## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

## LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO.4386 TO BE ANSWERED ON 19<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2019

### **ADULTERATION IN MILK**

#### 4386. SHRI RAMESHBHAI LAVJIBHAI DHADUK:

Will the Minister of **HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE** be pleased to state:

(a) the action taken till date to check adulteration in milk and to penalise the criminals involved in it by Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) on the instruction of Hon'ble Supreme Court, State/UT-wise; and

(b) the details of action taken to implement the quality/norms of imported food articles and dairy items strictly by FSSAI?

## ANSWER THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI ASHWINI KUMAR CHOUBEY)

(a): In compliance of directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide its Order dated 05.08.2016 in the matter of WP (C) No.159 of 2012 titled as Swami Achyutanand Tirth &Ors. v/s Union of India & Ors. pertaining to checking the menace of adulterated milk, Food Safety and Standards Authority of India has advised States/UTs to comply with the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Further, FSSAI has carried out a Nationwide Qualitative Screening of milk samples for on-spot qualitative and quantitative analysis of milk samples for quality parameters viz. FAT, Solid not Fat (SNF), added water, protein content and safety parameters viz. 13 adulterants, antibiotics, pesticides and aflatoxin M1.

The survey was initiated in May, 2018 with a sample size of 6432 samples taken from 1100 towns across all 29 States and 7 Union Territories for qualitative and quantitative analysis. Scope of National Milk Quality Survey(NMQS) 2018 covered 2 quality parameters (Fat & SNF), 12 common adulterants; and 4 contaminants (antibiotics, pesticides, aflatoxin M1, Aluminium Phosphate). Of the total 6432 samples, 41% (2607) were of processed milk and remaining 59% (3825) were of raw milk. Of the processed milk, 60% were toned milk, 20% full-cream milk, 15% standard milk and 5% double toned milk. Of the raw milk, one third each were samples of cow, buffalo and mixed milk. All the 6432 samples were collected and analysed qualitatively immediately onsite in mobile vans and nearly one-third of the samples that indicated possible adulteration or contamination for safety parameters were sent to the laboratory and analysed quantitatively.

As per the report released in November, 2018, about 39% of milk samples were noncompliant with reference to quality parameters [fat, Solid not-fat (SNF), sugar and maltodextrin] but were without any safety issues. 9.9% samples were found to be unsafe for consumption due to presence of harmful contaminants in excess of specified limits coming mainly from poor quality of feed, irresponsible use of antibiotics and poor farm practices.

As implementation and enforcement of FSS Act, 2006 primarily lies with State/UT Governments, regular surveillance, monitoring and inspection are being carried out by the Officials of Food Safety Departments of the respective States/ UTs to check compliance of the provisions laid down under Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, and the rules and regulations made thereunder.

Accordingly, in order to ensure the availability of good quality foodstuffs including milk and milk products to the consumers and for keeping a check on the problems of food adulteration in the country, the state food authorities have been advised from time to time to keep a strict vigil by regularly drawing food samples from all sources viz. manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers and to take strict action against the offenders under the provisions of FSS Act, 2006. In cases where samples are found to be non-conforming to the provisions of FSS Act, 2006, and Rules and regulations made thereunder, penal action has been initiated against the defaulting FBOs as per the provisions of FSS Act, 2006, and Rules and Regulations made thereunder.

With regards to imported food products, import of only standardized food products or the food products which are approved by Food Authority is allowed.

As per information received from State/UT Governments, the number of milk samples analyzed, found non-conforming and action taken thereon for the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 is at **Annexure-I and Annexure-II**.

(b): FSSAI is present at six Ports viz. Chennai, Mumbai, Kolkata, Delhi, Kochi and Tuticorin covering 20 points of entry for checking compliance of imported food with the standards specified by FSSAI under Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 and Rules and Regulations made thereunder. The food articles when referred to FSSAI's Food Import Clearance System (FICS) for clearance by the Customs Authorities are subjected to scrutiny of documents, Visual inspection, sampling and testing in order to determine whether or not they conform to the safety and Standards Regulations. If sample is found conforming, then No Objection Certificate (NOC) is generated and if not conforming, then Non-Conforming Report (NCR) is generated. Further, risk based sampling called Risk Management System (RMS) is in operation at Customs Single Window Interface for Facilitating Trade (SWIFT). Therefore, selected Bills of Entry are referred to FSSAI's FICS system from Customs for clearance.

