## CONTENTS

Seventeenth Series, Vol. IX, Fourth Session, 2020/1942 (Saka)  
No. 03, Wednesday, September 16, 2020/ Bhadrapada 25, 1942 (Saka)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE</td>
<td>11-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstarred Question Nos. 461 to 690</td>
<td>23-509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATEMENT BY MINISTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of implementation of the recommendations contained in the 3rd Report of the Standing Committee on Railways on 'Demands for Grants (2020-21)' pertaining to the Ministry of Railways</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shri Arjun Ram Meghwal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Report</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE ON THE WELFARE OF SCHEDULED CASTES AND SCHEDULED TRIBES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd to 4th Reports</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MATTERS UNDER RULE 377

(i) Need to address concerns of farmers due to proposed land acquisition by Army in Ahmednagar

Dr. Sujay Vikhe Patil

(ii) Need to streamline the auction process for confiscated goods entering India from Nepal in Uttar Pradesh

Shri Ajay Misra Teni

(iii) Need to develop Lalit Narayan Mishra Railway Hospital in Gorakhpur Parliamentary Constituency, Uttar Pradesh into Medical college

Shri Ravi Kishan

(iv) Need to scrap the creamy layer system in OBC reservation and also include OBC census in Census 2021

Shrimati Raksha Nikhil Khadse

(v) Regarding special assistance package for Vidarbha

Shri Sunil Baburao Mendhe

(vi) Regarding State Business Reform Action Plan 2019 (BRAP)

Shrimati Aparajita Sarangi
(vii) Need to set up a Mega Food Park in Guna Parliamentary Constituency, Madhya Pradesh

Dr. Krishnapalsingh Yadav 554

(viii) Regarding resolution of problems afflicting Tripura Autonomous District Council

Shri Rebati Tripura 555

(ix) Regarding construction of Garhwa-Rehla bypass road in Jharkhand

Shri Vishnu Dayal Ram 556

(x) Regarding revision of wages for ASHA workers

Shri G.S. Basavaraj 557

(xi) Need to take flood control measures in Maldaha Uttar Parliamentary Constituency, West Bengal and provide compensation to the affected people

Shri Khagen Murmu 558

(xii) Need to construct stretch of National Highway No. 24 between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur in Uttar Pradesh

Shri Rajesh Verma 559
(xiii) Need to set up FM Radio Station in Latur city, Maharashtra

Shri Sudhakar Tukaram Shrangare 560

(xiv) Need to provide water from Niraddevghar and Gunjawani dams to drought-hit areas of Satara and Solapur in Madha

Shri Ranjeetsinha Hindurao Naik Nimbalkar 561

(xv) Need to provide special financial package to Textile and Garment Industry of Solapur Parliamentary Constituency, Maharashtra

Dr. Jai Sidheshwar Shivacharya Swamiji 562

(xvi) Regarding comprehensive package for deceased plantation workers in Idukki, Kerala

Adv. Dean Kuriakose 563

(xvii) Regarding contraction of GDP and rising unemployment

Sushri S. Jothimani 564

(xviii) Regarding Rail Line between Hyderabad and Vijayawada

Shri Uttam Kumar Reddy 565
(xix) Regarding problem of sand accretion in Thoothukkudi, Tamil Nadu

Shrimati Kanimozhi Karunanidhi 566

(xx) Regarding setting up of SBI branch in Pandalur Taluk of Nilgiris

Shri A. Raja 567

(xxi) Regarding prohibition of export of raw human hair

Shri Sridhar Kotagiri 568

(xxii) Need to develop the historical sites in Maval Parliamentary Constituency, Maharashtra

Shri Shrirang Appa Barne 569

(xxiii) Regarding sharing of information regarding expenditure and programmes under CSR funds in aspirational districts particularly in Gaya district, Bihar

Shri Chandeshwar Prasad 570

(xxiv) Need to restore the routes of Doon Express and Ganga-Satluj Express in Ambedkarnagar Parliamentary Constituency, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Ritesh Pandey 571
(xxv) Regarding need to extend financial assistance for creation of pharma city in Mucherla, in Hyderabad, Telangana

Shri Kotha Prabhakar Reddy 572

(xxvi) Regarding access of CGHS facilities in smaller cities

Shri P.K. Kunhalikutty 573

STATUTORY RESOLUTION RE: DISAPPROVAL OF BANKING REGULATION (AMENDMENT) ORDINANCE, 2020 AND BANKING REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 2020 574-690

Shri Manish Tewari 574, 579-581
Shrimati Nirmala Sitharaman 574-578, 675-685
Motion to Consider 574-686
Shri S. C. Udasi 582-590
Shri DNV SenthilKumar S. 591-594
Prof. Sougata Ray 595-597
Shri Lavu Srikrishna Devarayalu 598-600
Shri Gajanan Kirtikar 601-604
Dr. Alok Kumar Suman 605-606
Shri Pinaki Misra 607-609
Shrimati Sangeeta Azad 610-611
Shri Kotha Prabhakar Reddy
Shri Benny Behanan
Shri Tejasvi Surya
Shri Sunil Dattatray Tatkare
Shrimati Nusrat Jahan
Shri Jayadev Galla
Adv. A.M. Ariff
Shri Saptagiri Sankar Ulaka
Sushri Sunita Duggal
Shri Asaduddin Owaisi
Shri K. Navaskani
Shri N.K. Premachandran
Shri P. Raveendranath Kumar
Shrimati Navneet Ravi Rana
Shri Thomas Chazhikadan
Shri Gautam Sigamani Pon
Dr. K. Jayakumar
Shrimati Supriya Sadnand Sule
Shri Rahul Ramesh Shewale
Shri Manoj Kotak
Shri Bhagwant Mann
Shri Girish Bhalchandra Bapat
Shri M.K. Raghavan
Shri Adhir Ranjan Chowdhury 673-674
Statutory Resolution - Negatived 686
Clauses 2 to 5 and 1 686-689
Motion to Pass 689

*ANNEXURE – I

Member-wise Index to Unstarred Questions

*ANNEXURE – II

Ministry-wise Index to Unstarred Questions

*Available in Master copy of the Debate, placed in Library.
OFFICERS OF LOK SABHA

THE SPEAKER

Shri Om Birla

PANEL OF CHAIRPERSONS

Shrimati Rama Devi
Dr. (Prof.) Kirit Premjibhai Solanki
Shri Rajendra Agrawal
Shrimati Meenakashi Lekhi
Shri Kodikunnil Suresh
Shri A. Raja
Shri P.V. Midhun Reddy
Shri Bhartruhari Mahtab
Shri N.K. Premachandran
Dr. Kakoli Ghosh Dastidar

SECRETARY GENERAL

Shrimati Snehlata Shrivastava
LOK SABHA DEBATES
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The Lok Sabha met at One minute past Fifteen of the Clock.

[HON. SPEAKER in the Chair]
माननीय अध्यक्ष: अब पत्र सभा पटल पर रखे जाएंगे।

आइटम नं. 1 से 9 तक।

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री प्रभलाद जोशी की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -

(1) खान और खिनज (विकास और विनियम) अधिनियम, 1957 की धारा 28 की उप-धारा (1) के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित अधिसूचनाओं की एक-एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

एक) कोयला ब्लॉक्स आबंटन (संशोधन) नियम, 2020 जो 18 मई, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. सा.का.नि. 300(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

बो) खिनज (नीलामी) संशोधन नियम, 2020 जो 20 मार्च, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. सा.का.नि. 190(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2512/17/20]

(2) कोयला खान (विशेष उपबंध) अधिनियम, 2015 की धारा 31 की उप-धारा (3) के अंतर्गत अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 680(अ) जो 13 फरवरी, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित हुई थी तथा जो उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ झारखंड राज्य में अवस्थित परबंधपुर-सेट्टल कोल माइन का प्रबंधन संभालने और उसका संचालन करने के लिए कोल इंडिया लिमिटेड के चेयरमैन की ‘अभिहित अभिरक्षक’ के रूप में नियुक्ति के बारे में है, की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2513/17/20]
संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री राज इंद्रजीत सिंह की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ:

(1) (एक) राष्ट्रीय साहित्यकी आयोग, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2514/17/20]

(दो) राष्ट्रीय साहित्यकी आयोग, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वाष्पिक प्रतिवेदन में अंतर्दिित सिफारिशों पर की-गई-कार्यवाह प्रतिवेदन (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(2) उपयुक्त (1) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाले विवरण (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2515/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री श्रीपाद येसो नाईक की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ:

(1) सेना अधिनियम, 1950 की धारा 193 के अंतर्गत सेना संशोधन नियम, 2019 जो 28 दिसंबर, 2019 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. का.मि.आ.17(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे, की एक प्रति (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2516/17/20]
(2) नौसेना अधिनियम, 1957 की धारा 185 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित अधिसूचनाओं की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

(एक) नौसेना (अनुशासन और प्रक्रियाओं उपबंध) संशोधन विनियम, 2019 जो 28 दिसम्बर, 2019 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या का.नि.आ. 18(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

(दो) नौसेना सेविनोलियल, सेवा शर्त और प्रक्रियाओं (संशोधन) विनियम, 2019 जो 28 दिसम्बर, 2019 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या का.नि.आ. 19(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2517/17/20]

(3) वायु सेना अधिनियम, 1950 की धारा 191 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित अधिसूचनाओं की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

(एक) वायु सेना (संशोधन) नियम, 2019 जो 28 दिसम्बर, 2019 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या का.नि.आ. 20(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

(दो) वायु सेना (संशोधन) विनियम, 2019 जो 28 दिसम्बर, 2019 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या का.नि.आ. 21(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2518/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्योग मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, डॉ. जितेन्द्र सिंह की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -

(1) (एक) सिविल सर्विसेज ऑफिसर्स इंस्टीट्यूट, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा लेखा-परीक्षित लेखे।
(दो) सिविल सर्विसेज ऑफिसर्स इंस्टीट्यूट, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा के बारे में विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(2) उपर्युक्त (1) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2519/17/20]

(3) केंद्रीय सतर्कता आयोग अधिनियम, 2003 की धारा 14 की उप-धारा (3) के अंतर्गत केंद्रीय सतर्कता आयोग, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2520/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्धोग और लोक उद्धम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जून राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री हरदीप सिंह पुरी की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -
(1) कंपनी अधिनियम, 2013 की धारा 394 की उप-धारा (1) के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित पत्रों की एक-एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

(एक) पीईसी लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा।

(दो) पीईसी लिमिटेड, नई दिल्ली का वर्ष 2018-2019 का वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन, लेखापरीक्षित लेखे तथा उन पर नियंत्रक-महालेखापरीक्षक की टिप्पणियां।
उपर्युक्त (1) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2521/17/20]

चाय अधिनियम, 1953 की धारा 30 की उप-धारा (3) और (5) के अंतर्गत जारी चाय (विपणन) नियंत्रण (संशोधन) आदेश, 2020 जो 31 जुलाई, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 2560 (अ) में प्रकाशित हुआ था, की एक प्रति (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2522/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उदय मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -

(1) तेरहवी, चौदहवी, पंढरवी, सोलहवी और सत्रहवी लोक सभा के विभिन्न स्तरों के दौरान मंत्रियों द्वारा दिए गए आश्वासनों, वचनों तथा परिचयों पर सरकार द्वारा की-गई-कार्यवाही दर्शाने वाले निम्नलिखित विवरणों की एक-एक प्रति (हिंदी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

तेरहवी लोक सभा

1. विवरण संख्या 57 तीसरा स्तर, 2000

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2523/17/20]

2. विवरण संख्या 37 दसवां स्तर, 2002

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2524/17/20]

चौदहवी लोक सभा

3. विवरण संख्या 32 दूसरा स्तर, 2004

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2525/17/20]
4. विवरण संख्या 33 पांचवां सत्र, 2005
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2526/17/20]
5. विवरण संख्या 27 आठवां सत्र, 2006
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2527/17/20]
6. विवरण संख्या 31 चौदहवां सत्र, 2008
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2528/17/20]

पंड्रहवीं लोक सभा
7. विवरण संख्या 37 दूसरा सत्र, 2009
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2529/17/20]
8. विवरण संख्या 30 तीसरा सत्र, 2009
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2530/17/20]
9. विवरण संख्या 29 सातवां सत्र, 2011
   [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2531/17/20]
10. विवरण संख्या 30 आठवां सत्र, 2011
    [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2532/17/20]
11. विवरण संख्या 29 नौवां सत्र, 2011
    [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2533/17/20]
12. विवरण संख्या 28 दसवां सत्र, 2012
    [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2534/17/20]
13. विवरण संख्या 26 ग्यारहवां सत्र, 2012
    [Placed in Library, See No. LT 2535/17/20]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>विवरण संख्या</th>
<th>सदस्य संख्या</th>
<th>वर्ष</th>
<th>लिटिल कोड</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>तेरहवां स</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>LT 2536/17/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>चौदहवां स</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>LT 2537/17/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>पंद्रहवां स</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>LT 2538/17/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>सोलहवीं लोक सभा</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
24. विवरण संख्या 13 नौवां सत्र, 2016
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2546/17/20]

25. विवरण संख्या 11 दसवां सत्र, 2016
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2547/17/20]

26. विवरण संख्या 11 ग्यारहवां सत्र, 2017
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2548/17/20]

27. विवरण संख्या 9 बारहवां सत्र, 2017
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2549/17/20]

28. विवरण संख्या 8 तेरहवां सत्र, 2017-18
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2550/17/20]

29. विवरण संख्या 7 चौदहवां सत्र, 2018
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2551/17/20]

30. विवरण संख्या 6 पंद्रहवां सत्र, 2018
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2552/17/20]

31. विवरण संख्या 4 सोलहवां सत्र, 2018-19
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2553/17/20]

32. विवरण संख्या 3 सत्रहवां सत्र, 2019
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2554/17/20]

सत्रहवीं लोक सभा

33. विवरण संख्या 2 पहला सत्र, 2019
[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2555/17/20]
34. विवरण संख्या 1  
दूसरा सत्र, 2019

(श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री संजय शामराव धोंगे की ओर से, मैं 
निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -

(1) भारतीय डाकघर अधिनियम, 1898 की धारा 74 की उप-धारा (4) के साथ पठित धारा 43 
के अंतर्गत भारतीय डाकघर (संशोधन) नियम, 2020 जो 14 जुलाई, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र 
में अधिसूचना संख्या सा.का.नि. 446 (अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे, की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी 
संस्करण)।

(2) (एक) भारतीय विशिष्ट पहचान प्राधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-19 के वार्षिक 
प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।
(दो) भारतीय विशिष्ट पहचान प्राधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-19 के वार्षिक 
लेखाओं की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा उन पर लेखापरीक्षित लेखें।
(तीन) भारतीय विशिष्ट पहचान प्राधिकरण, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण 
की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(3) उपरुपर (2) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने 
वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(4) (एक) ईआरनेट इंडिया, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक 
प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा लेखा-परीक्षित लेखें।
(दो) ईआरनेट इंडिया, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा 
समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।
(5) उपर्युक्त (4) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2559/17/20]

(6) (एक) सेंटर फॉर मैटेरियल्स फॉर इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स टेक्नोलॉजी (सी-मेट), पुणे के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा लेखा-परीक्षित लेखे।
(दो) सेंटर फॉर मैटेरियल्स फॉर इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स टेक्नोलॉजी (सी-मेट), पुणे के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(7) उपर्युक्त (6) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2560/17/20]

(8) (एक) सेंटर फॉर डेवलपमेंट ऑफ एडवार्ड कम्यूटिंग, पुणे के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा लेखा-परीक्षित लेखे।
(दो) सेंटर फॉर डेवलपमेंट ऑफ एडवार्ड कम्यूटिंग, पुणे के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(9) उपर्युक्त (8) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2561/17/20]
(10) भारतीय दूरसंचार विनियामक प्राधिकरण अधिनियम, 1997 की धारा 37 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित अधिसूचनाओं की एक-एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण):-

(एक) दी तेलीकम्युनिकेशन इंटरकनेशन यूजर चार्ज (सोलहवां संशोधन) विनियम, 2020 जो 17 अप्रैल, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. एफ.सं. 6-19/2019-बीबीएडजपीए में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

(दो) दी तेलीकम्युनिकेशन इंटरकनेशन (दूसरा संशोधन) विनियम, 2020 जो 10 जुलाई, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना सं. एफ.सं. 409-3/2018-एनएसएलआई में प्रकाशित हुए थे।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2562/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री सुरेश सी. अंगड़ी की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ: -

(1) (एक) सेंटर फॉर रेलवे इन्फॉर्मेशन सिस्टम्स, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के बार्षिक प्रतिष्ठा द्वारा समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण) तथा लेखा-परीक्षित लेख।

(दो) सेंटर फॉर रेलवे इन्फॉर्मेशन सिस्टम्स, नई दिल्ली के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(2) उपर्युक्त (1) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2563/17/20]

(3) रेल अधिनियम, 1989 की धारा 199 के अंतर्गत भारतीय रेल (ओपन लाइंस) सामान्य संशोधन नियम, 2020 जो दिनांक 13 मार्च, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में अधिसूचना संख्या सा.का.नि. 168(अ) में प्रकाशित हुए थे, की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।
4) उपर्युक्त (3) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2564/17/20]

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री सोम प्रकाश की ओर से, मैं निम्नलिखित पत्र सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ:  

1) (एक) ऑफिस ऑफ द कंट्रोलर जनरल ऑफ पेटेंट्स, डिजाइन्स, ट्रेडमार्क्स एण्ड ज्योग्राफिकल इंडीकेशन्स, मुंबई के वर्ष 2018-2019 के वार्षिक प्रतिवेदन की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

(दो) ऑफिस ऑफ द कंट्रोलर जनरल ऑफ पेटेंट्स, डिजाइन्स, ट्रेडमार्क्स एण्ड ज्योग्राफिकल इंडीकेशन्स, मुंबई के वर्ष 2018-2019 के कार्यकरण की सरकार द्वारा समीक्षा के बारे में विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

2) उपर्युक्त (1) में उल्लिखित पत्रों को सभा पटल पर रखने में हुए विलंब के कारण दर्शाने वाला विवरण (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2565/17/20]

(3) उद्योग (विकास और विनिमय) अधिनियम, 1951 की धारा 6 के अंतर्गत जारी अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 1023(अ) जो 9 मार्च, 2020 के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित हुई थी, तथा जो इस आदेश के प्रकाशन की तारीख से दो वर्षों की अवधि के लिए डेवलपमेंट काउन्सिल फॉर पेपर एण्ड एलाइड इंडस्ट्रीज की स्थापना करने और उसमें उल्लिखित व्यक्तियों की नियुक्ति उक्त काउन्सिल के सदस्यों के रूप में करने के संबंध में है, की एक प्रति (हिन्दी तथा अंग्रेजी संस्करण)।

[Placed in Library, See No. LT 2566/17/20]
15.01½ hrs

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

(Q.No. 461 to 690)

(Page No. 23 to 509)

* Available in Master copy/Hard copy of Debate, placed in Library.
MESSAGE FROM RAJYA SABHA

SECRETARY-GENERAL: Sir, I have to report the following message received from the Secretary-General of Rajya Sabha:-

“In accordance with the provisions of rule 127 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Rajya Sabha, I am directed to inform the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha at its sitting held on the 15th September, 2020 agreed without any amendment to the Aircraft (Amendment) Bill, 2020 which was passed by the Lok Sabha at its sitting held on the 17th March, 2020.”

