

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
DEPARTMENT FOR PROMOTION OF INDUSTRY AND INTERNAL TRADE
LOK SABHA**

**UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2792.
TO BE ANSWERED ON WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST, 2022.**

BRAP

**2792. SHRI MANOJ KOTAK:
SHRIMATI RAKSHA NIKHIL KHADSE:**

Will the Minister of **COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY** be pleased to state:

वाणिज्य एवं उद्योग मंत्री

- (a) whether the Union Government has started Business Reforms Action Plan (BRAP) in the year 2015 for ranking of States and UTs on ease of doing business;
- (b) if so, the details thereof and the ranking of States in the last four years;
- (c) the details of the methodology used for these rankings;
- (d) whether any change has been observed by the Government on the performance of the States, based on rankings; and
- (e) if so, the details thereof?

ANSWER

वाणिज्य एवं उद्योग मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री सोम प्रकाश)

**THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
(SHRI SOM PARKASH)**

- (a) & (b):** Yes, Sir. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), in consultation with the State Governments, started a comprehensive reform ranking exercise called Business Reforms Action Plan (BRAP) in the year 2015 and so far, five editions of assessment have been released. The year-wise rankings of States/UTs during last 4 editions of the BRAP are given at **Annexure**.
- (c):** The methodologies adopted for assessment of States/UTs during last 4 editions of the BRAP are tabulated below:-

Name of Exercise	Assessment Methodology
Business Reforms Action Plan, 2016	Evidence-based Assessment Methodology: States/UTs were evaluated on the basis of assessment of evidences submitted by them across all the reform points. Accordingly, weight-age of 100 % was assigned to

	evidence-based assessment while calculating the overall score of the States/UTs.
Business Reforms Action Plan, 2017-18	Evidence and Feedback-based Assessment Methodology: States/UTs were evaluated on the basis of both the assessment of evidences submitted by them across all the reform points and the feedback score obtained by them across selected reform points. Feedback was obtained from actual users of service offered by respective States/UTs. Accordingly, weight -ages of 89.50% and 10.50% were assigned to evidence-based assessment and feedback-based assessment respectively, while calculating the overall score of the States/UTs.
Business Reforms Action Plan, 2019	Feedback-based Assessment Methodology: States/UTs were evaluated entirely on the basis of feedback score obtained by them across all the reform points. Feedback was obtained from the users of service offered by respective States/UTs. Accordingly, weight-age of 100 % was assigned to feedback-based assessment while calculating the overall score of the States/UTs.
Business Reforms Action Plan, 2020	

(d) & (e): The assessment and rankings of States/UTs under BRAP reflects that States/UTs have participated positively by putting in efforts for implementation of the various reforms listed under the Action Plan relating to the business ecosystems in their regions and along with, also attempted to learn and adopt best practices across different States/UTs.

ANNEXURE**ANNEXURE REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (a) & (b) OF LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2792 FOR 03.08.2022.**

The year-wise assessment and rankings of States/UTs during last 4 editions of the Business Reforms Action Plan (BRAP) are tabulated below:-

S. No.	States/UTs	Assessment and Rankings of States/UTs			
		BRAP, 2016	BRAP, 2017-18	BRAP, 2019	BRAP, 2020
1	Andhra Pradesh	1	1	1	Top Achievers
2	Arunachal Pradesh	31	34	29	Not Evaluated
3	Assam	24	17	20	Aspirers
4	Bihar	16	18	26	Emerging Business Ecosystems
5	Chhattisgarh	4	6	6	Aspirers
6	Goa	21	19	24	Aspirers
7	Gujarat	3	5	10	Top Achievers
8	Haryana	6	3	16	Top Achievers
9	Himachal Pradesh	17	16	7	Achievers
10	Jharkhand	7	4	5	Aspirers
11	Karnataka	13	8	17	Top Achievers
12	Kerala	20	21	28	Aspirers
13	Madhya Pradesh	5	7	4	Achievers
14	Maharashtra	10	13	13	Achievers
15	Manipur	28	32	29	Emerging Business Ecosystems
16	Meghalaya	31	34	29	Emerging Business Ecosystems
17	Mizoram	29	30	25	Not Evaluated
18	Nagaland	26	28	29	Emerging Business Ecosystems
19	Odisha	11	14	29	Achievers
20	Punjab	12	20	19	Top Achievers
21	Rajasthan	8	9	8	Aspirers
22	Sikkim	30	33	29	Not Evaluated
23	Tamil Nadu	18	15	14	Top Achievers
24	Telangana	1	2	3	Top Achievers

25	Tripura	22	25	29	Emerging Business Ecosystems
26	Uttar Pradesh	14	12	2	Achievers
27	Uttarakhand	9	11	11	Achievers
28	West Bengal	15	10	9	Aspirers
29	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	31	31	22	Emerging Business Ecosystems
30	Chandigarh	31	29	29	Emerging Business Ecosystems
31	Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu	Dadra and Nagar Haveli-25	Dadra and Nagar Haveli-26	Dadra and Nagar Haveli-23	Emerging Business Ecosystems
		Daman and Diu-23	Daman and Diu-24	Daman and Diu-18	
32	Delhi	19	23	12	Emerging Business Ecosystems
33	Jammu & Kashmir	31	22	21	Emerging Business Ecosystems
34	Ladakh	No separate rankings for Ladakh, as it was part of Jammu & Kashmir until its reorganization occurred in August, 2019			Not Evaluated
35	Lakshadweep	31	34	15	Not Evaluated
36	Puducherry	26	27	27	Emerging Business Ecosystems

Note:

(i) States/UTs were categorized into 4 Categories based on assessment under BRAP, 2020.

(ii) Arunachal Pradesh, Ladakh, Lakshadweep, Mizoram and Sikkim could not be evaluated due to insufficient User Data under BRAP, 2020.
