GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF LAW & JUSTICE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 3267 TO BE ANSWERED ON FRIDAY, THE 05TH AUGUST, 2022

REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN JUDICIARY

3267. DR. KALANIDHI VEERASWAMY:

SHRI JANARDAN SINGH SIGRIWAL:

SHRI ANUMULA REVANTH REDDY:

SHRI SANJAY KAKA PATIL:

SHRI PASHUPATI NATH SINGH:

SHRIMATI VANGA GEETHA VISWANATH:

DR. SANJEEV KUMAR SINGARI:

SHRI RITESH PANDEY:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government has fixed any percentage of women as judges in the country and if so, the details thereof;
- (b) whether the representation of women judges in the lower, district and higher level of judiciary is very low as compared to men and if so, the details thereof along with their percentage of the total number of judges at present in the country and reasons therefor, State/UT-wise;
- (c) whether taking serious note of low representation of women in different level of judiciary in the country, the Government is considering reserving 50 percent seat in law schools/colleges to increase their representation in the judiciary and if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor; and
- (d) the details of steps taken by the Government since 2014 to increase the representation of women in the judiciary and by their enhanced inclusion, a sustainable improvement in the justice delivery system?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI KIREN RIJIJU)

(a) & (b): Appointment of Judges of the Supreme Court and High Courts is made under Articles 124, 217 and 224 of the Constitution of India, which do not provide reservation for any caste or class of persons. Hence no caste/category wise data is maintained centrally. In the present system of appointment of Judges to the constitutional courts through the Collegium system, the onus to provide social diversity and representation to all sections of the society including SC/ST/OBC/Women/ Minorities primarily falls on the Judiciary. Government cannot appoint any person as a High Court Judge who is not recommended by the High Court Collegium/ Supreme Court Collegium.

As on 29.07.2022, 04 women Judges out of 32 Judges in Supreme Court and 96 women Judges are working out of the working strength of 729 Judges, across various High Courts in the country. The detail is annexed as *Annexure-I*.

Under Article 235 of the Constitution of India, the administrative control over the members of district and subordinate judiciary in the States vest with the concerned High Court. Further, in exercise of powers conferred under proviso to Article 309 read with Articles 233 and 234 of the constitution, the respective State Government, in consultation with the High Court, frames the Rules and Regulations regarding the issue of appointment, promotion, reservations and retirement of Judicial Officers in the State Judicial Service. Hence, in so far as recruitment of judicial officers in the States is concerned, respective High Courts do it in certain States, while in other states, the High Courts do it in consultation with the State Public Service Commissions.

However, the Government remains committed to social diversity in the appointment of Judges in the Higher Judiciary and has been requesting the Chief Justices of High Courts

that while sending proposals for appointment of Judges, due consideration be given to suitable candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, Minorities and Women to ensure social diversity in appointment of Judges in High Courts. The details of working strength of women judges in District & Subordinate Courts is at *Annexure-II*.

(c) & (d): The National Level Common Law Admission Test (CLAT) is conducted by the consortium of NLUs for the admission to 5-year integrated LLB. 30% horizontal reservation shall be applicable to Female candidates in National Law Universities set up under the respective State Legislation. The Union Government does not have a role under the Constitution in the selection and appointment of judicial officers in District/ subordinate judiciary. The Supreme Court, in its orders of 04th January, 2007 in Malik Mazhar case, has devised a process and time frame to be followed for the filling up of vacancies in subordinate judiciary which stipulates that the process for recruitment of judges in the subordinate courts would commence on 31st March of a calendar year and end by 31st October of the same year. The Supreme Court has permitted State Governments / High Courts for variations in the time schedule in case of any difficulty based on the peculiar geographical and climatic conditions in the State or other relevant conditions.

Further, in compliance of the above directions of the Supreme Court, Department of Justice forwarded a copy of the Malik Mazhar judgement to Registrars General of all High Courts for necessary action. Department of Justice is writing from time to time to Registrars General of all High Courts to expedite the filling up of vacancies in subordinate judiciary mandated by Malik Mazhar case.

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (A) & (B) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 3267 FOR ANSWER ON 05.08.2022 REGARDING 'REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN JUDICIARY'.

•	
_	

S. No.	Name of the Court	Working Strength of Women Judges as on 25.07.2022	
Α.	Supreme Court	04	
В.	High Court		
1	Allahabad	05	
2	Andhra Pradesh	04	
3	Bombay	08	
4	Calcutta	07	
5	Chhattisgarh	01	
6	Delhi	12	
7	Gauhati	02	
8	Gujarat	06	
9	Himachal Pradesh	02	
10	J & K and Ladakh	02	
11	Jharkhand	01	
12	Karnataka	05	
13	Kerala	06	
14	Madhya Pradesh	03	
15	Madras	12	
16	Manipur	00	
17	Meghalaya	00	
18	Orissa	01	
19	Patna	00	
20	Punjab & Haryana	07	
21	Rajasthan	02	
22	Sikkim	01	
23	Telangana	09	
24	Tripura	00	
25	Uttarakhand	00	
	Total (B)	96	

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (A) & (B) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 3267 FOR ANSWER ON 05.08.2022 REGARDING 'REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN IN JUDICIARY'.

Statement showing the details of working strength of Women Judges in District & Subordinate Courts as on 25.07.2022

SI.	States &UTs	Civil Judge	Civil Judge	District Judge
No.		(Junior Division)	(Senior Division)	
1	Andhra Pradesh	123	55	45
2	Arunachal Pradesh	7	4	1
3	Delhi	166	20	95
4	Karnataka	149	120	89
5	Puducherry	2	0	3
6	Rajasthan	260	121	126
7	Tamil Nadu	216	100	112
8	Nagaland	6	2	7
9	Telangana	131	36	50
10	D & N Haveli	0	0	0
11	Daman & Diu	0	0	0
12	Goa	15	8	5
13	Maharashtra	346	139	112
14	Sikkim	0	0	0
15	Meghalaya	14	9	9
16	Manipur	5	10	4
17	Mizoram	13	2	6
18	Assam	120	61	21
19	Bihar	256	33	38
20	Chandigarh	7	0	4
21	Chhattisgarh	99	40	44
22	Gujarat	104	74	50
23	Haryana	70	59	52
24	Himachal Pradesh	36	11	8
25	Jammu and Kashmir	37	24	8
26	Kerala	125	39	42
27	Ladakh	1	2	0
28	Lakshadweep	0	0	0
29	Madhya Pradesh	300	133	103
30	Odisha	185	114	45
31	Punjab	156	59	60
32	Tripura	20	14	4
33	Uttar Pradesh	404	170	220
34	Uttarakhand	51	33	22
35	Jharkhand	85	39	10
36	Andaman and Nicobar	0	0	0
37	West Bengal	210	80	40
	TOTAL	3719	1611	1435