-----------------

माननीय अध्यक्ष: आइटम नं. 11 - डॉ. किरीट पी. सोलंकी - उपस्थित नहीं।
श्री भानु प्रताप सिंह वर्मा - उपस्थित नहीं।
संसदीय कार्य मंत्री जी, आप माननीय सदस्यों को समझाया करें कि जब पत्र सभा-पटल पर रखे जाने हों तो वे कम से कम यहां पहुँचे।
संसदीय कार्य मंत्री; कोयला मंत्री तथा खान मंत्री (श्री प्रहलाद जोशी): सर, सभा-पटल पर रखे जाने वाले पत्र को इन्होंने प्रस्तुत कर दिया है।
माननीय अध्यक्ष: आइटम नं. 12.
15.03 hrs

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

Status of implementation of the recommendations contained in the 3rd Report of the Standing Committee on Railways on 'Demands for Grants (2020-21)' pertaining to the Ministry of Railways

संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा भारी उद्योग और लोक उद्यम मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री अर्जुन राम मेघवाल): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, श्री सुरेश सी. अंगडी की ओर से, मैं रेल मंत्रालय से संबंधित ‘अनुदानों की मांग (2020-21)’ के बारे में रेल संबंधी स्थायी समिति के तीसरे प्रतिवेदन में अंतर्विष्ट सिफारिशों के कार्यान्वयन की स्थिति के बारे में एक वक्तव्य सभा पटल पर रखता हूँ।

* Laid on the Table and also placed in Library, See No. LT 2567/17/20
15.04 hrs

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
16th Report


माननीय अध्यक्ष: माननीय सदस्यगण, मुझे कुछ विषयों पर स्थगन-प्रस्ताव की सूचनाएँ प्राप्त हुई हैं। मैंने स्थगन-प्रस्ताव की किसी भी सूचना के लिए अनुमति प्रदान नहीं की है।

...(व्यवधान)

HON. SPEAKER: Please be seated. I will try to give you a chance after completing the ‘Zero Hour’ list.

.... (Interruptions)
HON. SPEAKER: Now, Zero Hour: Shrimati Pratima Mondal.

… (Interruptions)

SHRI HASNAIN MASOODI (ANANTNAG): Sir, I want to raise an important issue.

HON. SPEAKER: Hon. Member, please be seated.

… (Interruptions)

HON. SPEAKER: After completing the Zero Hour List, I will try to accommodate you.

SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN (KOLLAM): Sir, I want to seek a clarification.

HON. SPEAKER: No clarification, please.

Yes, Shrimati Pratima Mondal, please start and conclude within one minute.

SHRIMATI PRATIMA MONDAL (JAYNAGAR): Hon. Speaker, Sir, I am thankful to you for giving me a chance to speak during Zero Hour.

Sir, addressing the loss of life as ‘Hit-Wicket’ and ‘Kai Po Che’, the Indian media marked a new low while covering the unfortunate suicide case of Mr. Sushant Singh Rajput. Not only that, circulating images and asking insensitive questions to the relatives and close ones increases their pain, anguish and suffering. This is extremely disrespectful even of the deceased.

Already when time is so difficult, this form of heartless reporting causes severe impact on young minds, who are inspired by the person, who has passed away. This also, sometimes, triggers these minds to take same steps,
and this did happen already. The very next day, a teenager committed suicide; and then, a series of such incidents came to our knowledge.

Major countries around the world either follow WHO Guideline or have formulated their own laws for sensitive reporting of suicide cases. It is high time that India also formulate a law in this direction; and until that happens, the Government must issue a set of guidelines for coverage of sensitive cases by the media. Also, as demanded earlier, the mental health is to be given importance and National Suicide Helpline Number is also an undeniable need of the people. Thank you, Sir.

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री मलूक नागर को श्रीमती प्रतिमा मण्डल द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

श्री गिरीश चंद्र (नगीना): अध्यक्ष जी, आपने मुझे अपने संसदीय क्षेत्र नगीना के महत्वपूर्ण विषय पर बोलने का मौका दिया, मैं आपका आभार व्यक्त करता हूँ।

अध्यक्ष जी, नगीना पश्चिम उत्तर प्रदेश का एक महत्वपूर्ण कस्बा है। नगीना से बिजनौर मार्ग पर प्रतिदिन लाखों लोगों का आवागमन रहता है। यह रास्ता पश्चिम उत्तर प्रदेश के कई जगहों को उत्तराखंड से जोड़ता है। इस रास्ते के माध्यम से काफी मात्रा में किसान और व्यापारी अपना व्यापार करते हैं। इससे भारत सरकार और उत्तर प्रदेश की सरकार को टेक्स्ट के रूप में भारी मात्रा में रेक्नू प्राम होता है। इस रास्ते में जगह-जगह गड्ढे हैं। बरसात के मौसम के कारण इस रास्ते में पानी भर जानेसे आवागमन बाधित होता है। लोगों को आने-जाने में असुविधा होती है। इस रास्ते की तात्कालिक चौड़ीकरण और सुदृढ़ीकरण कराने की आवश्यकता है।

महोदय, नगीना में ही रेलवे क्रॉसिंग है। इस क्रॉसिंग पर ओवरब्रिज नहीं होने के कारण जब रेलगाड़ी यहाँ से गुजरती है तो क्षेत्र की जनता को घंटों इंतजार करना पड़ता है। इस कारण हमेशा दुर्गंधन्ता होने की संभावना बनी रहती है। जन-मानस की सुविधा के लिए मैं आपके माध्यम से
सरकार से माँग करता हूँ कि नगीना रेलवे क्रॉसिंग पर शीघ्र ओवरब्रिज का निर्माण कराया जाए।

इसके साथ ही नगीना से बिजनौर मार्ग का चौड़ीकरण और सुदृढ़करण कराया जाए।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री मलूक नागर को श्री गिरीश चन्द्र द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबद्ध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

डॉ. आलोक कुमार सुमन (गोपालगंज): अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरे संसदीय क्षेत्र गोपालगंज की आबादी लगभग 28 लाख है। यहाँ बड़ी रेल लाइन का विस्तार कई वर्षों पहले पूरा हो चुका है तथा इलेक्ट्रिक्फिकेशन का काम भी लगभग पूरा कर लिया गया है। मेरे संसदीय क्षेत्र में थावे जंक्शन है, जहाँ से दिल्ली व अन्य महानगरों के लिए कोई भी ट्रेन नहीं है। लोगों को 60 किलोमीटर की दूरी पूरी कर दूसरे जिलों में जाकर ट्रेन पकड़ने पड़ती है। थावे जंक्शन के लिए कमशिब्ल फिजिबिलिटी एवं रेलवे के साथ-साथ इस रूट में पीट भी है। इस जिले के लाखों लोग गल्फ कंट्रीज में रहते हैं, जिससे यहाँ काफी मात्रा में विदेशी मुद्रा आती है। थावे में एक ऐतिहासिक मंदिर भी है, जहाँ पर्यटक काफी दूर-दूर से बस एवं अन्य वाहनों से आते हैं। मैंने इस बारे में माननीय रेल मंत्री जी का ध्यान कई बार दिलाया, ताकि थावे जंक्शन के लिए दिल्ली को जोड़ने वाली ट्रेन दी जाए।

महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से माननीय रेल मंत्री जी से पुन: निवेदन करता हूँ कि गाड़ी संख्या 12555, 12556 गोरखधाम एक्सप्रेस एवं गाड़ी संख्या 12571, 12572 हमसफर एक्सप्रेस को गोरखपुर से थावे होते हुए छापाया या बर्नी तक कर दिया जाए या तेजस एक्सप्रेस को थावे होते दिल्ली तक चलाया जाए। इसके अलावा, पैसेंजर एवं इंटरसिटी ट्रेनों को थावे होते हुए पटना तक चलाया जाए।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री रवि किशन को डॉ. आलोक कुमार सुमन द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबद्ध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।
SHRI KARADI SANGANNA AMARAPPA (KOPPAL): Thank you, respected Speaker, Sir. The subject of my matter is ‘proposal for a new broad-gauge railway line from Gangavathi to Daroji and Bellary, a distance of about 35 kilometers’.

Sir, Gangavathi Taluk is situated in Koppal District of Karnataka. This area is rich in paddy cultivation. The paddy grown in Gangavathi has a very wide market. It has the best quality of rice. There are rice mills and good local transportation facilities. Gangavathi has Anegundi pilgrimage place which is the birth place of Lord Hanuman. It carries a significant tourism importance.

It is the request and demand of the public, especially, the farmers of Gangavathi City and Taluk to provide a broad-gauge railway line from Gangavathi to Daroji, a distance of about 35 kilometers. It would be more appropriate in the interest of the public. There is a Deer Park in Daroji which is an added attraction for tourism. The railway line from Daroji will get connected to Bellary and Bengaluru. This new broad-gauge railway line will also connect Raichur-Munirabad- Mahabubnagar railway line.

Therefore, the creation of a new broad-gauge railway line from Gangavathi Railway Station to Daroji Railway Station is more appropriate. It will serve, both, business and tourism requirements of the public of Gangavathi Taluk.
I earnestly request the respected Minister through our hon. Speaker to kindly consider the request for creation of a new broad-gauge railway line from Gangavathi to Daroji. Thank you, Sir.

माननीय अय: श्री उन्मेश भैयासाहिब पाटिल को श्री कराडी संगन्ना अमरप्पा द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

*DR. AMAR SINGH (FATEHGARH SAHIB) : Thank you, Speaker Sir. Today I will speak in Punjabi although generally I speak in Hindi.

Sir, yesterday, farmers in my constituency Fatehgarh Sahib blocked the national highway as a mark of protest. I got a lot of phone calls asking me to raise the issue of plight of farmers in Punjabi.

Sir, I urge upon the Central Government to listen to the hapless farmers of Punjab. Earlier, we used to import foodgrains. But, the farmers in Punjab brought the Green Revolution. We became surplus in food production. Step-motherly treatment must not be meted out to the farmers of Punjab. I urge the Government to talk to the farmers. Neither of the three Ordinances mentions about MSP. Punjab’s economy is dependent on agriculture. 60 to 70 thousand crore rupees are spent annually. Farmers, landless labourers, traders etc. will be badly affected. The state is marred by protests.

*English translation of the speech originally delivered in Punjabi.
So, I urge upon the Central Government to stop the injustice being meted out to the farmers. Talk to the farmers. Give them remunerative MSP.

Thank you.

श्री अनुमुला रेवंत रेड्डी (मल्काजिगिरी): अध्यक्ष महोदय, धन्यवाद। The Srisailam Power Project is near the Telangana’s border with Andhra Pradesh. A 900-megawatt hydel power project comes under Telangana. 20 अगस्त को वहां बहुत बड़ी दुर्घटना हुई। इसमें 9 कर्मचारियों की जान चली गई और सैकड़ों करोड़ की खर्च की प्राप्ति का नुकसान हुआ। प्रदेश सरकार ने उसकी मेंटेनेंस के लिए बहुत सालों से कोई पैसा नहीं दिया। कर्मचारी लोगों ने बार-बार लेटर लिखे, फिर भी उनकी मेंटेनेंस के लिए पैसे नहीं दिए। इसकी वजह से वहाँ पर पावर प्रोजेक्ट में एक्सीडेंट होने से 9 कर्मचारियों की जानें चली गई। वहां पर अभी तक राज्य सरकार के चीफ मिनिस्टर ने दौरा नहीं किया। उनके परिवार को कम से कम एक घरोसा देने की भी कोशिश नहीं की। मेन्सनल फायर प्रोटेक्शन एसोसिएशन कोड नंबर 850 के हिसाब से कुछ मेंटेनेंस था। टाइम एंड अफ्यून यूनियन गवर्नमेंट ने सज्जन दी, तो भी प्रदेश सरकार ने वह मेंटेनेंस नहीं की, जिसकी वजह से यह एक्सीडेंट हुआ। इसके ऊपर सीबीआई की जांच होनी चाहिए।

पावर प्रोजेक्ट में एक्सीडेंट होने की वजह से हर दिन 15 करोड़ रुपये का राज्य सरकार को नुकसान हो रहा है। वे 15 करोड़ रुपये की पावर बाहर प्राइवेट एजेंसी से खरीद रहे हैं। इसकी वजह से इसके ऊपर …(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री तेजस्वी सूया जी।

… (Interruptions)

HON. SPEAKER: Hon. Member, please take your seat.

… (Interruptions)
SHRI TEJASVI SURYA (BANGALORE SOUTH): Speaker, Sir, I would like to draw the attention of this august House to the unfortunate state of governance in Communist-led State Government of Kerala where democratic protesters are being subject to brutal police violence. The fact that the gold smuggling scam has close links with the Chief Minister of Kerala and that there are attempts to obstruct impartial investigation that is being undertaken is something of public knowledge.

The Communist-led crimes do not end there. Whether it was the flood relief scam or the collecting illegally of COVID patients’ data, Communist Governments in Kerala have used every public tragedy as an opportunity for personal enrichment. The Kerala Government’s Life Mission housing scam follows the same template as that of the Venezuelan housing scam. The Communists in Kerala Government seem to be masters of nepotism. Like their ideological compatriot Kim Jong-un of North Korea who appointed his sister and close relatives to important roles in Government, Kerala’s Communist Ministers have come under severe criticism by Kerala High Court for appointing their close relatives as heads of PSUs and Government bodies. From smuggling to drug cartels, housing scam to e-mobility, brewery scam to fraud in Public Service Commission examinations to custodial deaths in police stations, the Communist Government in Kerala has surpassed crimes of every Communist regime from Colombia to Venezuela, Cuba to North Korea in just four years of governance.
Though my Party is not the principal Opposition, it is raising its voice. 

...(Interruptions)

HON. SPEAKER: Shri Devusinh Chauhan.

SHRI TEJASVI SURYA: Sir, I just need 30 seconds. ...(Interruptions) This is a very important issue. ...(Interruptions)

HON. SPEAKER: No photo.

...(Interruptions)

SHRI TEJASVI SURYA: Sir, the kind of police brutality that is taking place is highly condemnable. In Kannur, the Communist Government is physically beating the protesters including women protesters.

I request every conscientious Member of this House to take serious cognisance of this suppression of democracy in Kerala and condemn it in the most serious terms. The great land of Lord Ayyappa, Adi Shankara and Sree Narayana Guru cannot be led to suffer at the hands of Communist despots. I want to assure all the people agitating for democracy in Kerala that we are all with them.

Thank you, Sir.
भारत सरकार के तीन प्रोजेक्ट्स चल रहे हैं, दिल्ली-मुंबई इंडस्ट्रीयल कॉरिडोर, एक्सप्रेसवे और हाल ही में बुलेट ट्रेन प्रोजेक्ट है। लॅंड एविलेजेशन काम हो गया है लेकिन जो प्रोजेक्ट चल रहे हैं उसके लिए हाई लेवल इ-फ्रास्ट्रक्चर बन रहा है, इसके कारण वहां वॉटरलाइनिंग की बड़ी समस्या हो रही है, इसके कारण किसानों का भारी नुकसान होता है। यह समस्या मेरे क्षेत्र की भी है। जल जमाव की समस्या होती है तो किसानों के मुआवजे का ईश्वर होता है।

हाल ही में राज्य के संवेदनशील मुख्यमंत्री आदरणीय विजय भाई रुपाणी जी ने एक अच्छा डिसीजन लेकर सभी किसानों का जल्द से जल्द सवेरे कराया है, उनको जल्दी मुआवजा मिले, इसकी चिंता भी की है। एजेंसी लोकल लोगों से बात नहीं करती है। मेरा सुझाव है कि एक कंडिआनेन्शन कमेटी बनाई जाए, जहां-जहां ऐसे प्रोजेक्ट बन रहे हैं, वहां वॉटरवे होना चाहिए, उस वॉटरवे के लिए संवाद बने ताकि ऐसी समस्या कम से कम हो। धन्यवाद।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : श्री उमेश भैयासाहेब पाल्टल को श्री देवसिंह चौहान द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

*SHRI A. K. P. CHINRAJ (NAMAKKAL):* Hon. Speaker Sir, Vanakkam.

Tapioca cultivation is carried out in large scale by several thousands of farmers in Namakkal district and surrounding districts of Salem, Kallakurichi and Erode of Tamil Nadu. Several thousands of farmers faced huge losses amounting to Crores of Rupees due to the Mealy bug or white insect infestation in the Tapioca plants. Parasites which can kill these mealy bugs are not at present available in India. The principal Secretary of Tamil Nadu Government has announced that such parasites are available in Thailand. Such parasites should be imported to India and research should be carried out

*English translation of the speech originally delivered in Tamil.*
in the Research Centre located in Bangalore on these parasites which can kill mealy bugs. This can help in controlling the mealy bugs as well as increasing the Tapioca production in Tamil Nadu. Turmeric, banana, betel leaves and pepper are cultivated in large scale in Namakkal district. I therefore request you to set up a world class agricultural research centre in Namakkal district so as to ensure record production of Tapioca cultivation without the negative impact of mealy bugs. Moreover, I urge upon the Union Government to announce Minimum Support Price, MSP for Tapioca or Cassava crops. Thank you.

Shri Shyam Singh Yadav (Jonnapur): माननीय अध्यक्ष, हमारी तरफ एक कहावत है – चौबे जी गए छब्बे बनने दुबे बन कर आ गए।

मैंने पिछले एक साल से माननीय रेल मंत्री जी को कई बार लिखा है और रेलवे बोर्ड के चेयरमैन से कई बार मिला हू। मुझे बताया गया कि कुछ महत्वपूर्ण गाड़ियों का ठहराव जौनपुर सिटी स्टेशन पर आपके कहने से होगा और मुझे कम से कम दो गाड़ियों के ठहराव का वादा भी किया गया। लेकिन अब देखा गया है कि जौनपुर सिटी के रूट से तीन गाड़ियां गायब करके दूसरे रूट से कर दी गईं। जौनपुर जनपद पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश का बहुत महत्वपूर्ण जिला है और यह शिराज-ए-हिंद के नाम से भी जाना जाता है। जौनपुर शिश्ना और बहुत ऐतिहासिक घरों का जिला है।

मैं आपके माध्यम से कुछ महत्वपूर्ण गाड़ियों के बारे में अनुरोध करना चाहता हूं, जैसे ओखा-गुवाहाटी एक्सप्रेस, अमृतसर-डिब्रुगढ़ एक्सप्रेस, टाटा एक्सप्रेस, जलियावाला एक्सप्रेस, कामाख्या एक्सप्रेस, पटना-कोटा एक्सप्रेस …(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष: आप बाकी सब गाड़ियों के नाम माननीय मंत्री जी को लिख कर दे देना, वह मान लेंगे।

...(व्यवधान)
SHRI BENNY BEHANAN (CHALAKUDY): Hon. Speaker Sir, Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2020 dated 23rd March, 2020 should be published in all the official vernacular languages mentioned in the Eighth Schedule to the Constitution to obtain public opinion and conduct consultations with various stakeholders and concerned persons across the country through various public authorities such as State Pollution Control Boards, and then should be discussed in the Parliament as it has far reaching consequences on the life of communities like Adivasis, farmers, coastal and fishermen communities and people who live in villages and near industries and mines whose lives mainly depend on the state of the environment. These drastic changes in the EIA Notification 2020 will have direct impact on the living and working conditions of the people at large, protected areas of the forests and ecologically fragile and sensitive areas, source water and also affect the biodiversity and ecology of our entire country.

EIA Notification 2020 contradicts with the provisions of our basic structure of our Constitution, mainly Article 21, it also contradicts the parent Environment Protection Act, 1986 and several International covenants such as Stockholm Declaration 1972, Rio Declaration 1992 etc, to which India is also a party.
So, my submission is to withdraw the Notification and before publishing a new Notification, we have to discuss the issue in Parliament and get the opinion of the people as it is directly affecting the environment, the livelihood and life of the people.

**KUMARI RAMYA HARIDAS (ALATHUR):** Sir, I raise here a serious issue that several times other Members and I from Kerala have pointed out both inside and outside the Parliament which is about the Mannuthy-Vadakkancheri National Highway and the Kuthiran Tunnel.
It is one of the major roads in Palakkad and Kerala that people use for emergency purpose and to connect with other States.

Its work started 10 years back and even now it has not been completed. The hon. Minister assured in Parliament that the work will be completed before December, 2020 but the company concerned gave up the project; and the National Highways Authority does not pay any attention to this issue. I fear that the work will not be completed on time. So, the Government should consider this issue seriously and take further steps to complete the work on time.

