

- (c) There are State-specific variations in the funds allocated under the Aspirational Districts Programme. This is because funding under the programme is based on the delta ranking method, which rewards districts for their monthly progress in the 49 Key Performance Indicators under the programme. The first and second overall rankers are awarded ₹10 crores and ₹5 crores, respectively, while the top-performing district in each of the five sectors receives ₹3 crores. In total, ₹30 crores is awarded monthly to the best-performing districts, irrespective of their states. Therefore, the total funds allocated to each state depend on the number of Aspirational Districts in that state and the consistency of performance achieved by those districts.
- (d) The Aspirational Districts Programme monitors the progress of Aspirational Districts on the basis of 49 Key Performance Indicators across sectors such as health, nutrition, education, agriculture, water resources, financial inclusion, skill development and basic infrastructure. The performance of Aspirational Districts of Karnataka in critical indicators is provided as Annexure 3. Detailed information regarding the performance of all Aspirational Districts is available on the Champions of Change Portal (<http://championsofchange.gov.in/site/coc-home/>), which is in the public domain.
- (e) There have been independent assessments of the functioning and impact of the Aspirational Districts Programme (ADP).
 - a. UNDP made a comprehensive appraisal of this programme
 - b. Institute for Competitiveness Assessment (a team of expert led by Prof. Michael Porter of Harvard Business school) made a detailed assessment of the programme

The UNDP report titled “Aspirational Districts Programme: An Appraisal,” submitted in December 2020, confirmed that significant progress has been made in various aspects such as sector-wise growth, better governance through convergence, and accelerated development through competitive federalism. This progress has been driven by factors including strong leadership, real-time monitoring, data-driven decision-making, and capacity building.

Additionally, the Institute for Competitiveness highlighted that nearly all districts in the ADP have shown improvement on key development parameters compared to the baseline, with the programme successfully advancing social impact and justice by targeting the benefits towards the most disadvantaged areas. The delta ranking system has played a crucial role in fostering a competitive and dynamic culture, which has motivated several low-performing districts to enhance their standings over the past three years.

- (f) & (g) Presently There are no plans to revise or introduce new indicators for assessing and identifying Aspirational Districts or to expand the number of Aspirational Districts.

ANNEXURE 1**ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (a) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 397 FOR 27.11.2024 BY SHRI G KUMAR NAIK REGARDING ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS****State Wise Distribution of Aspirational Districts**

S No	State	No of Aspirational Districts
1.	Andhra Pradesh	3
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	1
3.	Assam	7
4.	Bihar	13
5.	Chhattisgarh	10
6.	Gujarat	2
7.	Haryana	1
8.	Himachal Pradesh	1
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	2
10.	Jharkhand	19
11.	Karnataka	2
12.	Kerala	1
13.	Madhya Pradesh	8
14.	Maharashtra	4
15.	Manipur	1
16.	Meghalaya	1
17.	Mizoram	1
18.	Nagaland	1
19.	Odisha	10
20.	Punjab	2
21.	Rajasthan	5
22.	Sikkim	1
23.	Tamil Nadu	2
24.	Telangana	3

25.	Tripura	1
26.	Uttar Pradesh	8
27.	Uttarakhand	2
Total		112

ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (b) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 397 FOR 27.11.2024 BY SHRI G KUMAR NAIK REGARDING ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS

Details of funds allocated, sanctioned and utilized by each State under the Aspirational Districts Programme till date (FY 2018-2019 to FY 2024-25)

State	Amount Allocated (Awarded) (In INR Crore)	Amount Sanctioned (In INR Crore)	Amount Utilized (In INR Crore)
Andhra Pradesh	38.19	34.25	12.79
Arunachal Pradesh	20.06	20.06	15.61
Assam	142.83	122.06	79.93
Bihar	241.26	187.17	67.75
Chhattisgarh	127.62	114.12	74.61
Gujarat	28.32	22.26	11.70
Haryana	33.26	18.26	3.13
Himachal Pradesh	17.06	14.06	10.67
Jammu & Kashmir	31.12	27.97	14.74
Jharkhand	254.59	220.37	102.19
Karnataka	38.51	28.51	15.87
Kerala	19.06	18.06	7.79
Madhya Pradesh	107.01	93.50	56.85
Maharashtra	86.88	78.88	56.62
Manipur	23.26	20.26	15.30
Meghalaya	18.06	16.06	9.30
Mizoram	21.06	19.06	2.75
Nagaland	21.06	10.26	10.18
Odisha	155.02	126.01	67.29
Punjab	40.12	37.97	23.08
Rajasthan	72.36	59.34	27.20
Sikkim	23.06	14.06	10.95
Tamil Nadu	37.13	33.13	9.41
Telangana	39.66	31.66	17.09
Tripura	30.26	30.26	22.91
Uttar Pradesh	197.21	173.07	87.83
Uttarakhand	30.12	29.68	26.76
Total	1900.56	1600.39	860.31

ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (d) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 397 FOR 27.11.2024 BY SHRI G KUMAR NAIK REGARDING ASPIRATIONAL DISTRICTS

