GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION

LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 2177

TO BE ANSWERED ON WEDNESDAY, THE 15th MARCH, 2017

POVERTY AND INEQUALITY

2177. SHRI MUTHAMSETTI SRINIVASA RAO (AVANTHI):

SHRI CHANDRA PRAKASH JOSHI:

SHRI RABINDRA KUMAR JENA:

SHRI D.K. SURESH:

SHRI CHANDU LAL SAHU:

Will the Minister of STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

- (a) the details of the national income and the per capita income of the country along with the per capita income of the poor and middle class during each of the last three years, State/UT-wise and the steps taken by the Government to curb the decline, if any, in the per capita income of the poor and middle class;
- (b) whether the Government has any estimation of the country's poor population, if so, the details thereof, State/UT-wise;
- (c) whether the Government has taken any initiative to evaluate the outcome of the different poverty alleviation programmes launched during the last three years and if so, the details and the outcomes thereof, State/UT-wise and if not, the reasons therefor along with the funds allocated/released/spent on the said programmes during the said period, Programme-wise;
- (d) whether the Government calculates the level of inequality in the country and if so, the details and the methodology thereof along with the overall income, wealth and consumption inequality reported during each of the last three years, State/UT-wise;

- (e) whether the level of inequality in the country has increased post the 1991 economic reforms, and if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor; and
- (f) the States where the said inequality has increased during the recent year?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF STATISTICS AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI D. V. SADANANDA GOWDA)

(a): The estimates of national income (measured as net national income) and per capita income (measured as per capita net national income) of the country during the last three years are as under:

Item (at current prices)	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Net National Income (Rs. crore)	9901176	10961146	12083093	
Per Capita Net National Income (Rs.)	79146	86513	94178	

State/UT-wise estimates of per capita income for poor and middle class are not compiled separately.

The Government has been implementing several programmes for skill development, self-employment, wage employment and creation of assets that aim to promote inclusive growth and thereby bridge the income gaps. These include Deendayal Antyodaya Yojana-National Urban Livelihood Mission (DAY-NULM) of the Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation (MHUPA) and various rural development schemes of the Department of Rural Development (DRD), namely, Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Pradhan Mantri Awaas Yojana Gramin (PMAYG), Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY), Deendayal Antyodaya Yojana-National Rural Livelihoods Mission (DAYNRLM) and Deen Dayal Upadhyaya-Grameen Kaushalya Yojana (DDU-GKY).

(b): Yes, Madam. The latest available State/UT-wise details of number and percentage of population below poverty line for the

year 2011-12, computed following the extant Tendulkar methodology and released by Planning Commission through a Press Note on 22nd July 2013, are given in the statement at Annexure.

(c): The MHUPA reported that mid-term evaluation of DAY-NULM scheme has not been conducted as the year 2014-15 is practically the first year of its implementation and the scheme has not reached its mid-term. Details of funds under the scheme during the last three years as reported by MHUPA are given below:

(Figures in Rs. Lakhs)

		(9	on oo m no - on -o,
Funds position	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
			(till 28-02-2017)
Allocated	148281.30	148863.41	102962.19
Released	67214.18	23972.33	28405.03

The DRD reported that they conduct evaluation studies of its programmes from time to time and that no evaluation of outcome of rural development programmes launched during the last three years was done. Details of funds under the schemes during 2013-14 to 2015-16 as reported by DRD are given below:

(Figures in Rs. Lakhs)

(Figures II residential						
Scheme	Funds	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16		
MGNREGS	Allocated	Being a demad driven programme, no				
		allocation is made.				
	Released	3274368.00	3247686.69	3664479.70		
	Utilised	3874148.21	3603247.84	4384962.49		
	(including					
	State share)					
PMAYG	Allocated	1389490.38	1409955.00	950875.00		
	Released	1297000.78	1109695.64	1010791.84		
	Utilised	1057603.63	1383553.14	1336101.79		
PMGSY	Allocated	1705000	1015100	1518671		
	Released	536024	995958	1518671		
	Utilised	1309529	1653835	1578536		
	(Government					
	of India					

Scheme	Funds	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
	share)			
DAYNRLM	Allocated	197730.94	112264.00	52905.00
	Released	116609.73	66180.65	87193.18
	Utilised	133060.07	185066.48	200092.60
	(including			
	State share)			
DDU-GKY	Released	56897.04	56863.42	48730.38