Dr. Nishikant Dubey (Godda): Shryavardh Abhyas Mahoday, mae jise ilake aur jis rajya se aata h, uss ilake me aaj ke samay, pauri bhararhnd rajay mae chori, udkaati, loot, hathy aur balatkaran ki ghataane bhut bhad gaye hain. Pichhal saal neshanl kraam rikko r roo ke hisaab se kult 55,000 kraam hure the. Abhi kowbod ke bade, jabki pauri desh me aapraadh karn horne gaya hain, lekin hamare yahan abhi tak 75,000 se jyada aapraadh rajistaddh hote gaye hain. Ishtna hain nahi, varsh 1857 ke svattantra sangram ke phalne varsh 1855 me sindhu kahn jee, jinhonse svattantra ka bighul bajaraya tha, unke vasjant tak ke hathy hain chuki hain. Hamara rajya tirupati se prashupati, ek taraf chinn ke pireala se prasit hain, dusri taraf, bangladesh aur pakistan ke log aiiesaii eivittiviti ko bhadh rethe hain.

का कोई रोल नहीं है, गृह मंत्रालय का कोई रोल नहीं है। इसीलिए, मैं आपके माध्यम से गृह मंत्रालय से यह अनुरोध करना चाहता हूं कि इस कॉर्नरोड्यूजन क्राइशिस को खत्म करें और यदि राज्य सरकार नहीं मानती है तो राज्य में राष्ट्रपति शासन लगाएं। जय हिंद, जय भारत।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : कुंवर पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह चन्देल, श्री उमेश भैयासाहेब पाटल और डॉ. (प्रो.) किरित प्रेमजीभाई सोलको को श्री निशिकांत दुबे द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

SHRI G.M. SIDDESHWAR (DAVANAGERE): Sir, I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Minister of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, through you, to the need to pass a Government order to avoid overpricing of tractors and agricultural machineries. While the car manufacturers are displaying the MRP on their own websites, the same criteria should apply to tractor manufacturers also so that the farmers will get to know the price of the tractors easily. Hence, it may be made mandatory for all the tractor and agricultural machinery manufacturing companies like power tillers, combined harvesters, rotavators and paddy planters, etc., to publish the selling price, the MRP, of all models of tractors and agricultural machineries in their own websites as well as in the farmers’ portal transparently. The Government Department concerned should do a cross-check of the MRPs of the tractor and the agricultural machineries at the dealership point and strict action should be taken if there is any violation of rules and regulations. Violation of MRP is a big crime. The Government should investigate it thoroughly and take action against the tractor and agricultural machinery manufacturing companies as per the law under the Consumer Protection Act.
Hence, I urge upon the Union Government to pass a Government order
to avoid overpricing of tractor and other agricultural machineries so that the
farmers are greatly benefited. Thank you, Sir.

माननीय अध्यक्ष: कुंवर पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह चन्देल, श्री उन्मेश भैयासाहेब पाटिल और श्री एस.सी.
उदासी को श्री जी. एम. सिद्धीक्ष द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की
जाती है।

श्री हनुमान बननायाल जी - उपरिथित नहीं।

श्री हरीश डिबेदी।

श्री हरीश डिबेदी (बस्ती): माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, आपका बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद माननीय अध्यक्ष
जी, मैं आपका ध्यान अपने संसदीय क्षेत्र बस्ती में स्थित छावनी शहीद स्थल की ओर दिलाना
चाहता हूँ। महोदय, छावनी शहीद स्थल सन् 1857 के प्रथम स्वतंत्रता संग्राम सेनानी से जुड़ा हुआ
आजादी की लड़ाई का जीता-जागता उदाहरण है। इसे राजा जालिम सिंह के राज्य अमोड़ा के
स्वतंत्रता संग्राम के स्थल के रूप में भी जाना जाता है। यह सन् 1857 के विद्रोह के दौरान भारतीय
सेनानियों के लिए मुख्य आश्रय था और यह पीपल के पेड़ के लिए भी जाना जाता है। यहां पर
जनरल किले की हत्या के बाद ब्रिटिश सरकार ने लगभग 250 स्वतंत्रता सेनानियों को फांसी पर
लटका दिया था। अतः मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से आप्रवाह करता हूँ कि छावनी शहीद स्थल की
महत्ता को देखते हुए यहां पर एक राष्ट्रीय स्मारक बनाने की कृपा की जाए।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री सुधीर गुप्ता, श्री सी.पी. जोशी, कुंवर पुष्पेन्द्र सिंह चन्देल और श्री अनुराग
शर्मा को श्री हरीश डिबेदी द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती
है।

श्री एम. बदरुद्दीन अजमल (धुबरी): अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपने मुझे बहुत महत्वपूर्ण विषय पर बोलने के
लिए मौका दिया, उसके लिए मैं आपको धन्यवाद देता हूँ। सर, पूरे असम में दो ही पेपर मिले हैं। वहां
पर इंडस्ट्री के हिसाब से कोई चीज ही नहीं है। वहां पर कहाँ पेपर मिल और नगांव पेपर मिल हैं।
जो इतरफाक से वर्ष 2017 और वर्ष 2015 से बंद पड़ी हैं। आज दोनों पेपर मिलस बंद हैं। लोक सभा इलेक्शन के समय जब प्राइम मिनिस्टर साहब वहां पर गए थे तो उन्होंने वादा किया था कि हम इसे वापस शुरू करेंगे। उसके बाद प्राइम मिनिस्टर असेंबली इलेक्शन में गए थे और इसके लिए वहां के चीफ मिनिस्टर ने भी वादा किया था। अध्यक्ष महादय, आज वहां पर लाखों लोग बेकार हो गए हैं। उनके बच्चों की पढ़ाई वर्ष 2015 से बंद है। कई लोगों ने खुदकुशी कर ली। एक आदमी ने सूसाइड नोट में यह लिखा है कि मैं नहीं रहा हूँ लेकिन मेरी मौत की जिम्मेदारी सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट के ऊपर होगी। यह बड़ा अफसोस की बात है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री मलूक नागर और श्री गिरीश चन्द्र को श्री एम. बदरुद्दीन अजमल द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबद्ध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

जनब बदरूद्दीन (दहौरी): जनब अस्पिकर साहब, मिन आप बहत शकर ग्यार बुन के आप ने मजहू में इम्पोज्स पूरे जिसमें डाया। जनब, पूरे आसम मिन दो बियर मेल्स बीन, और अंकार के हसाब से कोई चिता भी नदीं बी। और बिन प्र के चार बियर मल अपो नोगाऊं बियर मल बी गुए अफाक से 2015 और सल 2017 से बने ने बांधे जब और अफाक सब्यप बने और बिन ने गुए अफाक से वाला कि वड़ ने बहत दस्तान के विषय अनुमति और विषय ने गुए अफाक से वाला।

जनब अस्पिकर साहब, आज और बिन प्र लोकों ने 2015 से बने बी, बने लोकों ने बुने अफाक के बांधे जब और अफाक से वाला कि वड़ ने गुए अफाक से वाला।
SHRI P.V. MIDHUN REDDY (RAJAMPET): Thank you, Sir. I would like to bring a very important issue to your kind notice.

After formation of the new State, a new scam has taken place in the Capital of our State, Andhra Pradesh. Almost 4000 acres of land is part of this scam. The land, which was bought for a few lakhs of rupees, is now worth of crores of rupees. The then Chief Minister had officially announced a different place called Tiruvuru to be the State capital but later he declared another place, where they had bought the land, to be the State capital. It is a breach of oath. It is a huge scam.

Sir, the people who are not even assesseees in the Income-Tax data and who are white ration card holders, own crores of rupees. It clearly shows that they hold these benami properties there. It is one of the biggest scams the country has seen.

Sir, we have started an investigation through a Special Investigation Team (SIT). What we request is, since we are in power in the State, we do not want to be seen as doing a biased investigation. We want the CBI to take up this case. In this regard, our Government has already written to the Central Government to investigate this case through CBI.

Similarly, huge irregularities and misappropriations have been unearthed with regard to AP State FibreNet Limited. The misappropriated amount is close
to Rs.2000 crores. There are substantial proofs in both the cases, which I have referred to. Therefore, I humbly request the Central Government to let the CBI investigate these cases in a very fair manner.

Sir, there has been a spate of instances in the State specially to instigate communal violence. Recently, a *rath* (chariot) was set on fire. We demand a CBI inquiry into this incident also so that a fair investigation could be done. This is a very serious case. These incidents have been happening there just to instigate communal violence. Therefore, we want a free and fair probe into this case as well. ....*(Interruptions)* Sir, yesterday, the High Court has passed a gag order to put a stay on the investigation by SIT and issued an injunction against any media reporting on FIR and its contents.....*(Interruptions)* All this is happening because the *(Interruptions)*....*(Interruptions)* is the kith and kin of a judge. The law should be same for all, whether he is a Prime Minister or a common man.

....*(Interruptions)*

**श्रीमती लॉकेट चटर्जी (हुगली):** अध्यक्ष महोदय, मुझे बहुत दुख के साथ कहना पड़ रहा है कि पश्चिम बंगाल खतरे में है और पुराना कश्मीर बन रहा है। इस लॉकडाउन के टाइम में, कोरोना को लेकर हुगली क्षेत्र के तेलिनीपाड़ा में दंगा हो गया। एक पत्तीकुलर कम्युनिटी के लोग कोरोना टेस्ट नहीं करवाएं, ऐसा बोलकर मतभेद हुआ, जिसने दंगे का रूप ले लिया। तीन दिनों तक यह दंगा चला, बाहर से लोग बॉम्ब इक्कड़ा करके, वहां आकर दंगा किया, किसी का घर लूट लिया, इसी की दुकान जला दी, महिलाओं के ऊपर हाथ लगाया गया और मंदिर तोड़ दिया गया। अर्जुन सिंह जी और मैंने वहां जाने की कोशिश की, लेकिन हम लोगों को रोका गया। डीएम और कमिश्नर हमसे मिले भी नहीं। वर्ष 2016 में दुर्गा पूजा विसर्जन के टाइम, वर्ष 2017 में राम नवमी के टाइम में इस...
The aunt-nephew duo will milk the cow while the common people of West Bengal will get the kick and they will suffer. There is not an iota of democracy in the State. BJP workers are being killed one after another just like serial killing and are hanged from the trees.*

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री उमेश भैयासाहेब पाटल, श्री सी.पी. जोशी एवं श्री सुधीर गुप्ता को श्रीमती लॉकेट चटज़ारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंधित है उनकी अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

SHRI KODIKUNNIL SURESH (MAVELIKKARA): Hon. Speaker, Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity to raise a very urgent matter of public importance.

Sir, the hon. Minister of Information Technology, Shri Ravi Shanker Prasad is sitting with us. I would like to draw his attention, through you, to the fact that the entire media, today and yesterday, reported that a Shenzhen-based Chinese information technology company, Zhenhua Data Information Technology Company, has been monitoring over 10,000 individuals, including the entire spectrum of political leadership. This is an utmost condemnable act of violation of international norms and data privacy.

The Chinese aggression to incite a hybrid warfare against India and its political leadership is a violation of all laws, international decorum and

* * * English translation of this part of the speech originally delivered in Bengali.
practices, and decency. The Chinese aggression in multiple factors, including cyber espionage, assumes significance when India is engaged in a simmering stand-off with China along the Line of Actual Control in Ladakh.

The Overseas Key Information Database developed by the Shenzhen-based information technology firm, a company with links to the Chinese Government and the Community Party, which features Indian political leadership, is an act of cyber war.

This action by China must be protested at all forums internationally. The Chinese Ambassador in India must be summoned by the Government to register its strongest protest and appropriate action must be initiated at all forums to prevent such transgressions by China against India.

Thank you.

श्री अधीर रंजन चौधरी (बहरामपुर): सर, हमारे देश के राष्ट्रपति जी से लेकर राष्ट्रदूत तक, प्रधान मिनिस्टर से लेकर पत्रकार तक, राजा से प्रजा तक सब चाइनीज निरीक्षण के चंगुल में फंस गए हैं। इससे निपटने के लिए कोई रास्ता है या नहीं, मुझे पता नहीं है। लेकिन यह सच है कि हमारे देश में कोरोना की एप्सेंस चाइना से हुई, हमारे देश में लद्दाख में फिजिकल एप्सेंस चाइना कर रहा है, अब डिजिटल एप्सेंस भी चाइना कर रहा है। जो आज इस निरीक्षण के चंगुल में सारा देश फंस गया है, क्या इस सरकार को पता नहीं है? अगर बाहर से कोई कंपनी इस तरह से निरीक्षण करती रहे तो हमारी नेशनल सिक्योरिटी कहां जाएगी? हमारी नेशनल सिक्योरिटी तार-तार हो रही है।...(व्यवधान) सर, हमारी नेशनल सिक्योरिटी तार-तार हो रही है।...(व्यवधान) हमारे आईटी मिनिस्टर यहां हैं, मैं उनसे दरखास्त करूंगा। ...(व्यवधान) सर, मेरी बात खत्म हो
I would also like the hon. Minister to elucidate, to explain the issue in Parliament.

Thank you.
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श्री भानु प्रताप सिंह वर्मा (जालौन): अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं अनुसूचित जातियों तथा अनुसूचित जनजातियों के कल्याण संबंधी समिति (2020-2021) के निम्नलिखित प्रतिवेदन प्रस्तुत करता हूँ:

(1) कोयला मंत्रालय से संबंधित ‘कोल इंडिया लिमिटेड और इसकी अनुपृवृक्ष कंपनियों में अनुसूचित जातियों तथा अनुसूचित जनजातियों के लिए आरक्षण नीति का कार्यान्वयन’ विषय के बारे में समिति का दूसरा प्रतिवेदन।

(2) संचार मंत्रालय से संबंधित (दूसरा संचार विभाग) "भारत संचार निगम लिमिटेड के विशेष संदर्भ में सरकारी सेवाओं, सरकारी क्षेत्र के उपक्रमों और स्वायत्तशासी निकायों में निजीकरण, बाह्य स्रोतों से कार्य और संविदालीक्ष सेवाओं के आलोक में अनुसूचित जातियों तथा अनुसूचित जनजातियों के लिए आरक्षण सुनिश्चित करने हेतु अर्थात्
विषय के बारे में समिति का तीसरा प्रतिवेदन।

(3) सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय से संबंधित 'प्रसार भारती के विशेष संदर्भ में सरकारी सेवाओं, सरकारी क्षेत्र के उपक्रमों और स्वायत्तशासी निकायों में निजीकरण, बाह्य स्रोतों से कार्य और संविदालीक्ष सेवाओं के आलोक में अनुसूचित जातियों तथा अनुसूचित जनजातियों के लिए आरक्षण सुनिश्चित करने हेतु अर्थात्
विषय के बारे में समिति का चौथा प्रतिवेदन।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: माननीय सदस्यगण, मैं पुनः आपसे आयाम करना चाहता हूँ। पूरा देश इस विपरीत परिस्थिति के अंदर देख रहा है कि संसद किस तरीके से सबके सामूहिक प्रयासों से देश हित में काम कर रही है। माननीय मुलाम सिंह यादव जी जैसे वरिष्ठ सांसद भी इस परिस्थिति में
पढ़ाए हैं कि लोकतंत्र मजबूत हो जाए । मेरा आपसे आग्रह है कि हम एक व्यक्ति नहीं हैं, हम एक संस्था हैं और 15 लाख लोगों का प्रतिनिधित्व करते हैं। कोरोना संकट को देखते हुए, जो हमारी गाइडलाइन्स हैं, जैसे मास्क पहनना, सुरक्षित रहने के लिए सीट के सामने शीट लगाना और डिस्टेंस में होना आदि । मेरा आपसे आग्रह है कि सभी व्यवस्था की दृष्टि से बैठक करें । मैं सभी माननीय सदस्यों को पयास समय और पयास अवसर दूंगा ।

SHRI BHARTRUHARI MAHTAB (CUTTACK): Sir, it is a very important matter not only for our State but also for the whole country. It is about the decision that the Central Government is taking with regard to the issue of royalty. The State of Odisha is producing about half of the country's iron ore, besides substantial quantities of chromite, bauxite, limestone and manganese ore. However, the State is being deprived of its reasonable expectation of revenue from such mining. The royalty on these minerals was last revised five years back, on 1st September, 2014. Even though there is a provision permitting revision of royalty every three years, it has not been done.

The premiums offered by the bidders in cases of mining leases granted through auction are indicative of the jurisdiction for raising the rates of royalty in order to improve the revenue share of the State Government. For non-revision of royalty, the State is being deprived of its legitimate share of revenues from such mining.

I urge upon the Government to enhance the rate of royalty in the case of iron ore, chromite, and bauxite at the earliest. Thank you, Sir.
श्री प्रसूत बनजी (हावड़ा): अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं हावड़ा संसदीय क्षेत्र से आता हूँ, मैं आपके माध्यम से रेलवे मिनिस्टर से रिव्यूस्ट करता हूँ कि हावड़ा में एक छोटा जिला बक्सर है। बक्सर आइसलैंड की तरह है। साथ में गंगा नदी है और दूसरी तरफ कोई रास्ता नहीं है। हावड़ा से सोनागाछी तक चार क्रासिंग हैं। जब भी क्रासिंग बंद होती है, तो लोगों को बहुत परेशानी होती है। यदि कोई एम्बुलेंस उस समय आ जाती है, तो उसे 30 से लेकर 45 मिनट तक इंतजार करना पड़ता है। देरी की वजह से कई बार लोगों की मृत्यु हो जाती है। इसलिए अब हावड़ा से सोनागाछी तक चार जंगल बसर है। जगत से उस के बारे में सोनागाछी के मुकल हो रही है। मेरा मंीजी से इन्वेदन है कि बहुत बार रेलमंडल के कहा है कि लोगों को बहुत ज्यादा मुश्किलें हो रही हैं। मेरा मंीजी से समझ चुका हूँ कि लोगों को बहुत ज्यादा मुश्किलें हो रही है। 

श्री राजीव प्रताप रुडी (सारण): अध्यक्ष जी, मेरी चिंता बाघों के बारे में है और भारत में अभी बाघों की संख्या सरकार के प्रयास से दो हजार से बढ़कर तीन हजार हो गई है, जिसे दुनिया में मिलाके के तौर पर माना जा रहा है। हाल ही में थानाफ, नेपाल से जुड़े बाल्मकी नगर टाइगर रिजर्व में था, जहां बाघों की संख्या बढ़कर 41 हो गई है। बिहार में एक और स्थान है, जो भारत का सबसे बड़ा टाइगर रिजर्व होगा। क्योंकि बिहार और झारखंड के बंटवारे के बाद पलामू झारखंड में चला गया और बिहार के पास एक वाल्मीकी नगर टाइगर रिजर्व रह गया है। अभी इसकी चौड़ाई 11 सौ वर्ग किलोमीटर है, जो भारत का सबसे बड़ा टाइगर रिजर्व बन सकता है। यह झारखंड, उत्तर प्रदेश और मध्य प्रदेश के सभी जंगलों से मिलता है। वर्ष 1995 में अंतिम बाघ यहां दिखा था और हाल-फिलहाल भी यहां एक बाघ दिखा है। 

अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से मांग करता हूँ कि कैमूर बिहार का एक बहुत ही अच्छा जंगल है, उसे नेशनल व्यापार पार्क के रूप में भी अपने रंग में विकसित करने के लिए भारत सरकार अपनी स्वीकृति दे। धन्यवाद।
माननीय अध्यक्ष : श्री देवजी एम. पटेल, श्री नारायणभाई काछिड़या, श्री गिरीश चन्द्र, श्री मलूक नागर, श्री सी. पी. जोशी और श्री जगदम्बिका पाल को श्री राजीव प्रताप रूडी द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

