#### GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND FARMERS WELFARE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, COOPERATION & FARMERS WELFARE

#### **LOK SABHA STARRED QUESTION NO. 333** TO BE ANSWERED ON THE 16<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2019

### FARMERS LIVING BELOW POVERTY LINE

\*333. SHRI SUKHBIR SINGH JAUNAPURIA:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE AND FARMERS WELFARE कृषि एवं किसान कल्याण मंत्री be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government has conducted any survey to evaluate the number of farmers

living Below the Poverty Line (BPL);

- (b) if so, the details thereof, State-wise;
- (c) the details of the welfare schemes introduced by the Government for farmers during the

last three years, scheme and State-wise; and

(d) the number of farmers who got benefited from such schemes?

#### ANSWER

MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE AND FARMERS WELFARE

कृषि	एवं	किसान	कल्याण	मंत्री		(SH	IRI NARENDRA	SINGH TOMAR)
	<i>.</i>				 <b>—</b>	e		

(a) to (d): A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

# STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (a) TO (d) OF LOK SABHA STARRED QUESTION NO. 333 DUE FOR REPLY ON 16<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2019.

(a) & (b): No Sir, separate estimate on the number of farmers living Below Poverty Line is not available. Government conducts large sample surveys through NSSO for periodical estimation of population living Below Poverty Line. According to the erstwhile Planning Commission's estimates based on Consumption Expenditure Survey (2011-12) conducted by National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), the rural population living Below Poverty Line (BPL) was 216.65 million in 2011-12. A statement on state wise population Below Poverty Line is in Annexure-I.

(c) & (d): The Government has introduced Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi (PM-KISAN) Scheme to provide an assured income support to farming community in the interim budget for 2019-20. Earlier, under PM-KISAN, landholding farmer families, having cultivable land upto 2 hectares, were provided direct income support at the rate of Rs. 6,000 per year in three instalments of Rs. 2000 each. The 1st instalment under the Scheme has already been credited into the bank accounts of 35,603,344 beneficiaries and 2nd instalment to 31.077.444 beneficiaries as on 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019. State-wise details of beneficiaries under the scheme is given at Annexure-II. Lately, the ambit of the Scheme has been expanded to cover all farmers in the country irrespective of the size of their land holdings, subject to all other existing exclusions. With expansion of the ambit of the scheme, the expected expenditure of the Scheme for the financial year 2019-20 has been revised to Rs.87,217.50 crore, including the administrative charges of Rs.217.50 crore. Further, the Government has approved a pension scheme for all Small and Marginal Farmers (SMF) in the country, subject to certain exclusion clauses, with a view to provide them social security net as they have minimal or no savings to provide for old age and to support them in the event of consequent loss of livelihood. The scheme provides for payment of a minimum fixed pension of Rs.3,000/- per month to the eligible farmers on attaining the age of 60 years.

Besides, Government is implementing many other schemes for the upliftment of farmers, such as, National Food Security Mission, Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana, Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana, Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana, Pradhan Mantri Annadata Aay Sanrakshan Abhiyan (PM-AASHA), e-NAM (National Agriculture Market), Soil Health Card Scheme, National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture.

## Annexure in reference to Reply to Part (a) & (b) of the Lok Sabha Starred Question No. 333 due for reply on 16- 07-2019.

		Rural		Urban		Total	
			No. Of		No. of		No. of
C No	States		Persons		Persons		Persons
S.No	States	Persons	(lakhs)	Persons	. ,		(lakhs)
1	Andhra Pradesh	10.96		5.81	16.98	9.20	
2	Arunachal Pradesh	38.93		20.33	0.66	34.67	
3	Assam	33.89		20.49	9.21	31.98	
4	Bihar	34.06		31.23	37.75	33.74	
5	Chhattisgarh	44.61		24.75	15.22	39.93	
6	Delhi	12.92		9.84	16.46	9.91	
7	Goa	6.81	0.37	4.09	0.38	5.09	
8	Gujarat	21.54		10.14		16.63	
9	Haryana	11.64	-	10.28	9.41	11.16	
10	Himachal Pradesh	8.48		4.33	0.30	8.06	
11	Jammu & Kashmir	11.54		7.20	2.53	10.35	
12	Jharkhand	40.84	104.09	24.83	20.24	36.96	124.33
13	Karnataka	24.53	92.80	15.25	36.96	20.91	129.76
14	Kerala	9.14	15.48	4.97	8.46	7.05	23.95
15	Madhya Pradesh	35.74	190.95	21.00	43.10	31.65	234.06
16	Maharashtra	24.22	150.56	9.12	47.36	17.35	197.92
17	Manipur	38.80	7.45	32.59	2.78	36.89	10.22
18	Meghalaya	12.53	3.04	9.26	0.57	11.87	3.61
19	Mizoram	35.43	1.91	6.36	0.37	20.40	2.27
20	Nagaland	19.93	2.76	16.48	1.00	18.88	3.76
21	Odisha	35.69	126.14	17.29	12.39	32.59	138.53
22	Punjab	7.66	13.35	9.24	9.82	8.26	23.18
23	Rajasthan	16.05	84.19	10.69	18.73	14.71	102.92
24	Sikkim	9.85	0.45	3.66	0.06	8.19	0.51
25	Tamil Nadu	15.83	59.23	6.54	23.40	11.28	82.63
26	Tripura	16.53	4.49	7.42	0.75	14.05	5.24
27	Uttarakhand	11.62	8.25	10.48	3.35	11.26	11.60
28	Uttar Pradesh	30.40	479.35	26.06	118.84	29.43	598.19
29	West Bengal	22.52	141.14	14.66	43.83	19.98	184.98
30	Puducherry	17.06	0.69	6.30	0.55	9.69	1.24
6.4	Andaman & Nicobar	4					
31	Islands	1.57		0.00	0.00	1.00	
32	Chandigarh	1.64		22.31	2.34		
33	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	62.59		15.38			
34	Daman & Diu	0.00		12.62			
35	Lakshadweep	0.00		3.44			
	All India	25.70	2166.58	13.70	531.25	21.92	2697.83