- (d): Yes. Madam. Level of inequality is assessed in terms of Lorenz ratios of monthly per capita expenditure distribution using consumer expenditure data of the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). Since the latest available report of the NSSO on consumer expenditure is in respect of its 68th round for the year 2011-12, inequality was not assessed for the last three years.
- (e): The NSSO 68th round report for the year 2011-12 noted that there was an increase in inequality (in terms of Lorenz ratio) from 0.297 to 0.307 for the rural sector and from 0.373 to 0.385 for the urban sector, when compared to the data of 61st round for the year 2004-05. Reasons for increase were not surveyed.
- (f): Comparison of inequality in terms of Lorenz ratios at State level between NSSO 68th round (2011-12) and 66th round (2009-10) indicates that inequality in rural sector increased in all States/ UTs except for Sikkim, Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Chattisgarh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab. During this period, in respect of the urban sector, inequality increased in Manipur, Mizoram, Jammu and Kashmir, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Delhi, Odisha, Chattisgarh, Arunachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Karnataka, Haryana and Kerala.

Statement referred to in reply to part (b) of Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 2177 for 15.03.2017

Number and Percentage of Population below poverty line by States for the year 2011-12 (as per Tendulkar Methodology)

		Rural		Urban		Total	
S. No.	States	%age of Persons	No. of Persons (lakhs)	%age of Persons	No. of Persons (lakhs)	%age of Persons	No. of Persons (lakhs)
1	Andhra Pradesh	10.96	61.80	5.81	16.98	9.20	78.78
2	Arunachal Pradesh	38.93	4.25	20.33	0.66	34.67	4.91
3	Assam	33.89	92.06	20.49	9.21	31.98	101.27
4	Bihar	34.06	320.40	31.23	37.75	33.74	358.15
5	Chhattisgarh	44.61	88.90	24.75	15.22	39.93	104.11
6	Delhi	12.92	0.50	9.84	16.46	9.91	16.96
7	Goa	6.81	0.37	4.09	0.38	5.09	0.75
8	Gujarat	21.54	75.35	10.14	26.88	16.63	102.23
9	Haryana	11.64	19.42	10.28	9.41	11.16	28.83
10	Himachal Pradesh	8.48	5.29	4.33	0.30	8.06	5.59
11	Jammu & Kashmir	11.54	10.73	7.20	2.53	10.35	13.27
12	Jharkhand	40.84	104.09	24.83	20.24	36.96	124.33
13	Karnataka	24.53	92.80	15.25	36.96	20.91	129.76
14	Kerala	9.14	15.48	4.97	8.46	7.05	23.95
15	Madhya Pradesh	35.74	190.95	21.00	43.10	31.65	234.06
16	Maharashtra	24.22	150.56	9.12	47.36	17.35	197.92
17	Manipur	38.80	7.45	32.59	2.78	36.89	10.22
18	Meghalaya	12.53	3.04	9.26	0.57	11.87	3.61
19	Mizoram	35.43	1.91	6.36	0.37	20.40	2.27
20	Nagaland	19.93	2.76	16.48	1.00	18.88	3.76
21	Odisha	35.69	126.14	17.29	12.39	32.59	138.53
22	Punjab	7.66	13.35	9.24	9.82	8.26	23.18
23	Rajasthan	16.05	84.19	10.69	18.73	14.71	102.92
24	Sikkim	9.85	0.45	3.66	0.06	8.19	0.51
25	Tamil Nadu	15.83	59.23	6.54	23.40	11.28	82.63
26	Tripura	16.53	4.49	7.42	0.75	14.05	5.24
27	Uttarakhand	11.62	8.25	10.48	3.35	11.26	11.60
28	Uttar Pradesh	30.40	479.35	26.06	118.84	29.43	598.19
29	West Bengal	22.52	141.14	14.66	43.83	19.98	184.98
30	Puducherry	17.06	0.69	6.30	0.55	9.69	1.24
31	Andaman & Nicobar						
	Islands	1.57	0.04	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.04
32	Chandigarh	1.64	0.004	22.31	2.34	21.81	2.35
33	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	62.59	1.15	15.38	0.28	39.31	1.43
34	Daman & Diu	0.00	0.00	12.62	0.26	9.86	0.26
35	Lakshadweep	0.00	0.00	3.44	0.02	2.77	0.02
Ļ	All India	25.70	2166.58	13.70	531.25	21.92	2697.83

Notes: 1. Population as on 1st March 2012 has been used for estimating number of persons below poverty line. (2011 Census population extrapolated)

- 2. Poverty line of Tamil Nadu has been used for Andaman and Nicobar Island.
- 3. Urban Poverty Line of Punjab has been used for both rural and urban areas of Chandigarh.
- 4. Poverty Line of Maharashtra has been used for Dadra & Nagar Haveli.
- 5. Poverty line of Goa has been used for Daman & Diu.
- 6. Poverty Line of Kerala has been used for Lakshadweep.