श्री लालूभाई बी. पटेल (दमन और दीव): अध्यक्ष महोदय, आज आपने मुझे अपने संसदीय क्षेत्र दादरा-नगर हवेली और दमन-दीव की ओर से एक महत्वपूर्ण मुद्दा पर जीरो ऑवर में बोलने का मौका दिया है, इसके लिए मैं आपका धन्यवाद करता हूँ। मैं आपके माध्यम से विदेश मंत्री जी का ध्यान इस ओर आकर्षित करना चाहता हूँ कि अपने देश के कई मछुआरे पाकिस्तान की जेल में कैद हैं। इस मुद्दे पर आपे जाने से पहले मैं माननीय प्रधान मंत्री, श्री नरेंद्र भाई मोदी जी और रविवारी हीरामती सुभाष स्वराज जी का धन्यवाद करना चाहता हूँ कि उनके कार्यकाल के दौरान और उनकी मेहनत से बहुत सारे मछुआरे छूट कर आए थे। आज की तारीख में 270 मछुआरे और लगभग 12 जो बोट्स पाकिस्तान के कब्जे में है। जो गुजरात, महाराष्ट्र और दमन-दीव की हैं। कई मछुआरे एक साल होने से पहले छूट जाते थे, लेकिन इस समय ज्यादा समय लगा। इसलिए मैं आपके माध्यम से विदेश मंत्री जी से निवेदन करता हूँ कि वे पाकिस्तान के विदेश मंत्री के समक्ष अपनी बात रखें और उन मछुआरों और उनकी बोट्स को छुड़ाने की प्रक्रिया शुरू करें। उन मछुआरों की फैमिलीज काफी समय से परेशान है। वहां के हालात के बारे में उनको सूचना नहीं मिलती है। उन केदी मछुआरों को पत्र लिखना भी मना है, इस कारण उनकी फैमिलीज बहुत परेशान हैं। मैं माननीय प्रधान मंत्री जी को एक बार फिर से धन्यवाद करता हूँ और विदेश मंत्री जी से निवेदन करता हूँ कि इसका निवारण हो, ताकि घरवाले राहत की सांस ले। अभी मैं आपका भी बहुत धन्यवाद करता हूँ।

श्री सुनील कुमार पिन्नू (सीतामढ़ी): अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं आपके प्रति आभार प्रकट करता हूँ कि आपने मुझे किसानों की समस्या उठाने का मौका दिया है। मैं सीतामढ़ी, सीता जी की प्रकट स्थापना से चुन कर आया हूँ। वहाँ हर साल बाढ़ आती है और किसानों की फसल बाढ़ में बर्बाद हो जाती
है। सीतामढी में एक मात्र शुगर केन की एक फैक्ट्री, रीगा शुगर मिल है। उस मिल पर सीतामढी के किसानों का 100 करोड़ रुपये से भी ज्यादा बकाया है।

अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से भारत सरकार से यह कहना चाहता हूं कि वह उसमें इंटरफेर करे। आज उस मिल ने किसानों को पैसा देने से हाथ खड़ा कर लिया है। आज सीतामढी जिले के किसानों की स्थिति बहुत दयनीय है। वे आधिक रूप से टूट चुके हैं, उनकी कमर टूट चुकी है। आज न तो वे अपने बच्चों को पढ़ा सकते हैं और न अपनी बचियों की शादी कर सकते हैं। किसानों का लगभग 100 करोड़ रुपया रीगा शुगर मिल के पास बंद पड़े हुआ है, जो रीगा मिल नहीं दे रही है। इसलिए मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से आयाम करना चाहता हूं कि किसानों की दयनीय हालत को देखते हुए, रीगा मिल से किसानों का पैसा अविलंब दिलवाने की व्यवस्था की जाए।

आपने मुझे बोलने के लिए समय दिया, इसके लिए मैं आपको धन्यवाद देता हूं।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्रीमती रमा देवी को श्री सुनील कुमार पिन्टू द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

DR. K. JAYAKUMAR (TIRUVALLUR): Mr. Speaker, Sir, thanks for the opportunity. Pulicat lake is the seafront of my constituency. This Pulicat lake is a shallow water lake where 25,000 people are dependent on fishing.

A Bar Mouth is required for it. For this, the Environment Ministry has to give the approval. All procedures have been followed and the State Government has also sent the proposal. Finance has been arranged internally by State Government. The Environment and Forest Ministry has to give the approval for going ahead with the work.

It is a matter of life of 25,000 people. Through you, I would plead with the hon. Minister of Environment and Forest to give an early approval. Thank you.
SHRI RAHUL RAMESH SHEWALE (MUMBAI SOUTH-CENTRAL): Hon’ble Speaker Sir, we keep on citing the examples of Shivaji Maharaj’s bravery. Shivaji Maharaj belonged to the Maratha Community. Today, I will speak on the reservation issue of Maratha Community.

Speaker Sir, there is a famous slogan of ‘Jai Jawan Jai Kisan’. Around 4 crore Marathas who also belong to farming community, struggling for reservation in education and jobs. Worker’s leader late Annasaheb Patil demanded for Maratha reservation for the first time in 1982. He led a movement for Maratha reservation in the Mantralaya and Marathas started pressing their demand since then. In the year 2013-14, Maratha community came together. Lacs of Maratha youth started demonstrating in the streets without any political leadership. Their silent and disciplined protests showed their commitment to the cause as well as their patience.

Actually there is a 50% cap on reservations and by reiterating it, Bapat Commission denied reservation for Marathas. But, on the basis of a survey conducted by Rane Committee, reservation was given in the year 2014. However, it could not sustain in the court of law. In December 2018,
Maharashtra legislature passed the bill on Maratha reservation and started implementing it. State Commission for Backward Community conducted a survey for backwardness of Marathas and after identifying the necessity for reservation, it was passed. But, in June 2019, it was stayed by Hon’ble High Court. State Government approached Supreme Court against it. Subsequently, Supreme Court referred this matter to the Constitution Branch as expected. But, the decision was quite shocking.

Sir, the State Government has got a constitutional mandate under schedule 16 of the Constitution to decide about the social and educational backwardness of the particular community.

On the very basis of it, Government of Maharashtra had offered this reservation. But only by citing the cap of 50%, court cannot deny reservation to the Maratha Community.

Hon’ble Speaker Sir, as of now the issue of Maratha reservation is limited only to the State of Maharashtra. But, it is bound to have long lasting effects on the reservation in other states too. It is going to spark reservation movements in the states of Rajasthan, Gujarat, Punjab, Haryana and hence, through you, I would like to request Union Government to stand by the Government of Maharashtra. Union Government should also support this demand in the Supreme Court or promulgate a special ordinance in connection with this Maratha reservation.

Hon’ble Sir, Hon’ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra has promised the people of Maharashtra that he would fight for Maratha reservation, strongly
and whole heartedly. In spite of that, some anti social elements are trying to vitiate the atmosphere. Hence, through you, I would like to request the leaders of all the political parties not to get indulged in petty politics. Rather, they should come forward to join hands with Government of Maharashtra. It is our lawful duty to ensure law and order and maintain communal and social harmony in our state.

Thank you.

HON. SPEAKER: No, kindly conclude within one minute only.

... (Interruptions)

SHRI ANUBHAV MOHANTY (KENDRAPADA): Mahodayam, mein sochta hoon ki anek news par baapar hai ek khabar aur usse jude hua ek muh bole na chaahunga... (Vivahana)

MAANNEY ADHYAKSHA: AAP BOLTE REHTE HAI.

... (Vivahana)
श्री अनुभव मोहंती: महोदय, कुलदीप सिंह, बलबीर सिंह जी के बेटे हैं, जो सेना में रहे हैं और विकलांग भी हैं। कुलदीप सिंह अपने परिवार का एकमात्र कमाऊ सदस्य है, जिन पर रोहतक सिस्टर्स द्वारा मोलेस्टेशन का … * आरोप छह साल पहले लगाया गया था। मीडिया ने उन महिलाओं को रोहतक ब्रेक्स का नाम दिया था। बाद में कुलदीप सिंह को एक नहीं, बल्कि दो-दो अदालतों द्वारा निर्दोष घोषित किया गया। अदालत ने भले ही कुलदीप को निर्दोष घोषित कर दिया है, लेकिन छह साल पहले कुलदीप ने सेना में शामिल होने का जो सपना देखा था, वह कभी खो गया है। उसके … * मामले और मीडिया ट्रायल के कारण परीक्षा में बैठने की अनुमति नहीं थी और अब उन्होंने हाल ही में ब्रेड डी के लिए एचएसएससी की परीक्षा पास की है और उसे अगस्त में एचएफआईआर में शामिल होना है। लेकिन आईपीसी की धारा 354 के तहत फॉल्स एफआईआर के कारण उसकी ज्वाइनिंग नहीं हो पाई है, वह रोक दी गई है। इस मामले में जज ने कहा था कि “…Prima facie, no case was made out.”, लेकिन अभी भी कुलदीप को इसका खामियाजा भुगतान पड़ रहा है।...

माननीय अध्यक्ष: में माईक वालों से आग्रह कर रहा हूँ कि एक मिनट में माईक बंद कर दिया जाए।...

श्री अनुभव मोहंती: महोदय, मीडिया ट्रायल ने उसके जीवन को नर्क बना दिया है।...

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री निहाल बन्द जी।...

माननीय अध्यक्ष: अब आप खड़े नहीं हो सकते हैं, इसलिए एक मिनट में माईक बंद हो जाएगा और आपको बैठना पड़ेगा।...

* Not recorded.
श्री निहाल चन्द्र चौहान (गंगानगर): अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपने मुझे शून्य काल में बोलने का मौका दिया है, मैं इसके लिए आपको धन्यवाद देता हूँ।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: आपको मौका तो दिया है, लेकिन सिर्फ एक मिनट के लिए।

श्री निहाल चन्द्र चौहान: मैं राजस्थान प्रदेश से आता हूँ और राजस्थान प्रदेश में पिछले दिनों टिड्डियों द्वारा किसानों की पूरी फसल को तबाह कर दिया गया है। राजस्थान प्रदेश की करीब 90 हजार एकड़ भूमि की फसलों को टिड्डियां खा चुकी हैं। सारी की सारी फसलें नष्ट हो चुकी हैं।

इन टिड्डियों का विशाल दल जमकर संसदीय क्षेत्र श्री गंगानगर और हुनमानगढ़ में भी पहुँचा। वहां सारी की सारी फसलें खराब हो चुकी हैं। खरीफ की फसल ओला वृषि के कारण खराब हुई है और रबी की फसल टिड्डियों की वजह से तबाह हो गई है।

महोदय, मैं आपके माध्यम से सरकार से यह आग्रह करना चाहूंगा कि पिछले दिनों टिड्डियों के कारण जो अरब पये के फसलें खराब हुई थीं, राजस्थान सरकार उसका सवेरा करवाकर उसका मुआवजा दे।... (स्वयंधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री दुर्योधन सिंह और श्री देवजी पटेल को श्री निहाल चन्द्र चौहान द्वारा उठाए गए विषय के साथ संबंध करने की अनुमति प्रदान की जाती है।

श्री हसनैन मसूदी जी।

...(स्वयंधान)

श्री हसनैन मसूदी (अनन्तनाग): माननीय अध्यक्ष महोदय, जम्मू-कश्मीर में हजारों लोग गिरफ्तार किए गए हैं। अब इस जुलाई-सितंबर की एक नई रूपरेखा हो गई है। फेक एनकाउंटर्स का एक सिलसिला शुरू हो गया है। एक महीने पहले यह दावा किया गया था कि तीन खूँखार मिलिटेंट्स को एनकाउंटर में मार गिराया गया है और साउथ कश्मीर में मिलिटेंट्स का सफाया हो गया है। चार दिनों के बाद ही यह बात जाहिर हुई कि वे लोग राजौरी के मज़दूर थे और वे इसलिए आए थे, ताकि वे अपनी तात्कालिक के लिए पैसे जमा कर सकें। उसके बाद आरोपी ने एक इनवेस्टिगेशन शुरू की और एक इनवेस्टिगेशन पुलिस ने भी शुरू की। लेकिन आज तक डीएनए सेम्पल्स के बावजूद भी
तहरीकता किसी कंलूज़न पर नहीं आ रही है। उसके बाद आज ही सोपोर में एक और कास्टोडियल डेथ हुई है।

ये सारे जो ऐसे मामले हो रहे हैं, जूल्म-ए-सितम की जो नई इंतेहा हो रही है, इससे दूरियाँ और बढ़ रही हैं। इससे किसी का कोई फायदा नहीं होगा। यह में इस हाउस में कहना चाहता हूँ।

DR. BEESETTI VENKATA SATYAVATHI (ANAKAPALLE): Hon. Speaker,

Sir, thank you for giving me an opportunity to raise an important matter in the Zero Hour. I would like to bring to the notice of the august House that during the post Covid-19 lockdown relaxation, there has been a noticeable reverse migration in Visakhapatnam, Vizianagaram, Prakasam, Srikakulam, Ananthapur, Kurnool and Chittoor districts of Andhra Pradesh. Through you, Sir, I would like to request the Government, and especially the Ministry of Rural Development, to kindly include these seven districts of Andhra Pradesh under the Garib Kalyan Rojgar Abhiyaan and also to provide funds as given to the similar 116 districts of six States from the whole of India.

SHRI P. RAVEENDRANATH KUMAR (THENI): Sir, as works dried up in the Covid-19 Lockdown in cities, the migrant workers returned from cities to their native villages. They depended on the works under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act for their livelihood. Accordingly, MNREGA played a vital role in providing timely relief for them with prompt wage payments at their native villages. In my State, Tamil Nadu, there are about 85 lakh active workers who have registered themselves under the MNREGA. Though the extension of MNREGA works has, indeed, brought
cheer to the rural people in Tamil Nadu to overcome the effects of disaster a little bit more, people need to be permitted to work for at least 200 days in a year. At this juncture, I would like to appeal to the Union Government, through you, Sir, for taking up suitable measures by increasing the guaranteed employment from 100 days to 200 days and continue to provide life and health insurance coverage under the *Jan shree Bima Yojana* and the *Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana*.

**Shrimati Bhawana Gawli (Patil) (Yavatmal-Vashim):** Mananiya Adhyaksh Mahoday, mein Janganana Karyakram, 2021 jo Bharat Sarkar dhrara aarambh huwa hai, ke bare me bole na chaahitii hoo. Usmene obiesi ka kollam n hone ke karan obiesi von ki jantaa aur netaa aandolan kare rahi hain. Mandal aayog ki rishoort ke anusaar vaar 1931 me huieee janganana ke aadhaar par obiesi pramag ki jansankhya 52 fisadi thi, uske baad obiesi ki swastva janganana nahi hue hain.

M mein maang karhii hoon ke aante, diante thi aany jo samaj obiesi me aate hain, unheh nyay mile.

Issi ke saath maradi kranti moorchh ke bare me bola gaya hai, jo viyash suprem korde me hain, us par bhii kendra sarkar pahal karre. Agar 50 perscent aarshkan se aage jana ke kam hota hai, to uskone aage jaakar aarshkan ke bare me soochana chaahitthi aur obiesi ke bare me maradi kranti moorchh ki joi maang hain, uspe pura karya jaaye.

**Mananiya Adhyaksh:** Saryashri Shriyang Aapnaa barse, aum pavan rajneetibalakar, rajan baburav vichhare aur maluk naar ko shrimati bhawana gawli (Patil) dhrara utaare gae viyash se samhandh karen ke aamunjat pradan ki jaati hain.
SHRIMATI NUSRAT JAHAN (BASIRHAT): Sir, the Governments of various States announced a complete lockdown of public places like theatres from 15\textsuperscript{th} March 2020. This was followed by the social distancing advisory issued by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare on 16\textsuperscript{th} March 2020. These measures have led not only to a shutdown of several theatres countrywide but also led to an indefinite halt in the production of several films, television serials, and other entertainment medium.
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Because of this, the entire industry has been suffering, and lakhs and lakhs of people have lost their jobs. The condition of the Bengal film industry is also very bad. Thousands of people working in the film industry directly or
indirectly like actors, singers, technicians, make-up artists and thousands of labourers working behind the camera and in the production teams are absolutely jobless. The industry is facing a huge financial crisis. As a colleague, along with other people from the film fraternity, I have tried to help but could not. Therefore, I would request the Government to look into the matter and sanction relief packages for the entertainment industry and its people for their immediate revival.
Need to address concerns of farmers due to proposed land acquisition by Army in Ahmednagar
Need to streamline the auction process for confiscated goods entering India from Nepal in Uttar Pradesh

Shri Ajay Mishra Tej Bahadur (Khiri): - The Lok Sabha member from Lakhimpur, U.P., has been discussing the issue of the confiscation of goods entering India from Nepal in Uttar Pradesh. The Lok Sabha member has noted that the process of auctioning these goods has been streamlined with the help of the Revenue Department, Agriculture Department, and the Police. The local administration has gradually become more efficient. However, several times, goods that are seized are not auctioned, and the seized items are taken away. It has been informed that some of the future seizures are being taken away from the customs department and are being sold for the benefit of the government department. The Lok Sabha member has requested the government to take some initiative so that the thefts at the border do not continue and the unauthorized and illegal activities are stopped in this manner. 

The Lok Sabha member has advised that there should be some method to stop thefts at the border and to stop such unauthorized and illegal activities.
Need to develop Lalit Narayan Mishra Railway Hospital in Gorakhpur Parliamentary Constituency, Uttar Pradesh into Medical college

Shri Rav Kishan (Gorakhpur): My parliamentary constituency Gorakhpur is home to the Siddharth Shri Lalit Narayan Mishra Railway Hospital which is managed by the Indian Railway Department and has been serving the railway employees and their families for a long time. The hospital is currently under the governance of the Indian Railways and is being operated for the benefit of the employees and their families. In the current scenario, due to the shortage of medical staff, severe cases are being referred to other hospitals. If the hospital is upgraded to a medical college, it will provide medical training to the employees of the railway department. 

I urge my esteemed Rail Minister to consider upgrading the Lalit Narayan Mishra Railway Hospital to a medical college.
(iv) Need to scrap the creamy layer system in OBC reservation and also include OBC census in Census-2021

श्रीमती रक्षा निखिल खाडसे (रावेर): अन्य पिछड़ा वर्ग (ओबीसी) के कई संगठनों से प्राप्त निवेदन में ओबीसी आरक्षण नियमों में कीमी लेयर (जिसमें वेतन और घरेलू आय को शामिल किया है) प्रावधान को समाप्त करने की मांग सभी संगठनों ने की है, जिसके चलते ज्यादातर ओबीसी वर्ग के लोग वंचित रहे हैं। इस संदर्भ में ओबीसी आरक्षण में कीमी लेयर प्रावधानों पर संसदीय समिति रिपोर्ट को सरकार ब्रांच राज्याधिकारी जायें यह भी मांग रखी है जिससे ओबीसी आरक्षण का फायदा इन वर्ग के लोगों को मिले। आने वाले 2021 की आयोजित जनगणना में ओबीसी जाति की जनगणना की जाये ताकि ओबीसी जनगणना के अनुरूप इसे आरक्षण बढ़ा कर मिले, इसकी भी मांग रखी है।

मैं सरकार से एवं सामाजिक न्याय मंत्री जी से अनुरोध करती हूं कि इन ओबीसी आरक्षण नियमों में कीमी लेयर प्रावधान को समाप्त करने तथा जाति अनुरूप ओबीसी जनगणना करने के लिए सरकार से निवेदन करती हूं।
(v) Regarding special assistance package for Vidarbha

SHRI SUNIL BABURAO MENDHE (BHANDARA-GONDIYA): I am raising a very important and urgent matter. Vidarbha region of Maharashtra has witnessed the worst floods in decades. The flood fury was witnessed in Nagpur, Bhandara, Gondia, Chandrapur and Gadchiroli districts. Almost entire Bhandara town was marooned with the deluge extending in rural areas also.