There are another 396 locations throughout the country where Customs officers have been notified as Authorised Officers by FSSAI for food import clearance to ensure that the imported food comply with norms and standards specified by FSSAI.

It is also mentioned that on the recommendation of FSSAI, Ministry of Commerce and Industry vide Notification dated 23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2019 have extended the ban on import of milk and milk products including chocolates and chocolate products and candies/confectionary/food preparations with milk & milk solids as ingredients from China until the capacity of all laboratories at ports of entry have been suitably upgraded for testing melamine.

# Annexure-I

	Annual Put	lic Laboratory Testing I	Report for Mi	lk for the	e year 2017-18				
State	No. of Samples Analyzed	No. of Samples found Adult. & Misbranded	No. of Cases Launched		No. of Convictions / Penalties				
			Criminal	Civil	Convictions	Penalties/	penalties		
						no.	amount		
A & N Islands	76	44	-	-	-	-	-		
Andhra Pradesh	171	18	03	09	09	07	285000		
Arunachal	12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Assam	63	08	0	03	02	02	12,000		
Bihar	125	-	-	-	-				
Chandigarh	10	03	0	02	-	-	10,000		
Chhattisgarh	41	12	0	03		01	15,000		
Dadra & N.H	21	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Daman & Diu	03	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Goa	119	0	-	-	-	-	-		
Gujarat	548	75	02	43	42	42	11,28,500		
Haryana	123	21	-	25	-	31	2,65,500		
Himachal	08	03	01	01	0	02	45,000		
Jammu &	518	228		220	82	149	8,78,400		
Kashmir						140			
Jharkhand	07	04		-	-	-	-		
Karnataka	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Kerala	153	15		16	7	8	1,57,000		
Lakshadweep	NIL								
Maharashtra	2,030	311	0	145	20	49	5,60,000		
Manipur	102	26	-	-	-	-	-		
Meghalaya	25	-		-	-	-	-		
Nagaland	24	18	-	-	-	-	-		
Odisha	08	03			-	-	-		
Puducherry	164	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Punjab	1420	485	01	175	02	112	6,24,150		
Rajasthan	559	85	19	25	0	23	38,000		
Sikkim*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Tamil Nadu	393	101	07	63	64	65	4,19,000		
Tripura	08	02	-	-	-	-	-		
Uttar Pradesh	5,042	2,631	05	2,000	778	1,078	25,965,00		
Uttarakhand	214	50	-	-	-	-	-		
West Bengal	11	07	_	-	-	-	-		

Source: States/UTs;

## Annexure-II

Ann	ual Public La	boratory Testin	g Report f	or Milk	for the year 20	18-19		
State	No. of Samples Analyzed	No. of Samples found Adult. & Misbranded	No. of Cases Launched		No. of Convictions / Penalties			
			Criminal	Civil	Convictions	Penalties/ no.	penalties amount	
A & N Islands	03	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arunachal Pradesh	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Assam	58	13	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandigarh	31	01	0	01	01	0	25000	
Chhattisgarh	164	103	-	-	-	-	-	
Dadra & N.H	10	07	-	-	-	-	-	
Goa	88	01	-	-	-	-	-	
Gujarat	1160	118	01	63	41	80	1623000	
Haryana	164	25	01	27	0	21	349560	
Himachal Pradesh	13	05	0	04	05	09	102000	
Jammu & Kashmir	410	71	0	89	69	69	357600	
Jharkhand	17	06	-	-	-	-	-	
Karnataka	189	27	-	-	-	-	-	
Maharashtra	741	175	01	119	17	32	798000	
Manipur	59	04	-	-	-	-	-	
Meghalaya	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nagaland	03	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Odisha	08	02	-	-	-	-	-	
Puducherry	121	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Tamil Nadu	847	157	03	93	97	125	1494000	
Tripura	03	01	-	-	-	-	-	

Source: States/UTs;