Number and Percentage of Population below poverty line by states - 2011-12.

Notes: 1. Population as on 1st March 2012 has been used for estimating number of persons below poverty line. (2011 Census population extrapolated)

2. Urban Poverty Line of Punjab has been used for both rural and urban areas of Chandigarh.

3. Poverty Line of Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Goa and Kerala has been used for Andaman and Nicobar Island, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman & Diu and Lakshadweep respectively.

**Source:** Planning Commission's Report of the Expert Group to Review the Methodology for Measurement of Poverty.

### Annexure in reference to Reply to Part (c) (d) of the Lok Sabha Starred Question No. 333 due for reply on 16- 07-2019

States	First instalment Payment (Number)	First instalment Payment (Amount) (In Rs)	Second instalment Payment (Number)	Second instalment Payment (Amount) (In Rs)	
Andaman and					
Nicobar Islands	10,004	2,00,08,000		0	
Andhra Pradesh	34,43,116	6,88,62,32,000	31,41,105	6,28,22,10,000	
Arunachal Pradesh	0	0		0	
Assam	12,51,073	2,50,21,46,000	10,44,820	2,08,96,40,000	
Bihar	8,38,362	1,67,67,24,000	2,19,141	43,82,82,000	
Chandigarh	13	26,000		0	
Chhattisgarh	3,41,677	68,33,54,000	1,11,768	22,35,36,000	
Dadra and Nagar					
Haveli	5,322	1,06,44,000		0	
Daman and Diu	2,423	48,46,000	2,106	42,12,000	
Delhi	0	0		0	
Goa	2,385	47,70,000	2,336	46,72,000	
Gujarat	28,41,881	5,68,37,62,000	28,32,614	5,66,52,28,000	
Haryana	9,82,987	1,96,59,74,000	9,35,929	1,87,18,58,000	
Himachal Pradesh	5,40,949	1,08,18,98,000	4,40,003	88,00,06,000	
Jammu and Kashmir	5,41,029	1,08,20,58,000	4,62,497	92,49,94,000	
Jharkhand	5,44,140	1,08,82,80,000	3,98,951	79,79,02,000	
Karnataka	7,67,098	1,53,41,96,000	3,03,684	60,73,68,000	
Kerala	11,41,821	2,28,36,42,000	9,31,661	1,86,33,22,000	
Lakshdweep	0	0	-,,	0	
Madhya Pradesh	9,304	1,86,08,000		0	
Maharashtra	22,87,581	4,57,51,62,000	15,02,217	3,00,44,34,000	
Manipur	7,276	1,45,52,000		0	
Meghalaya	76	1,52,000		0	
Mizoram	24,410	4,88,20,000	11,931	2,38,62,000	
Nagaland	39,685	7,93,70,000	30,459	6,09,18,000	
Odisha	9,71,749	1,94,34,98,000	9,29,025	1,85,80,50,000	
Puducherry	4,421	88,42,000		0	
Punjab	12,89,315	2,57,86,30,000	11,44,648	2,28,92,96,000	
Rajasthan	15,83,018	3,16,60,36,000	13,23,564	2,64,71,28,000	
Sikkim	10,00,010	0	10,20,001	0	
Tamilnadu	21,95,316	4,39,06,32,000	21,23,556	4,24,71,12,000	
Telangana	22,16,560	4,43,31,20,000	18,58,221	3,71,64,42,000	
Tripura	1,51,095	30,21,90,000	1,51,064	30,21,28,000	
Uttar Pradesh	1,11,69,349	22,33,86,98,000	1,08,48,667	21,69,73,34,000	
Uttarakhand	3,99,909	79,98,18,000	3,27,477	65,49,54,000	
West Bengal	0	19,98,18,000	0	05,49,54,000	
Total	3,56,03,344	71,20,66,88,000	3,10,77,444	62,15,48,88,000	
iotai	3,30,03,344	1,20,00,00,000	3,10,77,444	02,10,40,00,000	

Note: Agri Census data of 2015-16 is based on the land holding and does not cover the details of joint land holders.

\*\*\*\*\*\*