A total of 148 villages from 14 tehsils in Nagpur, Bhandara, Chandrapur, Gondia and Gadchiroli districts have been affected due to the water released from dam in Chhindwara district and not fewer than 92,000 persons have been estimated to be affected. The loss of property, crops and farmland, infrastructure has been severe. But the State Government has sanctioned mere Rs 16.50 cr financial assistance for those hit by floods. Hence, the Central Government should immediately announce a special assistance package for Vidarbha.
(vi) Regarding State Business Reform Action Plan 2019 (BRAP)

SHRIMATI APARAJITA SARANGI (BHUBANESWAR) : Making India “Atmanirbhar” has been on top of the current Central Government’s agenda. I would like to draw the attention of the Government to the “State Business Reform Action Plan 2019” (BRAP) ranking which shows how the States in India have been faring in Ease of Doing Business. The State of Odisha, a State which was placed in the 14th position in 2018, has dropped to the 29th position in 2019. Meanwhile, India has made an impressive jump from position 142 last year to 63 in the World Bank’s ranking for Ease of Doing Business. Hence, in the greater interest of furthering the goal to become “Atmanirbhar”, I implore the Minister for Commerce and Industry to call for a Report from the Government of Odisha seeking the details of the implementations of the reform action points.
(vii) Need to set up a Mega Food Park in Guna Parliamentary Constituency, Madhya Pradesh

Shri Kusumpal Singh Yadav (Guna): Lok Sabha constituency Guna is agriculture-oriented. It has a population of about 70 thousand, and the agriculture sector accounts for a major portion of the livelihood. Farmers here cultivate sugarcane, cotton, gram, tur, sesame, and tomatoes, among others. These products are marketed across the country, and maintaining their quality and supply is crucial to protect farmers from any loss. Hence, if a Mega Food Park is established in Guna, it will benefit the farmers by providing a market for their produce, allowing them to stay in their own towns. It will also provide employment opportunities for the youth in the area. Moreover, the government will benefit from increased agricultural productivity and value addition.

Apart from the above, the Mega Food Park will also create opportunities for the rural youth. The exodus of the workforce from rural areas is a significant concern. By establishing a Mega Food Park, we can provide employment opportunities, reducing the pressure on urban areas. This will enable the government to focus on value addition and marketing, which will benefit the entire agricultural sector.

Guna Lok Sabha constituency has the potential to become a hub for the agricultural sector. The establishment of a Mega Food Park will not only benefit the farmers but will also enhance the economic growth of the constituency.
(viii) Regarding resolution of problems afflicting Tripura Autonomous District Council

Shri Rabti Tripura (Tripura Purosh): Tripura Autonomous District Council's problems are being taken up by the Centre. In this regard, the Centre has been thinking about the need for changes, and TADC has been asked to take appropriate action to increase the seats and budget so that the problems can be resolved continuously.
(ix) Regarding construction of Garhwa-Rehla bypass road in Jharkhand

Shri Vishnu Dayal Ram (Palamau): NH 75 पर गढ़वा-रेहला 4 लेन बाई-पास बनाने का कार्य NHAI को सूचुंद किया गया है। इस बाई-पास की लंबाई 22.730 KM है और यह शंख से खजूरी तक जाती है। NH 75 झारखंड राज्य की सबसे व्यस्त एंव पुरानी सड़क है। गढ़वा के लोग इस बाईपास की मांग पिछले 20 वर्षों से कर रहे हैं।

MORTH द्वारा तैयार किए गए प्रोजेक्ट्स की उच्च प्रार्थनकता सूची में गढ़वा बाई-पास के निर्माण को रखा गया है। NHAI ने दिनांक 14.1.2020 को ही bid submission की तिथि निर्धारित की थी परंतु SFC की मीटिंग नहीं होने के चलते तिथि में बार-बार परिवर्तन हो रहा है। वर्तमान में Bid समर्पित करने की अंतिम तिथि 20.9.2020 निर्धारित है।

अतः माननीय मंत्री जी से अनुरोध है की SFC की मीटिंग बुलाने एंव इस प्रोजेक्ट को स्वीकृति प्रदान करने हेतु संबंधित पदाधिकारियों को निर्देश देने की कृपा करें।
Regarding revision of wages for ASHA workers

SHRI G. S. BASAVARAJ (TUMKUR) : The unsung heroes of the battle against Covid-19 pandemic have most definitely been the millions of frontline women workers, especially Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAS) who have been silently working at the community level. They are engaged in numerous activities ranging from door-to-door surveys, contact tracing, awareness campaigns and monitoring quarantine/isolation. This is in addition to their regular roles ranging from taking care of pregnant women, keeping track of immunisations and making home-visits for the care of the new-born. For more than 9 million Asha workers, their monthly earning is around Rs 2,000 which is a pittance compared to the minimum wages for unskilled workers i.e., Rs 9,750/- per month suggested by an expert committee. On Covid-19 front they have been promised an incentive of Rs 1,000/- a month, but many have not received this as yet. Asha workers are not supplied PPE, Gloves/masks etc and are prone to Covid-19 infection and facing social stigma and ostracisation from community. I urge the Government to revise the wages for Asha workers and make them live and earn with dignity.
Need to take flood control measures in Maldaha Uttar Parliamentary Constituency, West Bengal and provide compensation to the affected people

Shri Khagendra Murmu (Maldaha Uttar): I want the government to take steps for flood control in my constituency. Most of the area is situated on the banks of the Ganges and Fulhar rivers. The floods and land degradation are occurring in all the villages along the rivers. Due to the gradual land degradation, thousands of acres of farmland have been lost, causing hardship to the people. The government should take steps to stop the floods and land degradation and provide compensation to those affected, and immediately instruct the district administration to take necessary steps.
(xii) Need to construct stretch of National Highway No. 24 between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur in Uttar Pradesh

Shri Rajesh Verma (Sitapur): The Government has focused on the construction of National Highway 24 between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur. The Government is keen to construct this stretch of National Highway between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur. This road will connect the new capital city with the state capital Lucknow. The Government is prepared to take immediate action in this regard. The Government is also prepared to take immediate action in this regard.

Shri Rajesh Verma (Sitapur): The Government has focused on the construction of National Highway 24 between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur. The Government is keen to construct this stretch of National Highway between Sitapur and Sahajahanpur. This road will connect the new capital city with the state capital Lucknow. The Government is prepared to take immediate action in this regard. The Government is also prepared to take immediate action in this regard.
(xiii) Need to set up FM Radio Station in Latur city, Maharashtra

Shri Sushil Kumar Vora (Latur): Latur city is seeking to set up an FM radio station to fulfill the demand of its residents. This issue has been taken up in the Lok Sabha as well, and a letter was sent to the government. Information from sources.

In response, the Javadekar, the Minister of Information, informed that the government planned to establish 100 FM stations across the country, including Maharashtra, by January 2020 as part of Phase 3 of the Maharashtra scheme. However, Latur city is not included among these 8 cities. This has aroused the concern of Latur residents.

Therefore, I request the government to take appropriate action for the establishment of an FM radio station in Latur city.

Note: My request is based on the fact that while Latur city is included in the 8 cities to be included in the Maharashtra scheme, there is no mention of Latur. The government's omission has left residents feeling frustrated.
Need to provide water from Niradevghar and Gunjawani dams to drought-hit areas of Satara and Solapur in Madha

Shri Rangiteshwar NANDRAO Nimbalkar (Madha): My constituency is already drought-prone. The Maharashtra government has decided to provide water to drought-hit areas of Satara and Solapur from the Niradevghar and Gunjawani dams, which are located outside the command of these dams. This decision will benefit the villages of Rajgurunagar, Manjari, Pindarpur, Sangola, Solapur, and Satara, where lakhs of people are facing water scarcity. Agriculture is being affected due to the lack of water in the reservoirs. The government is being urged to provide water from these dams to the drought-affected areas of Satara and Solapur.

Note: The government has been informed that the water from these dams should be provided to the drought-affected areas of Satara and Solapur.
(xv) Need to provide special financial package to Textile and Garment Industry of Solapur Parliamentary Constituency, Maharashtra

डॉ. जयसिद्देश्वर शिवाचार्य स्वामीजी (शोलापुर) : मेरे संसदीय क्षेत्र सोलापूर की पहचान टेक्सटाईल एवं गार्मेंट हब के नाम से है। सोलापूर में उत्पादित होने वाला गार्मेंट तथा टेक्सटाईल उत्पादन की मांग केवल देश में ही बल्कि विदेश में भी काफी है। परंतु दुर्भाग्यपूर्ण कोवीड की इस आपदा के कारण सोलापूर की टेक्सटाईल तथा गार्मेंट इंडस्ट्री आर्थिक संकट से जूझ रही है। इस इंडस्ट्री को भारत सरकार की ओर से आर्थिक पैकेज मिलना अत्यंत आवश्यक है। आज के हालात देखते हुए गार्मेंट यूनिफॉर्म तथा टेक्सटाईल व्यापारी बैंक के लिए हुए ऋण का हफ्ता भरने की स्थिति में नहीं है। भारत सरकार से अनुरोध है कि इन उद्योगों के कर्ज राशि पर बैंक द्वारा लगाए जा रहे ब्याज को अप्रैल 2020 से माफ करना जरूरी है। यदि भारत सरकार इन उद्योगों को आर्थिक मदद करे तो ही सोलापूर का गार्मेंट टेक्सटाईल तथा इस से जुड़ा हुआ उद्योग फिर से सक्षम हो सकता है। इस इंडस्ट्री से लाखों परिवारों का जीवन जुड़ा है। भारत सरकार की ओर से आर्थिक मदद मिलने पर सोलापूर में तैयार होने वाले यूनिफॉर्म को देश विदेश से पहचान मिल सकती है।
ADV. DEAN KURIAKOSE (IDUKKI): The recent Pettumudi landslide tragedy that occurred in Idukki, Kerala was a devastating incident that shook us all to our core. 70 workers of Kanan Devan Hills Plantations Company lost their lives. All the victims belonged to financially poor background, not even having their own place. They were residing in a 30 single-room, sheet-roofed quarters, belonging to the estate they were working for. I sincerely appreciate the initiative of IRW Volunteers of Disaster Management Wing.

I have already nominated Munnar Grama panchayat where Pettumudi is located, to Prime Minister’s Saansad Adarsh Gram Yojana (SAGY) scheme. There is lack of adequate infrastructure facility in this panchayat especially among the workers living in single room quarters provided by plantation companies.

Considering their pathetic living conditions, I request the Government to kindly announce a comprehensive package for the families of deceased plantation workers covering housing, basic infrastructure, health and education of their children.
(xvii) Regarding contraction of GDP and rising unemployment

SUSHRI S. JOTHIMANI (KARUR): Data released by the Centre revealed that our GDP has contracted by 23.9% and unemployment rate rose to 10.4% in Q1. Unemployment rate in Tamil Nadu is as high as 13.43%. While the government has been using the pandemic as a scapegoat, unemployment was record high even before the pandemic hit. Around 10.1% of total suicides were due to unemployment in 2019 which was way before the pandemic hit the country. Most of the jobs lost are in the MSME sector of Tamil Nadu, the 3rd largest contributor to MSME sector in the country employing 97 lakh people.

What's worse is that there is no sign of doing anything about this issue even now, as if we have turned a blind eye to the plight of youngsters in the country and their families. Therefore, I urge the Government to take steps to resolve this issue with utmost priority.
(xviii) Regarding Rail Line between Hyderabad and Vijayawada

SHRI UTTAM KUMAR REDDY (NALGONDA): The erstwhile State of Andhra Pradesh was divided into the States of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana in 2014. Hyderabad and Vijayawada are the principal cities in these two states. They are connected, presently, by a circuitous railway line and the journey takes over six hours. If a straight railway line is laid between Hyderabad and Vijayawada, the journey time can be reduced to less than two hours. The economies of both states would receive a huge boost from such a line.

The NH65 between Hyderabad and Vijayawada already has land adjacent to it, which was acquired earlier and can be used to construct the new railway line from Hyderabad to Vijayawada. Connecting Jaggaiapet and Chityal will be adequate for the purpose of increasing connectivity between these cities.

This would definitely boost economy and traffic between the states of Orissa, Andhra Pradesh and Telangana and benefit the country's economy.
Regarding Problem of sand accretion in Thoothukkudi, Tamil Nadu

SHRIMATI KANIMOZHI KARUNANIDHI (THOOTHUKKUDI): The coastal village of Manapad in Thoothukkudi experiences the problem of sand accretion. This hinders the fishermen belonging to this region from venturing into the sea for fishing activities which is their sole means of livelihood. The District Administration had spent rupees 1.18 Crores last year to remove sand dunes, but within one year the sand dunes were formed again due to accretion.

The District administration with the help of IIT Madras has conducted a study on the impacts of sand dunes at a cost of 18 lakh rupees. Around 15 Km of the coastline in Thoothukudi district suffers from erosion and 10km from accretion, according to a central government study conducted earlier this year.

I urge the Government to take steps to build groynes or Bait curves along the affected villages as an immediate measure and start implementing sustainable solutions such as mangrove plantation along the coastline.
(xx) Regarding setting up of SBI branch in Pandalur Taluk of Nilgiris

SHRI A. RAJA (NILGIRIS) : The Pandalur Taluk of Nilgiris consists of 3 Tea Gardens and one Tea factory. This Taluk has a population of approx 2 lakh, most of them are employed in these tea gardens and factories. Over the last few years, the Government has encouraged paying salary through bank transfer to avoid corruption and exploitation. There is only one Bank functioning in this Taluk i.e. Indian Bank with ATM Facility. All the tea labourers of this Taluk are dependent on this ATM to withdraw their salary. At times, this ATM does not work properly or remain out of cash. These problems have increased the woes of the labourers. Despite SBI having the largest banking network in the country, there is not a single branch of SBI in this taluk.

I urge upon the Union Government to establish a SBI Branch with ATM Facility in Pandalur Taluk of Nilgiris as soon as possible.
Regarding prohibition of export of raw human hair

SHRI SRIDHAR KOTAGIRI (ELURU): 99% of hair wigs and hair extensions worldwide was once sourced from India because of the unique quality of hair. Myanmar and Bangladesh traders have started buying directly from the middlemen and smuggling it out or importing from India at under-valued price of 1 $ a kg as against actual price of over 80 $ as verified in the recent export date. They process the raw hair and dispatch to China for manufacturing finished products. Due to this we have lost over 8 lakhs jobs in the country in the last 6 years. I had made an appeal to Hon’ble Commerce Minister, DGFT, EPCAP and DRI to save our hair industry. I request the Government again to kindly prohibit the export of raw human hair immediately for saving not only jobs and Foreign Exchange but also our pride besides boosting our Make in India Campaign.
Need to develop the historical sites in Maval Parliamentary Constituency, Maharashtra

Shri Shriyansh Aapna Barse (Maval): Mere Chunav Kshetra Maval men Lonavala, Khandala, Mahadevan Hil Staxshan hai. Parivartan ko badhava dena ke liye kendra Sarkar Yojana banaye to Jyadaa Desh Vidhesh se parivartak aayenge, is Yojana me Rail Suvidha me Rail Suvidha, Parkimg Vyavashta, Manoranjana ka saath kiyaa jaata hais to Jyadaa se Jyadaa parivartak aa sakate hain.

Mere Chunav Kshetra men hi kala aur bhag ki badda gufaai purutan, Atyaasik drorohe bhi hain iske saath hi saath aur chhatpati shivaji Maharaj ke samay ke lohangil Tungh, Tikoon, Rajamachi vilasapur kiye bhi hain, Maval ek Atyaasik Bhumib hai in kilon ka puratattva vibhag drara sukhara karey bhi parivartan ko badhava diya ja sakata hain.

Mere Chunav Kshetra men hi karla aur bhag ki badda gufaai purutan, Atyaasik drorohe bhi hain iske saath hi saath aur chhatpati shivaji Maharaj ke samay ke lohangil Tungh, Tikoon, Rajamachi vilasapur kiye bhi hain, Maval ek Atyaasik Bhumib hai in kilon ka puratattva vibhag drara sukhara karey bhi parivartan ko badhava diya ja sakata hain.

Bataana chahta hoon ki kai sara Bharatiy Vidhesh Parivartan hetaa jaita hain jabka apni Bhumib me in Atyaasik drorohe ko kendra Sarkar drara yadi aathikh sahayata dekar vikaas karte hain to Desh Vidhesh se Parivartakon ki sankhya badlegi, sthanivit roga ko rujigar prashna hooga aur Sarkar drara yudh ke haath men kama deane ki jao pashtha ki gaai hain vaah bhi pauri ho jaijai aur is kshetra men Parivartan hetaa vidheshii bhi Bharat aane lagey.
(xxiii) Regarding sharing of information regarding expenditure and programmes under CSR funds in aspirational districts particularly in Gaya district, Bihar

Shri Chandrashekhar Prasad (Jahangabad): Mahatma Gandhi Jila Karyakram ki janakari, 2018 main prashna manti doora shuruayat ki gai thi. Isska udhyog desh ke aapke chakram kum vikasit 112 jilalas men samaajik-aadharik sanketkaran men sucha laana hai. Yeh sabka sadh samadhit aur sabka vishwaas ke maarg darshak sadhinyo ke mahatmam se 2020-22 ke naye bharat ke vijan ko prasaat karen ke liye yah ek mahaapurna paal hai.

Bharat udhyog taasha samaajnik udhyog mantaalay ke anantgar samaajnik udhyog vishvaag aurakshi jilalas men vikas ke liye kentriy samaajnik udhyog jilalas men ke karna pareet samaajik daptita ka karyakram chala raha hai. Neeti aayog 12 aurakshi jilalas men hitkara ko ke sadh karn ke liye yah ek mahapurna paal hai.

Samaajnik upkram vishvaag ne dishaanidesh jari kiyi thi ki ye aurakshi jilalas ke ulaksha ke saath pratiyog ek khasa vishya pr apnai siisaa vishya nishyo ki 60 pratiyog kharb kare. Har vart siipiiisaa aai ka ausat siisaa kharb 3500 koreddh rudhe ye aur is karyo ki 60 pratiyog 2100 koreddh rudhe ke bharab kare. Yeh rakh aurakshi jilalas men swasthaa, poorshan aur school shiksha kshetra men mahaapurna suchay laka sakita hai. Mere sansadi kshetra jahangabad main gaya jilal ke aatari vishan samva kshetra aata hai jisamenchh paraxand haan. Gaya jilal ka aatari vishan samva kshetra aata hai jisamenchh paraxand hai. Gaya jilal ek aurakshi jilal ghominth hai. Mera sarraas se aayah hai ki kshetra ke jant-pratinnishyo kei karyakram ki puri jyankari (jaise kharb ka vyapar, karyakram ke naam, daan-dattayon ke naam ityadi) di jaaye.
(xxiv) Need to restore the routes of Doon Express and Ganga-Satlj Express in Ambedkarnagar Parliamentary Constituency, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Ritesh Pande (Ambedkar Nagar): In a short while, the Indian Railways has taken initiative to remove the routes of Doon Express and Ganga-Satlj Express trains from Ambedkar Nagar Parliamentary Constituency, Uttar Pradesh. These trains were crucial for workers, businessmen, and the general public in Ambedkar Nagar. The restart of these routes is important as it will provide a direct connection between Dehradun and Haridwar, and between Firozepur and Dhanbad. The trains were discontinued due to the pandemic and other factors, and it has caused a lot of inconvenience to the people in the constituency. It is requested to the esteemed Rail Minister to restore these two trains to Ambedkar Nagar and Malhipur Junction. This will be in the interest of the people of Ambedkar Nagar.
(xxv) Need to extend financial assistance for creation of pharma city in Mucherla, in Hyderabad, Telangana

SHRI KOTHA PRABHAKAR REDDY (MEDAK): I would like to draw kind attention of the Government towards the need to extend financial assistance to be given in the form of an outright grant of around Rs. 3500/-crore for creation of full-fledged pharma city in Mucherla in Hyderabad, Telangana.

In this regard, I would like to state that Hyderabad is emerging as the Pharma and Life Sciences Capital of the country. Pharma manufacturing hub which is progressing in Mucherla in Ranga Reddy district may provide employment opportunities to about 4 lakh people. Moreover, Hyderabad has become “Science City Capital” and has hundreds of research institutes which is number one in the country and Telangana needs more and more support and financial assistance in future.

