

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION**

**LOK SABHA
UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 1325
TO BE ANSWERED ON 24.07.2017**

Parameters for NIRF

1325. SHRI M.K. RAGHAVAN:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

- (a) the details of the parameters considered by the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) while releasing its second list recently;
- (b) whether the NIRF was mandatory for all institutions and if so, whether institutions were left out while examining the ranking;
- (c) the major drawbacks noticed by the NIRF while examining the parameters and the manner in which it would be addressed to have sound institutions;
- (d) whether the NIRF also found that unhealthy competition for adding research papers rather than quality research; and
- (e) if so, whether it will be made compulsory for the institutions to undergo Community Engagements like the Corporate Social Responsibility to instil nationalism among the students?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

(DR. MAHENDRA NATH PANDEY)

(a): The details regarding parameters considered for National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) India Rankings, 2017 are at annexure.

(b): No, Madam. NIRF was not mandatory for all the institutions.

(c): The NIRF Rankings, 2017 did not rank the institutes related to Law, Medical, Arts/Science/Humanities/Commerce and Architecture due to their insignificant participation. However, as compared to NIRF 2016, NIRF 2017 broadened the base of categories and ranked institutes in six categories by introducing colleges and overall category for the first time. Further, the methodology of NIRF Rankings 2017 has been revised after taking into consideration inputs from various stakeholders e.g. educational institutes. The same is available on NIRF website https://www.nirfindia.org/Docs/Ranking_Methodology_And_Metrics_2017.pdf.

(d): No, Madam. NIRF considered research papers as well as the quality of research.

(e): Does not arise.

ANNEXURE AS REFERRED TO IN PART (A) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 1325 TO BE ANSWERED ON 24.07.2017 ASKED BY SHRI M.K. RAGHAVAN REGARDING PARAMETERS FOR NIRF

Sl. No.	Broad Parameters	Weightage	Sub-parameters
1.	Teaching, Learning & Resources	0.30	(i) Student Strength including Doctoral Students
			(ii) Faculty-student ratio with emphasis on permanent faculty (FSR)
			(iii) Combined metric for Faculty with PhD (or equivalent) and Experience (FQE)
			(iv) Total Budget and Its Utilisation: (CBTU)
2.	Research and Professional Practice	0.30	(i) Combined metric for Publication (PU)
			(ii) Combined metric for Quality of Publications (QP)
			(iii) IPR and Patents: Filed, Published, Granted and Licensed (IPR)
			(iv) Footprints of Projects and Professional Practice and Executive Development Program (FPPP)
3.	Graduation Outcomes	0.20	(i) Combined percentage for placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship (GPHE)
			(ii) Metric for University Examination (GUE)
			(iii) Median Salary
			(iv) Metric for Graduating Students Admitted into Top Universities (GTOP)
			(v) Metric for Number of Ph.D. Students Graduated (GPHD)
4.	Outreach and Inclusivity	0.10	(i) Percentage Students for other states/countries (Region Diversity RD)
			(ii) Percentage of women (WF) + (WS) + (WA)
			(iii) Economically and Socially Challenged Students(ESCS)
			(iv) Facilities for Physically Challenged Students (PCS)
5.	Perception	0.10	(i) Peer perception: Employers and Research Investors(PREMP)
			(ii) Peer perception: Academics (PRACD)
			(iii) Public Perception (PRPUB)
			(iv) Competitiveness(PRCMP)