Status of Performance of Aspirational Districts of Karnataka

Sl no	Indicators	Raichur		Yadgir	
		Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
Health & Nutrition					
1.	Percentage of ANC registered within the first trimester against Total ANC Registration	85.37	99.86	76.05	100
2.	Percentage of pregnant women (PWs) registered for ANCs to total estimated pregnancies	100	101.59	100	100
3.	Percentage of pregnant women regularly taking Supplementary Nutrition under the ICDS programme	79.45	96.02	71.65	97.2
4.	Percentage of Pregnant women having severe anaemia treated, against PW having severe anaemia tested cases	71.19	100	72	100
5.	Sex Ratio at birth	882	990	868	992
6.	Percentage of institutional deliveries to total estimated deliveries	89.37	100	68.95	99.96

Sl no	Indicators	Raichur		Yadgir	
		Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
7.	Percentage of deliveries at home attended by an SBA (Skilled Birth Attendance) trained health worker to total home deliveries	100	100	21.43	100
8.	Percentage of newborns breastfed within one hour of birth	100	99.97	79.62	100
9.	Percentage of low birth weight babies (less than 2500g)	9.46	3.91	12.94	1.49
10.	Percentage of live babies weighed at birth	100	100	76.29	100
11.	Percentage of underweight children under 6 years	32.36	11.83	30.83	11.01
12.	Percentage of Severe Acute Malnourishment (SAM) in children under 6 years to total children under 6 years	0.75	0.1	0.58	0.27
13.	Percentage of Moderate Acute Malnutrition (MAM) in children under 6 years to total children under 6 years	31.62	11.73	30.25	7.57
14.	Percentage of children fully immunised (9-11 months) (BCG+ DPT3 + OPV3 + Measles1)	100	102.39	100	100
15.	Tuberculosis (TB) case notification rate (Public and Private Institutions) as against estimated cases	76.86	75.57	64.57	100
16.	TB treatment success rate among notified TB patients (public and private)	84.78	83.33	84.03	98.23

		Raichur		Yadgir	
Sl no	Indicators	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
17.	Proportion of functional FRUs (First Referral Units) against the norm of 1 per 500,000 population (1 per 300,000 in hilly areas)	100	100	33.33	100
18.	Proportion of specialist services available in district hospitals against IPHS norms	100	100	42.86	47.62
19.	Percentage of Anganwadis/UPHCs reported to have conducted at least one Village Health Sanitation & Nutrition day / Urban Health Sanitation & Nutrition day outreach in the last one month	76.79	100	94.66	100
20.	Proportion of Anganwadis with own buildings	55.86	65.34	67.89	72.01
Education					
21.	Toilet access: percentage schools with functional girls' toilets	87.82	99.46	95.59	96.23
22.	Percentage of schools with functional drinking water facility	68.21	99.88	98.48	100
23.	Percentage of schools with functional electricity facility at secondary level	100	100	90.16	100
24.	Percentage of elementary schools complying with RTE specified Pupil Teacher Ratio	90.54	100	66.6	97.09
Agriculture and Water resources					

		Raichur		Yadgir	
Sl no	Indicators	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
25.	Percentage of area under micro-irrigation	2.3	12.8	2.96	11.38
26.	No. of water bodies rejuvenated under MGNREGA during this period	908	13735	49	7753
27.	Certified quality seed distribution	47808	15635	4263	2132
28.	Number of Mandis in the District linked to Electronic Market	5	5		3
29.	Paddy (Common): Percentage change in Price Realization (defined as the difference between Farm Harvest Price (FHP) and Minimum Support Price (MSP))	-9.61	25.19	-38.39	-1.97
30.	Paddy (Grade A): Percentage change in Price Realization (defined as the difference between Farm Harvest Price (FHP) and Minimum Support Price (MSP))	18.87	52.61	-27.36	5.76
31.	Percentage of animals vaccinated	97.09	100	92.41	100
32.	Number of Soil Health Cards distributed	2400	13550	16500	10793
Financial Inclusion and Skill Development					
33.	Total disbursement of Mudra loan (in Crore rupees) per 1 lakh population	21.8093	41.6832	21.306	36.269
34.	Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana (PMJJBY): number of enrolments per 1 lakh population	3053	14889	1281	12938

		Raichur		Yadgir	
Sl no	Indicators	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
35.	Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY): number of enrolments per 1 lakh population	5570	27786	2696	25879
36.	Atal Pension Yojana (APY): number of beneficiaries per 1 lakh population	912	5353.04	466	4884.1
37.	Percentage of accounts seeded with Aadhaar to total bank accounts	83.5	93.5	83.4	93.9
38.	Number of accounts opened under Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana per 1 Lakh population	16010	33333.5	17280	32022
39.	Number of apprenticeships completing to total number of trainees registered on the portal	10.98	13.167		1.74
Basic Infrastructure					
40.	Percentage of gram panchayats with internet connection	80	100	19.51	79.51
41.	Percentage of habitations with access to all weather roads under PMGSY	89.19	92.8	68.99	100
42.	Cumulative number of kilometres of all-weather road work completed as a percentage of total sanctioned kilometres in the district under PMGSY	99.16	97.01	99.61	97.75
43.	Percentage coverage of establishment of Common Service Centres at Gram Panchayat level	48.33	100	55.28	100

		Raichur		Yadgir	
Sl no	Indicators	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)	Baseline Value (March 2018)	Current Value (March 2024)
44.	Percentage of pucca houses constructed for households that are shelterless or have one room with kuchha wall and roof or have 2 rooms with kuchha wall and roof	63.71	47.45	1.72	74.84

Note: The data for the Key Performance Indicators under the Aspirational Districts Programme is self-reported by the districts.