In view of ongoing COVID-19 situation which is prevailing in the country, there is a dire need to do more research and to give encouragement to pharma companies in Telangana.
Regarding access of CGHS facilities in smaller cities

SHRI P.K. KUNHALIKUTTY (MALAPPURAM) : Central Government Employees, Pensioners, Sitting and Ex-Parliamentarians and their dependants utilize CGHS for comprehensive medical care. It is the only way the central government employees and pensioners working and living in remote areas can access quality medical care. While the beneficiaries in bigger cities like Delhi are usually happy with the services they can access through the scheme, the picture is quite different in most of the smaller Cities, The beneficiaries complain about insufficient number of dispensaries, about not having enough CGHS empanelled hospitals and discrepancies in pricing. As a result, the beneficiaries have to sometimes travel large distances to access the benefits that they are entitled to. I urge upon the government to take necessary action to open more CGHS Centres.
16.01 hrs

STATUTORY RESOLUTION RE: DISAPPROVAL OF BANKING REGULATION (AMENDMENT) ORDINANCE, 2020
AND
BANKING REGULATION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 2020

माननीय अध्यक्ष: हम आइटम नंबर 14 और 15 को एक साथ ले रहे हैं - मनीष तिवारी जी।

SHRI MANISH TEWARI (ANANDPUR SAHIB): Sir, I beg to move:

“That this House disapproves of the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2020 (Ordinance No. 12 of 2020) promulgated by the President on 26th June, 2020.”

वित्त मंत्री तथा कॉपरेट कार्य मंत्री (श्रीमती निर्मला सीतारमण): सर, मैं राज्य सभा चेंबर में प्रजेट हूं। ...(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष: मंत्री जी, आप बोलिए, सब आपकी आवाज सुन रहे हैं।

...(व्यवधान)

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN) Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Bill further to amend the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, be taken into consideration.”

माननीय अध्यक्ष: क्या आप बिल के बारे में कुछ कहना चाहती हैं?

...(व्यवधान)

श्रीमती निर्मला सीतारमण: सर, हम इस बिल को इसलिए ला रहे हैं, क्योंकि पिछले दो सालों से हम लगातार कॉपरेटिव बैंक्स और स्मॉल बैंक्स, जिनके डिप्यूटेट्स को बहुत तकलीफ हो रही हैं, उन डिप्यूटेट्स के प्रोटेक्शन के लिए उनके इन्ट्रस्टर्स के प्रोटेक्शन के लिए और जो कॉपरेटिव सोसाइटीज़ बैंक्स के नाते काम करती हैं, वे जिन बैंक्स का नाम उपयोग करती हैं या बैंकर्स या
बैंकिंग के काम करती हैं, उनको रेगुलेट करने के लिए और उनके डिपोजिट्स के प्रोटेक्शन के लिए यह बैंकिंग रूलेशन (अमेंडमेंट) बिल लाया जा रहा है।

We are trying to bring this Amendment in order to protect the depositors. In the last two years, as hon. Members—Sir, through you I wish to say—would know the depositors in some unfortunate situations in banks or cooperative societies which are operating as banks have been put to hardship because these banks have fallen into bad debts requiring therefore the regulator to bring a moratorium and to solve the problem seems to consume all the time.

यह रिजॉल्युशन इसीलिए एक अमेंडमेंट के द्वारा हमने बजट सेशन के समय पेश किया था।

We had introduced this Bill in order to have the Amendment brought in so that depositors' interest will be taken care of. Unfortunately, because during the Budget Session we could not have this Bill passed, we had to bring in an Ordinance and that Ordinance was brought in only because the financial health of many of these cooperative societies which were also performing as banks was becoming very delicate.

मैं सदस्यगण को आपके द्वारा यह जानकारी देना चाह रही हूं कि कॉपरेटिव बैंक्स के हालात थोड़े गंभीर होने के कारण लॉकडाउन का पीरियड होते हुए भी हम एक ऑर्डिनेंस लेकर आने के लिए मजबूर हुए। कॉपरेटिव बैंक्स के हालात के बारे में बोलने के समय मैं यह ध्यान दिलाना चाह रही हूं कि 277 अब अबन कॉपरेटिव बैंक्स की फायनेंशियल टेस्ट ऑफ अफेरिस्य बहुत ही वीक है।

अब 277 अबन कोऑपरेटिव बैंक्स लॉस रिपोर्ट कर रहे हैं और 105 urban cooperative banks are unable to meet even the minimum regulatory capital requirement. Net worth of 47 urban cooperative banks is negative, and 328
urban cooperative banks are having more than 15 per cent gross NPAs. ग्रॉस एनपीए रेशियो 15 फीसदी का अर्बन कोऑपरेटिव बैंक में एनपीए होने के कारण, यह मार्च, 2019 का डेटा बता रही है, ये सब रहते हुए मार्च, 2020 तक का आप डेटा देखिए, पेडेमिक के द्वारा स्ट्रैस्क कोऑपरेटिव बैंक में इन्क्राइज हुआ। Gross NPA ratios of urban cooperative banks increased from 7.2 per cent in March 2019 to over 10 per cent in March 2020. Therefore, it was felt that in the interest of the depositors we should have this Ordinance brought in. Now, the Ordinance will have to be replaced so that we are able to have three different things brought in. Section 3 में अमेंडमेंट हो रहा है, Section 45 में अमेंडमेंट हो रहा है, Section 56 में भी अमेंडमेंट हो रहा है। Amendments to Sections 3 and 56 are clearly because बैंक के नाम रखते हुए जो भी कोऑपरेटिव सोसाइटीज फंशन करते हैं, कॉमर्शियल बैंक के लिए जो रूल अप्लाई होता है, वहीं रूल उनके लिए भी लागू होना चाहिए। By amending Sections 3 and 56 we are making those provisions which are applicable to banking companies, that is the commercial banks, applicable to cooperative banks also so that cooperative banks are equally subjected to better governance and sound banking regulations through the RBI. Section 3 और Section 56 के अमेंडमेंट का मोटिव यही है, ऑब्जेक्टिव यही है। Section 45 में भी अमेंडमेंट हो रहा है, यह क्यों हो रहा है? It will enable the RBI to make a scheme of reconstruction or amalgamation. For example, take the recent Yes Bank reconstruction that happened to protect the interests of the public, the depositors. Without making the bank undergo a moratorium period, if you are able to reconstruct the bank, if you are able to professionalise their management, and if that power can be given to the RBI, it might help the depositors. मैं आज भी दोबारा वह उदाहरण ले रही हूं कि पीएमसी बैंक के डिपोजिटर्स का
सॉल्यूशन आज तक नहीं हो पा रहा है। अगर सेक्शन 45 अमेंडमेंट के द्वारा आरबीआई को हम यह पांवर सोंप देंगे, तो यह बैंक का हुआ, उदर भी मोरेटोरियम के साथ हुआ, मगर बिना मोरेटोरियम और बिना कस्टमर्स और डिपोजिटर्स को तकलीफ करते हुए, अगर रीक्यूस्ट्रेक्शन कर सकते हैं तो जल्द से जल्द डिपोजिटर्स के इंडेस्टर्ट को हम प्रोटेक्ट कर पाएं और यदि उनकी सर्विस लगातार हो सकती है तो यह सेक्शन 45 अमेंडमेंट के द्वारा होगी। That is why we want to have Sections 3, 45 and 56 amended. बिल्कुल डिपोजिटर्स के इंडेस्टर्ट को मन में रखते हुए यह सब हो रहा है। जो-जो कोऑपरेटिव सोसाइटी बैंक के नाम पर हैं, सिर्फ उनको ये अमेंडमेंट वर्तित हैं। यह प्राइमरी एंग्रीकल्चर क्रेडिट सोसाइटी के लिए लागू नहीं है।

This shall not apply to the primary agricultural credit societies. This shall also not be applicable to a cooperative society, whose primary object and primary business is providing long-term finance for agricultural development.

इन पर भी यह लागू नहीं होगा।

So, let us be clear that we are not doing anything to touch State cooperatives, we are not doing anything to touch primary agricultural credit societies, and we are not doing anything to touch cooperative societies whose primary object and primary business is providing long-term finance for agricultural development.

अगर किसी मैम्बर के मन में यह शक है कि क्या यह पीएसएस के लिए वर्तित है, क्या यह कोऑपरेटिव सोसाइटीज, जो एंग्रीकल्चर डेवलपमेंट के लिए काम करती हैं, क्या उनको भी प्रभावित करेगा? में आपके माध्यम से यह आशासन देना चाहती हूं कि यह उनके लिए वर्तित नहीं होगा। यह सिर्फ उनके लिए वर्तित होगा, जो बैंक या बैंकर या बैंकिंग का काम कोऑपरेटिव सोसाइटीज में करते हैं। यह केवल उन पर ही लागू होगा। यह पहली बार नहीं है, जब इस सरकार द्वारा अधिनियम में अमेंडमेंट करने का काम हुआ है। इससे पहले भी सेक्शन 3 और 56 में
अमेंडमेंट हुए हैं। इसलिए कोई इस गलतफहमी में न रहे कि यह क्या हो रहा है? इस बार क्यों ऐसा कर रहे हैं? मैं बताना चाहती हूँ कि इससे पहले भी सैक्षण 3 और 56 में अमेंडमेंट हुए हैं। एक बार वर्ष 1968 में, वर्ष 1965, 1984, 1987, 1989 और 2012 में भी इन सैक्षण में अमेंडमेंट हुआ है। इन्हीं शब्दों के साथ मैं सभा से इस बिल पर विचार करने के लिए आग्रह करती हूँ।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : प्रस्ताव प्रस्तुत हुए:

“कि यह सभा राष्ट्रपति द्वारा 26 जून, 2020 को प्रश्नापित बैंककारी परियोजना (संशोधन) अध्यादेश, 2020 (2020 का अध्यादेश संख्यांक 12) का निर्ममोदन करती है।”

और

“कि बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 का और संशोधन करने वाले विषयक पर विचार किया जाए।”
SHRI MANISH TEWARI: Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Article 123 of the Constitution of India which provides for the power to promulgate ordinances during recess of Parliament has certain very specific trigger phrases and these trigger phrases need to be activated in order to shift the burden of law making from the legislative institutions to the Executive. And that trigger phrase, Mr. Speaker, Sir, is that ‘circumstances exist which render it necessary for him to take immediate action’. The reference to ‘for him’ is the reference to the hon. President of India. Therefore, the two key words which need to be triggered before Article 123 can be invoked are ‘circumstances’ and ‘necessary action’.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, Sir, in the instant case, none of those circumstances existed as on the 26th June, 2020 when the said Ordinance was promulgated. At a point in time when our GDP growth rate had been in a free fall for seven quarters preceding the lockdown and when all economic activities during the lockdown had come to a complete standstill, there was absolutely no rationale for promulgating this Ordinance, especially when the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill was under consideration in this very House.

The more appropriate way for the hon. Finance Minister would have been that she could have very well withdrawn the Bill when this Session commenced and she could have brought another Bill with all the necessary amendments for the consideration of this House. This resort to the Ordinance route in order to make laws is something which undermines the majesty of the Parliament and it only exacerbates the attack on our legislative institutions.
My second point is that this particular Ordinance falls foul of article 123(3) of the Constitution of India also. The objective of this Ordinance is to bring district central cooperative banks and urban cooperative banks under the control and supervision of the Reserve Bank of India. However, this particular Ordinance falls foul of Entry 32, List 2 of the State List. While I concede that Banking is within the purview of the Union List in terms of Entry 45, the hair-splitting which the hon. Finance Minister has attempted by trying to segregate primary agricultural credit societies and long-term credit societies from district cooperative banks and implement the same template in the urban areas also, is going to create utter mayhem in the agricultural economy, if not anarchy, because of the symbiotic linkages between the primary agricultural credit societies and long-term credit lending societies and the district cooperative banks.

The final point that I would like to stress and underscore, Mr. Speaker Sir, is that the record of the Reserve Bank of India with regard to being able to pre-empt malfeasance or what is colloquially called ‘scams’ in the banking sector is very mixed. I do not want to belabour that the Yes Bank, Punjab and Maharashtra Cooperative Bank, IL&FS and Diwan Housing Finance Limited, to name a few, are instances of malfeasance which have taken place when these institutions were under the direct superintendence of the Reserve bank of India. May I humbly submit, Speaker Sir, that the Reserve Bank of India is in itself a conflicted institution by design. It is the regulator of the banks; it is the investment banker of the Government; it is the owner, operator, regulator and
player on the bond market; it is the manager of the Government’s credit and monetary policies; it is the foreign exchange regulator; and it is the currency regulator and the list goes on and on and it is endless. If there is a need to bring about substantive reform, the need is to unscramble this egg called the Reserve Bank of India and segregate its various functions by spinning them off into different instrumentalities so that the primary function of the Reserve Bank of India as the regulator of the Indian banking system can be primarily discharged. My humble appeal to the hon. Finance Minister is this. Let us leave the cooperative sector alone. If there have been instances of malfeasance in district central cooperative banks and urban local cooperative banks, there are as many success stories, if not more, which have played themselves out in the past seven decades. State Governments are more than competent to discharge their functions and in a federal polity, this Ordinance, and the Bill which weeks to replace it, is a frontal assault on the federal structure of the Constitution and this will have long-term implications on the democratic polity of India. I once again urge the hon. Finance Minister to withdraw this Bill and let this Ordinance be disapproved by a Statutory Resolution. Otherwise, this House will be witness to another blow which is being dealt to federalism and which, as I earlier stated, would have long-term implications on the polity of this country. I thank you very much for giving me this opportunity.
SHRI S.C. UDASI (HAVERI): Sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak on the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020, which is a Bill further to amend the Banking Regulation Act, 1949.

Sir, the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 has been amended 40 times since its enactment. The basic objective of this Act, when it was first enacted by the Parliament, was to consolidate and amend the law relating to banking. This Act gave the following powers to the Reserve Bank of India:

1. To licence banks;
2. To have regulation over share holding and voting of the shareholders;
3. To supervise the appointment of Board and the Management;
4. To regulate the operations of banks and lay down instructions for audit;
5. To control moratorium, merger and liquidation;
6. To issue direction in the interest of public good and banking policy, and
7. To impose a penalty on banks, if required.

Till 1965 the cooperative banks were not part of the Banking Regulation Act. The Banking Regulation Act was amended in 1965 to include the cooperative banks under its purview by adding Section 56. The hon. Finance Minister was telling that under Section 56 the cooperative banks were added into the BR Act.

As the economic situation arising from the COVID-19 pandemic has increased the stress, both in cooperative and banking companies, there was
an immediate need for a legislation in this regard. The hon. Finance Minister has already said that certain amendments were considered necessary in the said Act to provide for better management and proper regulation of cooperative banks as also to ensure that the affairs of the banks are conducted in a manner to protect the interest of depositors by increasing professionalism and enabling them to raise capital, improve governance, and ensure sound banking under the supervision of the Reserve Bank of India.

This year, the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Act was introduced in the Budget Session but the same could not be passed. In June 2020, the hon. President had promulgated Ordinance No.12 of 2020 but it was withdrawn on the first day of this Session and a new Bill, Banking Regulation (Amendment) 2020, No.114 of 2020 has been introduced. The earlier Bill was No.56 of 2020. It has now been withdrawn and No.114 of 2020 has been introduced. We are speaking on this Bill right now.

This Bill is to empower the RBI to effectively handle the mishaps or the adversaries in private banks and also in the cooperative banks without any loss of public confidence or disruptions and will allow the RBI to prepare the reconstruction scheme for failed banks without having to first make an order of moratorium on barring deposit withdrawals. This will enable the RBI find suitable suitors for a stressed bank.

The hon. Finance Minister has given the classic example of Yes Bank. Its subsequent rescue has prompted the hon. Prime Minister, Shri Narendra Modi, to strengthen the hands of the RBI on this front.
Coming to this Bill, I would like to tell why this amendment is crucial. We need to go back to see how many cooperative bank scams have happened in India as also various malpractices and their implications in these cooperative banks. You may know, Sir, that the cooperative banks were established in India to facilitate rural credit and to cater to the needs of small farmers and businessmen. They were popular with middle and lower income groups because of the high interest rate they offered as compared to the commercial banks. However, with the passage of time, most cooperative banks lost their purpose. Excessive State control and politicisation further led to their deterioration.

By the year 1990, none of the public and the private sector banks were willing to deal with the cooperative banks and thus, even otherwise, healthy cooperative banks were facing a tough time. Since the year 2000 to date -- the latest case being the PMC bank -- many cooperative banks have been rocked by scams that exposed the malpractices in these banks. Many of these banks did not adhere to prudential norms prescribed by the Reserve Bank of India. I will give two or three examples. The Madhavpura Mercantile Cooperative Bank scam had invested a huge amount in the equity market which was almost equal to its deposit base, thus, violating the norms of the RBI relating to exposure to the equity market.

Another bank, the Krushi Cooperative Urban Bank had issued loans and advances amounting to five hundred and thirty million rupees as against its deposit base of only three hundred and fifty million rupees. Not only that, most
of its loans had not been secured. Similarly, the Charminar Co-operative Urban Bank faced liquidity problem due to the indiscriminate lending to big borrowers against worthless collateral, the land which was given to the bank. More recently, the Nagpur District Central Cooperative Bank was involved in fraudulent dealings in Government securities through brokers.

So, what I am trying to tell you is about the kind of scams and fraudulent things that have happened in the cooperative banks and the latest example being the exposure of PMC bank which had over nine lakh fifteen thousand depositors. The RBI had imposed restrictions on withdrawals. Statutory inspection of the PMC Bank by the RBI revealed a large group exposure of about Rs. 6,226 crore to Housing Development and Infrastructure Limited (HDIL Group of Companies). Of the total exposure to the HDIL Group, only Rs. 440 crore was disclosed to the RBI. The rest of Rs. 5,786 crore remained undisclosed. The *modus operandi* was very simple. There were around twenty-one thousand bogus accounts through which they manipulated. So, you could not see as to where that money was siphoned off. It had a deposit of Rs. 11,000 crore. As I have said, 9 लाख के ऊपर उसके डिपॉजिट्स थे।

I have heard that the All India Reserve Bank Employees Association and also the RBI Housing Association have also kept deposit to the tune of hundred to five hundred crores of rupees in the same PMC Bank. That is the irony. The regulators themselves have put money in such a bank.

My point is this. The cooperative banks have for long been the backbone of rural banking since their inception. They have been doing excellent work in
the field of rural and cooperative banking. The recent scams in the cooperative banks have been a jolt to the cooperative banking system.

Now, I come to this Bill. Why is this Amendment crucial? We are bringing certain cooperative banks under the supervision of the RBI as applicable to commercial banks as a part of efforts to protect the depositors of such cooperative banks.

The hon. Finance Minister has already given details. There are a number of cooperative banks in this country. They are around 1,934. The total deposits are to the tune of ten lakh rupees and above and the total loans and advances are to the tune of Rs. 7.5 lakh crore. As has been said by the hon. Finance Minister, during 2019-20, as many as 277 banks have reported losses. The number of cooperative banks having high net NPAs is to the tune of 328 and the number of cooperative banks having negative net worth is around 47.

As per the RBI, over the last two decades, banking licences of about 430 urban cooperative banks have been cancelled across the country in most cases due to their precarious financial position. During the last five years, there were around sixteen urban cooperative banks whose licences have been cancelled.

Now, I come to this Bill. What amendments have been brought in this Bill? I would like to draw the attention of the hon. House to the new provisions. As has been said by the hon. Finance Minister, there are amendments which have been made in Sections 3, 45 and 56.
The main objective of amending Sections 3 and 56 of the BR Act is to extend provisions applicable to commercial banks to cooperative banks as well so that the cooperative banks are equally subject to better governance and sound banking regulation. With this, the interest of the depositors will be protected and professionalism will also come into those banks.

Amending Section 45 will enable RBI to make a scheme of reconstruction or amalgamation, as was done in the case of Yes Bank, to protect the interest of the public, depositors, banking system and to secure proper management of a commercial bank without having to first make an order of moratorium so that the disruption to the financial system may be avoided.

The Bill will also apply to the societies. The Bill also amends Section 3. It will not affect the existing powers of the State Registrars of Cooperative Societies under State laws nor will the changes apply to Primary Agriculture Credit Societies or to the cooperative societies whose primary object and principal business are to provide long term finance for agriculture development. If such society does not use in part of its name or in connection with its business the words ‘bank’ or ‘banker’ or ‘banking’ and does not act as a drawee of cheques, this amendment has been useful.

The Bill provides for restricting the cooperative banks from lending to their own Directors which was done in the PMC Bank and debarring cooperative bank Directors employed by or having substantial shareholding in the other companies which was also done in PMC Bank and prohibiting them
from holding directorship in other banks. Such restriction already applies to Directors in the commercial banks and helps ensure that there is no conflict of interest in board decision making.

For sound financial management, provisions regarding financial statements, audit, unclaimed deposits and effective oversight by the Regulator that are currently applicable to the commercial banks but not to the cooperative banks are now proposed to be made applicable to the cooperative banks as well. Regulatory approval and removal of auditors will enhance the transparency, restore depositors’ confidence, and protect depositors’ hard-earned savings. The Regulator will now be able to make reconstruction scheme in respect of cooperative banks as well in case the depositors or the banks are at risk due to the financial management or government lapses.

Coming to RBI’s power to supersede a bank’s Board in the interest of the public or the depositors or for securing proper management in the bank which is currently applicable in respect of commercial banks and multi-State cooperative banks, it is now proposed to be made applicable in respect of non-multi-State cooperative banks as well with the stipulation that this may be done in consultation with the State Government concerned.

To facilitate the cooperative banks, by raising capital as less as Rs.25 lakh, you can start a cooperative bank. Currently to assess this capital for cooperative banks, it is limited with this amendment that cooperative banks will be allowed to raise money via public issues, private placements of equity or preferential shares as well as unsecured debentures.
While extending this applicability of banking laws already applicable to commercial banks to cooperative banks as well, appropriate provisions have been kept keeping in view the federal structure and democratic function of the cooperatives. There will be no supersession of board without consulting the State Government and where it is necessary in public or depositors’ interest to supersede a cooperative bank’s board, under the proposed amendment, Section 36AAA is applicable to a cooperative bank. The RBI have to first consult the State Government concerned. There is no such requirement in the case of corresponding action under Section 36 A applicable to the commercial banks.

When it comes to the farmers’ cooperation sector person to remain eligible for being a Director, under Section 10A which is proposed to be made applicable to cooperative banks, the Board of Directors of cooperative banks shall consist of persons who have special knowledge or practical experience in respect of one or more specified matters. The specified matters include agriculture, rural economy, and cooperation. This may not be in conflict with the State laws or the State bye-laws. Flexibility to not apply requirements relating to Directors of Banks, Managing Directors and part-time Chairman, additionally under Section 53A, is proposed to be made applicable to cooperative banks. RBI would have the flexibility to not apply provisions relating to Directors having knowledge or experience in specified matters as proposed in Section 10A, RBI’s previous approval for appointment of bank’s part-time Chairman or Managing Director is proposed in Section 10B and
Section 35B respectively. The concept of `one member one vote' will be preserved while enabling raising of equity from non-member. This is important.

State cooperative laws provide for equal voting rights for all the members. Based on the principle of `one member, one vote', under Section 12 is proposed to be made applicable to cooperative banks. While the cooperative banks may issue shares to any person within the area of operation, assignment of differential voting right to such persons has not been proposed unlike in the case of commercial banks where the voting rights are proportionate to shareholding and thus the democratic character of cooperatives could be preserved while enabling them to raise capital from non-members as well.

So, I welcome this Bill and my point is that with the passage of this Bill the confidence of the depositor would get a boost and it will also help prevent further scams, frauds, and malpractices in the banking industry and it will also help bring in financial stability. Also, under the supervision of the RBI, it will strengthen oversight of the lenders. These objectives can be achieved by passing this Bill and I would like to congratulate the hon. Prime Minister and the hon. Finance Minister for bringing such a good Bill which will help in boosting the confidence of the depositors.

Thank you.
SHRI DNV. SENTHILKUMAR S. (DHARMAPURI): Hon. Chairperson, Sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity to participate in the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020.

This Bill proposes to bring the urban cooperative and multi State cooperative banks under the ambit of the RBI and its regulations. I would like to limit my speech to two points. Firstly, the hon. Finance Minister has cited the reason that many cooperative banks have incurred losses and that is one reason for bringing in this amendment; and the other being that the RBI having the power to control the Chairman and re-constitute the bodies of the Board of Directors.

Sir, coming to the first point of incurring losses, I would like differ on this. I would like to give an example of the State of Tamil Nadu where we have 128 cooperative banks which are running very successfully and only nine of them have been reporting losses. Except for those nine cooperative banks, the other cooperative banks in the State which have been making profits have recorded a deposit of Rs. 7000 crore. So, this argument does not hold good. Even to go by the statement of the hon. Finance Minister that 277 cooperative banks are running in losses, if those are in losses then it is because of various natural calamities like famine, drought and so many other reasons where the Government has to step in and empower them. But this is not being done. To take another good example of the Dravidian States, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Kerala, which uphold the principle of Dravidianism, Tamil Nadu and Pondicherry have proven successful models in having these
cooperative banks. Why does the Government not take the model from these States and implement them? The State of Tamil Nadu has been a leader in education, health care, gross enrolment ratio, employment, and so many other sectors and, to top it up, in social empowerment. The secret to the Central Government is that if they want to be leaders in any of these fields, it is better for them to follow the Dravidian model and methodologies and implement these processes throughout India which have been brought under the Dravidian regime in Tamil Nadu, especially under the great visionary leader Dr. Kalaignar Karunanidhi.

It was during his tenure that agricultural loan of Rs. 7,000 crore was waived off to benefit the agriculturists. It was also during the tenure of our DMK party leader Thiru. M.K. Stalin that in my district, Dharmapuri, Self-Help Group for women was first initiated and it was successfully shown.

In relation to cooperative banks, we have given an assurance of waiving off the agricultural loans, the jewel loans and the educational loans as and when we come to power. So, this will be seen as an infringement of States’ rights and infringement of State’s rights has constantly been seen by this Government by coming and taking each and every aspect of it. The Entry 32 of List II states that the cooperative banks lie under the purview of the State Government and the Entry 42 of the Union Government also excludes this list from this. This can be challenged in court based upon these two points saying that the States have the authority to regulate the banks under the Registrar of Cooperative Banks. So, that is the main reason even when this regulation Act
was brought in 1965, it was excluded from that List. So, the State has the power to incorporate a bank, to regulate a bank, and also to wind up the cooperative societies.

As far as second part of empowerment to nominate a Chairman or to reconstitute the bodies is concerned, I would like to say that this Government is undermining the process of democracy for a very, very long time. The RBI is already overloaded with so many other works. Now, in spite of this, if you are going to step in with regulation of even the cooperative banks, there is going to be an overload on the RBI. Incompetence of this Government is seen in the lack of interest in recovering the bad loans from the likes of Mallyas and Modis and to go after the agriculturists to get back their loans.

The recent UPSC successful candidates list raises a few serious doubts. Out of 829 candidates, 476 candidates have studied from one coaching centre called the Samkalp Foundation which has been affiliated to the RSS. So, regulation of independent bodies by officers brought from such foundations also raises a big doubt.

Finally, the country is undergoing a very serious health crisis and to top it up, the economic crisis created by this Government. Now, they are blaming the COVID-19 for their own shortcomings without applying rationalism and placing the burden by saying it is an act of God. I would like to give a warning to this House that this country has crossed 50 lakh cases by today and mark my words, when this Parliament Monsoon Session ends, there will be 65 lakh cases registered in India alone and me being a doctor, I know that there are no
more beds in any district, be it in a private hospital or Government hospital. So, I would like to say that the worst is yet to come. The country is short of beds, oxygen and ventilators. We will have to gear up, otherwise we are facing a very severe crisis.

I strongly oppose this Bill. With these words, I conclude my speech. Thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak.
PROF. SOUGATA RAY (DUM DUM): Thank you for allowing me to speak on the Banking regulation (Amendment) Bill. This Bill has taken some time in coming. It was introduced in this House in March and it is coming now. In the meantime, the Government has enacted an Ordinance and we are also passing the Ordinance.

I support the contention of Mr. Senthilkumar where he mentioned the success of the South Indian cooperative banks and where he said that this Bill is an infringement of the States’ rights and also the Registrar of Cooperative Banks is already there in the States to appoint RBI as the total regulator. It is a wrong step. He has also mentioned that RBI is overloaded.

So, the question arises as to why did the Government bring this Bill in a hurry. The main trigger was the collapse of the Punjab and Maharashtra Cooperative Bank. A large number of people who were depositors were put to difficulty and the RBI imposed significant restrictions on withdrawal and suspended its business. Now, these depositors of PMC Bank demonstrated before the Minister of Finance when she went to Mumbai. So, she thought that she must do something. Otherwise, she will have to face demonstrations whenever she goes to Mumbai. That was why, the Bill was brought in a hurry.

The cooperative bank structure in this country is huge. We have almost 1544 Urban Cooperative Banks. We have 352 District Central Cooperative Banks and 34 State Cooperative Banks. The total deposits of all these banks together will be Rs. 5 lakh crore each. Altogether it is around Rs. 10 lakh crore.
Now the Government says that the Ordinance seeks to protect the interests of depositors and strengthen cooperative banks by improving governance and oversight by extending powers already available with RBI in respect of other banks to cooperative banks as well. It will provide sound banking regulation, ensure professionalism and enable their access to capital.

The main question is, the RBI has not been the most successful regulator. As Dr. Senthil Kumar pointed out, it is already overloaded and the RBI failed to take preemptive action in the case of Yes Bank where at one time, the depositors were allowed to withdraw Rs. 1000.

What happens with this Bill is that the Banking Regulation Act will enjoy supremacy over cooperative banks. The power of RBI to remove management or draw up plans to merge/dissolve cooperative banks will override the power of the State Registrar of Cooperatives. So, as has been said earlier, it is a clear infringement on the States’ rights.

The other point is that the cooperative banks will now be allowed to issue shares, debentures, and other securities. This will allow cooperative banks to access financial markets. This will improve the equity of the banks. It is true but what happens in a joint stock company? People have voting rights according to their shareholding but in a cooperative, one member means ‘one share, one vote’. So, we are actually taking away the structure of the cooperatives which has been built. I agree that there have been some bad apples among the cooperatives. Between 2013-18, 127 banks had to be shut down by the RBI. Most of them were unable to pay their depositors. Four lakh
depositors had to be reimbursed through the Deposit Insurance Scheme. As you know, Sir, the Deposit Insurance Scheme covers depositors upto Rs. 5 lakh.

So, basically the concept of the Bill is flawed. Just for one Punjab and Maharashtra Cooperative Bank, you do not take away the powers of the cooperative banks and also, you cannot surcharge the cooperative banks which are functioning well and which have been built with a lot of sacrifice and hard work by their original promoters.

The cooperative movement has been successful in Maharashtra, Gujarat and in South India. Actually, this Government is hampering the cooperative movement.

Lastly, I want to say that three main Sections are being amended. One is Section 3 which keeps the primary agricultural cooperatives outside the purview of this Act. I agree with that part. Then, there is amendment to Section 45 where cooperatives are allowed to issue shares and debentures. They are also amending Section 56. That is Part 5 of the Bill. I do not think that such a Bill was necessary; I do not think that the RBI has proved to be the best regulator in the country; I do not think that the power of the State cooperatives should be taken away. I still think that the Government should try to encourage cooperatives and cooperative banking. Thank you.
SHRI LAVU SRIKRISHNA DEVARAYALU (NARASARAOPET): Thank you, Sir, for giving me this opportunity. Representing an agrarian dominant Constituency of Narasaraopet in Andhra Pradesh, I have listened to thousands of stories from the people from all walks of life, who have come forward and told us the benefits they got because of our cooperative banks but the hon. Finance Minister’s speech, and also the RBI, in its 21st Financial Stability Report, says that the Capital Adequacy Ratio has declined with respect to these cooperative banks, that is, from 13.5 per cent to 9.8 per cent. We have also seen many examples. One of the primary examples everyone was mentioning here was regarding the PMC bank where the depositors have been affected really badly. In September, 2019, we have capped the depositors, who can withdraw the money, only at Rs. 1000. It is a very sad situation. This has happened because of the poor performance wherein the non-performing assets have almost doubled in just one year and also because of bad management with respect to their auditors not actually doing the diligent work they are supposed to do. We have also seen numerous other banks, for example, Mapusa Bank and CKP Bank, the licenses of which were cancelled by RBI because they could not furnish enough details to prove that they can actually run the bank properly. With this perspective, the Government wants to bring all Urban and Multi-State Cooperative Banks under the RBI. It wants to achieve better management. As the hon. Finance Minister was mentioning earlier, in the case of management being deemed unfit, and if the Board of Directors are not doing the job they are supposed to do, RBI can supersede
them. In case of re-construction and amalgamation of a bank, just like how we saw with PMC bank, we do not have to cap the withdrawal limits. With this new rule, we can actually encourage people to withdraw money. The third benefit the Bill provides is the higher capital they can actually raise through giving out equity and unsecured bonds. The YSR Congress Party wholeheartedly welcomes this Bill. We are in full support of this Bill. ...(Interruptions) We are very much with this Bill. ...(Interruptions) But while supporting this Bill, I also want to impress upon three important points. First, let us not make RBI a one stop solution for every problem that is happening. ...(Interruptions) It is because if you see the number of bad loans that have cropped up in the last Financial Year, they have almost gone up by 134 per cent, which amounts almost to Rs. 1.5 lakh crore. ...(Interruptions) It was under the watchful eyes of the RBI.

The second suggestion we can give is this. We are always reacting and giving the reforms rather than pre-empting with the reforms. We are actually waiting for something to go wrong, then come up with some law, and try to rectify it. So, we should pre-empt such things and make sure that banking reforms are taken up at the appropriate time.

The third and the most important thing is, the Reserve Bank of India and Public Sector Banks have to appreciate the work done by cooperative banks. The cooperative banks have some advantages as they are very approachable to customers, they are not rigid, and they have rendered extremely good service to the people.
While supporting this Bill, I hope the Government would take these points into consideration. With these words, I thank you for giving me this opportunity.
SHRI GAJANAN KIRTIKAR (MUMBAI NORTH-WEST): Mr. Chairman, Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity on the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020. I support this Bill on behalf of Shiv Sena.

Sir, we are the worst sufferers due to the scam that happened in the PMC Bank. Fortunately, I was in the Reserve Bank of India for 25 years. Our Employees Credit Society has deposited an amount of Rs. 200 crore in the PMC Bank. We have faced demonstrations against the PMC Bank and some depositors have also committed suicide in Mumbai. So, we were anxiously waiting for some stringent measures to be taken by the Finance Ministry so as to control the activities of cooperative banks.

There are 1,540 cooperative banks in the country with a depositor base of 8.60 crore having a total saving of about Rs. Five lakh crore. The Bill amends the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 as applicable to cooperative banks. The Bill seeks to protect the interest of depositors and strengthen cooperative banks by improving governance and oversight by extending powers already available with the Reserve Bank of India in respect of other banks. It also ensures professionalism and enables access of capital to cooperative banks, and so, I welcome this Bill.

The Government has allowed cooperative banks to raise money by way of public issue and private placement. This is a great step as this will increase their access to capital which was limited until now. However, taking into account the present scenario, it would be very difficult for them to raise capital from the market as majority of cooperative banks are not doing well financially.
Their NPA percentage is high and this percentage may, perhaps, increase if thorough inspection of their books is done by the Reserve Bank of India. Then, survival of cooperative banks in the market is difficult and it is also not in public interest. The Reserve Bank of India should carefully study the financial position of such banks who want to approach the market. This will be an additional burden on the Reserve Bank of India. But anyhow, RBI control is very much necessary. In my opinion, only those cooperative banks, which are financially sound and well managed for the next five years should be allowed to approach the RBI for getting permission to enter into the market and this Bill may be amended suitably. I request the Finance Minister to take note of this suggestion.
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I also welcome the provision which gives power to the Reserve Bank of India to bring a scheme for reconstruction or amalgamation without imposing moratorium. We have seen how the Yes Bank scam occurred. But this facility was not provided to cooperative banks in the past and that is why the PMC Bank has escaped out of that clutch. I think it is advisable to restrict the entry of new cooperative banks and explore the possibility of merger of few big cooperative banks in line with commercial banks.
This will reduce the burden on RBI and strengthen the cooperative sector.

I welcome the step taken towards supersession of the Board of Directors where it is in the public interest for RBI to supersede the Board and to protect depositors. However, the Bill adds that in case of a cooperative bank registered with the Registrar of Cooperative Societies of a State, the RBI will supersede the Board of Directors after consultation with the State Government concerned. In my opinion, this will delay in the decision-making due to dual control. RBI should be given powers to deal with the situation independently.

Also, the Act restricts the cooperative banks from making loans or advances on the security of its own shares and debentures. I welcome it. However, the same can be considered as a collateral security for the loans and advances taken by the shareholders. The Bill may be amended suitably.

Further, it prohibits the grant of unsecured loans or advances to its Directors and to private companies where the bank’s Directors or Chairman is an interested party. So, I welcome the same.

The Act also specifies conditions as to when unsecured loans or advances may be granted, and specifies the manner in which the loans may be reported to RBI.
The Bill states that ‘every co-operative bank shall, before the close of the month succeeding that to which the return relates, submit to the Reserve Bank, a return in the prescribed form and manner showing all unsecured loans and advances granted by it to companies in cases other than those in which the cooperative bank is prohibited under Sub-Section (1) to make unsecured loans and advances in which any of its Directors is interested as Director or managing agent or guarantor.’

Though the Act prohibits the grant of unsecured loans, there are several other ways of granting advances to the Directors, adopted by the cooperative banks. Hence, the reporting of all unsecured advances granted to the Directors, their relatives, associates, firms and companies should be reported to RBI. The Bill may be amended accordingly.

The Bill retains a provision requiring a Scheduled Cooperative Bank to maintain assets with a value not exceeding 40 per cent of its total demand and time liabilities within India. I welcome this.

Finally, in view of the Bill, the urban and multi-state cooperative banks being governed by the respective Cooperative Societies Act of the State concerned, will additionally come within the jurisdiction of RBI. This appears to be a parallel or an overlapping jurisdiction; and there is no identified dichotomy in their respective jurisdiction. Requisite measures need to be taken to protect the interest of the depositors. However, I welcome the Bill.

With these words, I conclude. Thank you very much.
डॉ. आलोक कुमार सुमन (गोपालगंज): धन्यवाद सभापति महोदया, मैं आपका आभार व्यक्त करता हूँ कि आपने मुझे The Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020 पर अपनी बात रखने का मौका दिया। जैसा कि हम जानते हैं कि देश में द बैंकिंग रूल्यूजन एवेंट को वर्ष 1949 में इनाक्त किया गया था ताकि हम सभी बैंकों के नियम को मजबूत और उनका विस्तार कर सके। इस एवेंट के पार्ट-5 में यह प्रावधान है कि हम को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में भी नियमानुसार सेवन-56 के तहत कुछ फेरबदल कर सकते हैं।

बैंकिंग क्षेत्र में विकास और समय के साथ बदलाव को ध्यान में रखते हुए आज आवश्यक हो गया है कि इस एवेंट में जो भी प्रोविजन्स को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक से संबंधित हैं, उनको और मजबूती से लागू किया जाए। इस बिल में यह व्यवस्था है कि को-ऑपरेटिव बैंकों के कार्य-में ऐसी व्यवस्था हो, जिससे जमानताओं की हितों की रक्षा हो, बेहतर विकास हो और सारे बैंकों के साथ-साथ को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक का भी विकास का स्तर बना रहे। इस बिल में यह प्रावधान है कि रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के माध्यम से को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में प्रोफेशनलिज्म, कैपिटल मुहेया गवर्नेंस और साउंड बैंकिंग व्यवस्था लाई जाए। महोदया, the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020, inter-alia provides to amend Section 3 so as to make the provision of the said Act not applicable to a Primary Agricultural Credit Society, that is, PACS. देश में को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स को दो केटेगरीज में बांटा गया है। एक अबन को-ऑपरेटिव बैंकस और दूसरा फर्ल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स। फर्ल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में स्टेट को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स और डिस्ट्रिक्ट सेंट्रल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स हैं। रिजर्व बैंक के डाटा 2019 के अनुसार आज पूरे देश में अबन को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स की संख्या कुल 1544 है। इसी प्रकार नाबालिग के डाटा 2019 के अनुसार स्टेट को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स और डिस्ट्रिक्ट सेंट्रल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स की संख्या क्रमशः 34 और 363 हैं। जैसा कि RBI के डाटा मार्च, 2019 के अनुसार Urban Co-operative Banks में 4,84,315.85 करोड़ कुल जमा राशि है और नाबालिग में कुल जमा राशि 5,05,859.16 करोड़ रुपये हैं। Urban Co-operative Banks में 3.5 परसेंट से 4 परसेंट तक सेविंग बैंक
अकाउंट्स पर तथा 4 से 7.25 परसेंट तक टर्म डिपार्जिट पर इंटरेस्ट देते हैं। वर्ष 2019 के अनुसार 34 स्टेट को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में से 30 बैंक्स लाभ में चल रहे हैं। इसी प्रकार 363 डिस्ट्रिक्ट सेंट्रल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में से 303 बैंक्स लाभ में चल रहे हैं। पूरे देश में 3 स्टेट को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स और 60 डिस्ट्रिक्ट सेंट्रल को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक्स घाटे में हैं। महोदय, PACS ने केवल किसानों की ऋण जरूरतों को पूरा करने बल्कि उनकी गेट-ऋण आवश्यकताओं को पूरा करने के लिए वन स्टॉप ऑपरेशन के रूप में विकसित करने का प्रयास किया गया है, इसके साथ नाबाड देश भर में PACS बहु सेवा केंद्रों में विकसित करने के लिए इन्हें वित्तीय सहायता प्रदान कर रहा है।

महोदय, हमारे माननीय मुख्यमंत्री श्री मितीश कुमार जी ने PACS का विस्तार करने के लिए हर तरह के उपाय किए हैं ताकि ग्रामीण किसान भाइयों को धन निवेश से अधिक से अधिक लाभ मिल सके। नये PACS बनाए गए। समय से संदर्भ में इसका अच्छा हुआ जिसके कारण PACS में व्यापक संख्या बढ़ी और यह सोसाइटी किसानों के फसल के मूल्यांकन में लाभ प्रदान साबित हुई तथा खर-खराफ सही से हुआ। PACS को बढ़ावा देने के लिए माननीय मुख्यमंत्री जी ने 17 जिलों में 22 को-ऑपरेटिव बिल्डिंग बनवाने के लिए 56.35 करोड़ रुपये अलोट किए हैं। इसके अलावा भिन्न सरकार ने हर PACS को 15 लाख रुपये कृषि उपकरण के लिए अलोट किए हैं, जिसमें 10 लाख भारत सरकार का और 5 लाख राज्य सरकार का है।

महोदय, मेरे संसदीय क्षेत्र गोपालगंज एक कृषि प्रमुख जिला है। यहाँ और आस-पास के जिलों में गेंहू की खेती बहुत ही महत्वपूर्ण है। मेरे संसदीय क्षेत्र में चार चीनी मिलों में से दो चीनी मिल बालू हालत में है और दो बन्द पड़ी हुई हैं। अगर सरकार CSR फंड का कुछ हिस्सा बन्द पड़ी चीनी मिल के लिए देती है और साथ-साथ एथनॉल के उत्पादन की व्यवस्था करती है तो इससे लाखों किसान भाइयों एवं युवाओं को रोजगार मिलेगा।

सभापति महोदय, मैं इसी के साथ THE Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020 का समर्थन करते हुए अपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं।
SHRI PINAKI MISRA (PURU): Thank you, Madam, Chairperson. The obvious lacuna in the regulation of the cooperative banks came to the fore with the collapse or rather egregious collapse, I may add, of the PMC Bank in Maharashtra. The investigations into the PMC scam have shown the grossest possible financial mismanagement and, obviously, complete breakdown of internal control mechanisms. Violating all banking norms, the PMC had 73 per cent loan exposure to simply one entity which was masked through 21 fictitious accounts until a whistle-blower blew the whistle.

The hon. Finance Minister, in her Budget Speech of 2020, said that she is soon going to bring an Act to strengthen and professionalise cooperative banks, basically, in order to protect the interests of depositors and to strengthen cooperative banks by improving governance and oversight which was sorely and long overdue. The idea behind allowing the RBI is to initiate the reconstruction or amalgamation of a bank without an order of moratorium. Therefore, this Ordinance and, now, the current Bill, obviously, seeks to avoid any disruption of the financial system.

This cleanup of the co-operative bank space is obviously very welcome and my Party heartily supports the hon. Finance Minister in her endeavour.

The fact that the RBI managed to, within 13 days, get the Yes Bank moratorium out of the way and released funds, we are particularly happy. It is because Shree Jagannath Puri Temple Trust had, in fact, invested some moneys in the Yes Bank. That money was immediately returned. Therefore, much reviled as the RBI is, I must compliment the RBI for what it did in the Yes
Bank case. We are grateful to the RBI and the Finance Minister, indeed, for the very prompt action that they have taken which is, of course, in stark contradistinction to what has happened in the PMC Bank where after so many months the moratorium continues. I have done this before. I will, once again, beseech the hon. Finance Minister to take certain proactive steps that, I am sure, she can as advised both by law and by the powers that be, to ameliorate some of the grievances of the PMC Bank depositors who are in dire straits. A number of suicides have happened and it is one of the saddest episodes in chapters in modern Indian history. Therefore, I once again urge you to kindly take proactive steps to ensure some immediate relief for the PMC Bank account holders.

While supporting this Bill, there are a couple of issues that require consideration. Therefore, I would like to flag those before the hon. Finance Minister. As per the RBI’s Trends and Progress in Banking Report, 1544 urban co-operative banks account for very-very minimal 1.6 per cent out of the 97,792 co-operative banks. In fact, 96,248 rural co-operative banks account for almost 65 per cent of the assets of co-operatives which are, of course, controlled by the respective State Government’s legislation. Therefore, the RBI control here, which is being sought to be brought about, will be gaining control over a very small fraction of co-operative banks. I understand the Finance Minister’s dilemma in this matter.

I am mindful of the fact that certain issues have been flagged with regard to the federal structure. Entry 32 of List II clearly says that the co-operative
societies would be governed by the State List, while Entry 45 of List I governs banking. Therefore, there has always been this sort of duality of control. Unfortunately, this duality of control seems to also obtain even under the new regime. It is because this is going to be one of the regulatory issues that will come up. It needs to be clarified by the hon. Finance Minister as to what role the State Government’s Registrar of Cooperative Societies will play once this Bill is passed and whether there will be any overlap in regulation with the RBI as that will create even a greater problem, I guess.

The other issue, of course, is that the co-operative banks really catered to the local needs. The personal connect is a key characteristic of their mode of operation. Therefore, centralisation of power with the RBI now, particularly with regard to management decisions, may obstruct this key characteristic of personal connect. How the hon. Finance Minister wishes to go forward in this matter is something that may be of some consideration.

Be that as it may, it is a welcome first step, hon. Chairperson. Therefore, I commend the hon. Finance Minister for having been proactive in this matter, for having brought this. Let us not raise the bogey of why an Ordinance was necessary. I believe, when it was not certain at all as to when Parliament would be able to meet in the near future, an Ordinance therefore was necessary. If ever an Ordinance under Article 123 was needed, I think this is one of those cases. Therefore, the Congress Party flagging the issue of why an Ordinance was brought about, I think, is a needless criticism.

I thank you very much, Madam Chairperson.
SHRIMATI SANGEETA AZAD (LALGANJ): Thank you, Madam Chairperson, for allowing me to speak on the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill.

The Banking Regulation Act, 1949 is the primary law that regulates the banks in India. However, the banking sector in India has witnessed multiple large-scale frauds many of which have arisen as a result of governance issue within the banks. The Banking Regulation (Amendment) Ordinance was promulgated accordingly on 26th June, 2020 in pursuance of the commitment of Government of India to ensure safety of depositors across the bank.

Our Party BSP and our National President Behan Kumari Mayawati Ji welcomes this Bill with a few suggestions. The amendment made in this Ordinance is a welcome step in ensuring greater transparency and better governance of the cooperative banks. But putting such cooperative banks under complete supervision of RBI creates a potential risk of misuse of such powers by the RBI and the Central Government.

The management of cooperative banks has traditionally been under the domain of local members of State Governments. Now, the RBI would have all the powers to take control of the management of these banks which will cause conflicts between RBI and the State Governments for political issues. The Bill raises questions of crossholding depositors within these cooperative banks in some States. Cooperative banks typically hold deposits for other credit societies and also housing societies as local law mandates that the societies must compulsorily keep their deposit with such banks.
In spite of such crisis, the Bill only tackles regulation of paid up share capital and securities by cooperative banks and does not address the issue of crossholding of deposit which is one of the structural problems with the cooperative banks.

Lastly, the Government should look into converting the cooperative bank into small finance bank to regulate the institution and increase the banks reach to small depositors in the rural and semi-rural areas.

I would request the Government to consider my proposed suggestions. I welcome the Bill. Thank you.
SHRI KOTHA PRABHAKAR REDDY (MEDAK): Madam, thank you very much for giving me an opportunity to speak in this House today on this very important subject.

As the House is aware, banks play an important role and it is part of our life. As the House is aware that in future, there is a need to set up more banks and financial institutions including corporate banks in rural areas to meet the various demands of the people from different fields like agriculture, business and also to meet the aim of financial inclusion.

In the past, our country had a great bank track record but nowadays, it is seen that the general public have lost confidence in the banks due to the policies adopted by the Government. There is a need to restore the confidence of the general public in the banks.

As the House is aware, the banks are the backbone for the development of various sectors and for the overall growth of the nation - from the industries to the employment and provide invaluable services.

Providing more powers to the RBI for regulating cooperative banks is a welcome step in the backdrop of Punjab and Maharashtra Cooperative banks scam, Yes Bank scam and other bad experiences. There is a need to bring cooperative banks and non-banking financial institutions also on par with the developments in the banking sector through better management and the proper regulation of the cooperative banks to ensure that affairs of the cooperative banks be protected in the interest of the depositors and will also strengthen the nation’s economy.
Another major serious problem is overdue loans of the cooperative banks which have been continuously incurring losses which till now is not corrected.

Large amounts of overdues restrict the recycling of the funds and adversely affect the lending and borrowing capacity of the banks. It is better that RBI can supersede to give consolations to the State Governments, if any cooperative bank is under stress. There is a need to strengthen the cooperative banks by increasing professionalisation, by improving excess imbalance and also by improving the capital governance and ensuring sound banking through the Reserve Bank of India. Audit for all banks may be made from time to time.

With these few words, I would like to conclude my speech. We are supporting the Bill. Thank you, Madam.
SHRI BENNY BEHANAN (CHALAKUDY): Madam, I totally oppose the Bill. While introducing the Bill, the hon. Finance Minister explained that this Bill is for the betterment of the depositors and to strengthen the rural economy but actually this Bill is totally against the depositors and it will destroy the rural credit system.

The Bill is against the provisions of the Indian Constitution. Hitherto, the co-operative society and the co-operative banks in each State were registered and managed by the State laws.

The RBI appointed a Committee in 1951 to study the co-operative movement under the Chairmanship of A.D. Gorwala. The Committee submitted a report in 1951 with a conclusion, ‘co-operation has failed in India but it must succeed’. This report is called the Magna Carta of the co-operative sector, which makes a structural change in the co-operative history of India.

The co-operatives all over the world are functioning on a set of principles as promulgated by the International Co-operative Alliance. Democratic member control and autonomy and independence are the two cardinal principles of them. As per the proposed amendment, the RBI will supervise all the co-operative banks, whether it is primary, urban, district, Central or apex.

The RBI will supersede the elected Board of Directors and appoint a Chairman to the Board of Directors. This Bill shows the Government’s interference against democracy and it violates the co-operative principle. The co-operative societies all over the world are found to obey the co-operative principles and ensure democratic management.
As per the Montague-Chelmsford Administration Reforms of 1919, co-operation has become a State subject. That means, each State can prepare its own laws for the administration of the co-operatives in their State. As per the proposed amendment, the UPA Government recommended a uniform law for all the States in the country. The Parliament has passed a model law and sent it for approval of the respective State Governments, after making amendments, if any. As co-operation is a State subject, the Central Government has no such power to insist on a common law for the whole country. As the economic, social, educational and cultural policy of each State is entirely different from one another, it is practically impossible to implement a common law throughout the country.

The Central Government could administrate and manage only multi-state co-operative organisations formed as per the Multi-State Co-operative Societies Act. Through the proposed amendment, the Central Government is trespassing into the powers of the State Government and the autonomy and independence of the co-operatives in the State. So, this amendment is against the federal system.

Up to 1981, the rural credit facility of the country was managed by a Department in the Reserve Bank by the name ARDC. Then, to strengthen agriculture and the rural credit structure of the country, NABARD was formed. NABARD was managing and controlling the rural credit structure, especially the co-operative banks. But a reversal action is seen by centralizing the entire credit and banking activities of the co-operative sector into the RBI.
Is the Government of the opinion that NABARD is not managing the credit structure properly? Then, why is the RBI stepping into the shoes of NABARD?

The co-operative institutions in our country are the backbone of Indian rural economy. As commercial banks are reluctant to provide adequate credit to rural people, their credit requirements are met by the co-operative societies. NABARD is the national level refinancing agency for rural credit. Until 1982, it was performed by NARDC. But when the RBI takes over the entire credit and banking activity under the co-operative sector, NABARD has no role to play. Then, why is there an institution called ‘NABARD’?

Section 3 of the Amendment Bill is detrimental to the interests of all primary co-operative banks of Kerala State. In our State, the primary service that the co-operative banks are doing is banking business under the direct control of the State, District and State Co-operative Banks. That system has been functioning successfully for the last so many years. It is the backbone of the rural economy of the State. The entire rural public and farming community is benefited by the primary service of co-operative banks. Through the proposed amendment, they cannot use the name, bank, banker or banking.

Why are you killing a successful institution which is functioning well in our State? The proposed amendment under Section 12(1) to make public issue of shares and private placement is against the very co-operative principle.
A loanee, who is taking loan from a cooperative society, will have to keep a small percentage of the loan amount in this society. It is called share linking. This is the equity of the institution. After closing the loan, the member is entitled to withdraw his shares as per the conditions imposed by the State Government. But now through this amendment, in clause 12 (2), a shareholder is prevented from withdrawing his shares in a society. What is the justification for this amendment?

A scheme for moratorium rescheduling or restructuring of loans is the prerogative of the respective institution under the directions of the State Government. But now the Central Government is usurping the powers of the State Government. The power to declare moratorium of any kind or waiver is to be vested with the State Government. It is pertinent to note that even after repeated requests of the apex court, the Government has not informed its opinion regarding the payment of interest and compound interest for the deferred amount under moratorium scheme now declared.

The objective of the cooperative movement is the upliftment of the poor. The members are the supreme authority in a cooperative society. This Bill says that the RBI is the supreme authority. This Bill destroys our cooperative spirit and cooperative sector. This is against the democracy, the cooperative principles and the view of the international cooperative alliance.

Before concluding, I would like to quote what Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru said. He said:
“The idea of cooperation is something much more than merely an efficient and economic way of doing things. It is economic, it is fair, it equalises and prevents disparities from growing. But it is something ever deeper than that. It is really a way of life.”

Therefore, I request the Government not to destroy the concept of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru. With these words, I once again oppose the Bill and request the hon. Finance Minister to withdraw the Bill. Thank you.
SHRI TEJASVI SURYA (BANGALORE SOUTH): Thank you, Madam, Chairperson. I speak in support of this Bill introduced by the hon. Finance Minister.

Today this House is addressing an issue of eminent importance that will positively impact the lives of more than 20 crore depositors of urban cooperative banks, district central cooperative banks and State cooperative banks, whose lives and lifesavings were under jeopardy because of a regulatory twilight zone.

That cooperative banks are in a precarious state owing primarily to unprofessional management and non-compliance with modern banking practices is beyond dispute. When these banks crumble under their own weight, helpless depositors are left to fend for themselves without much effective legal redress. We have seen how in the recent past thousands of depositors primarily from the modest middleclass background and the lower middleclass background have been defrauded. The PMC Bank case in Mumbai and Sri Guru Raghavendra Cooperative Bank case in my own constituency of Bengaluru are cases in point. This is a result of proper lack of regulatory oversight of cooperative banks by the RBI. For all these years, despite cooperative banks performing solely banking functions, they were not fully accountable to the RBI.

However strangely, the Opposition does not seem to be keen on cleaning up the mess in this sector and their opposition to these reforms stands on shaky and spacious grounds. The first opposition is on the ground
that this Parliament lacks legislative competence to enact this Bill and that this is against the federal structure. The argument does not hold water for the following reasons.

Madam, the third proviso to Article 243 ZL, Clause 1 states that provisions of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 applies squarely to all cooperative banks. Speaking on this, the constitutional bench of the Supreme Court in many judgements, the latest being the constitutional judgement in Pandurang Ganpati Chaugule vs Vishwasrao Patil, as recently as May 2020, stated that the constitutional provision itself makes a distinction between a cooperative bank and other cooperative societies and applied the law enacted under Entry 45 of List-I of the Seventh Schedule to the Cooperative Banks. It thereby sets at rest all controversy concerning applicability of the Banking Regulation Act of 1949 to banks run by cooperative societies.

It also made it clear that such banks are governed under Entry 45 – List I of the VII Schedule.

The proposed Bill only refers to such societies which are solely performing banking functions and it is to be noted that incorporation powers are still with the State Registrars. Therefore, it requires the scheme envisioned by the Supreme Court.

It is also pertinent to note that just like how banking companies are registered under the Companies Act, but are governed under the BR Act, the cooperative societies performing solely banking functions will be incorporated under the respective State’s Cooperative Societies Act, but will be governed in
their banking functions and connected management functions by the BR Act. Therefore, this area of law is no more *res integra* and I would want to urge the Members of the Opposition, many of whom are learned lawyers, to appreciate the Supreme Court’s reasoning on this.

Madam, the second ground of attack is that the proposed amendment debilitates the cooperative banks and dilutes their fundamental cooperative features, but we must first understand how cooperative banks are different from other cooperative societies which are not involved in banking. Cooperative banks are strictly not cooperative in nature as they mobilise funds not only from among their members but also from the general public. This is not the case with other cooperative societies. This is the reason why cooperative banks are barred from performing non-banking functions. Also, cooperative banks are controlled by shareholders who are also members of the society, but depositors are not always members of the society. Therefore, a non-member depositor is not permitted to participate in the decision-making or borrow his money although it is his funds that are at stake. This places cooperative banks in a class different from that of other cooperative societies. This is the reason why it has been repeatedly held that all cooperative banks must come under the supervision of the RBI.

Madam, all these years the biggest problem before the cooperative banks and their administration was the problem of dual control. While urban cooperative banks came under State RCS and the RBI, district central cooperative banks came under the NABARD and the RCS. The Madhava Rao
Committee on UCBs in 1999 had highlighted the problems of this dual control regime. I would want to quote a few lines from the report. It said:

“Perhaps the most significant factor which adversely affects the performance of urban cooperative banks and prevents timely and adequate remedial action to prevent sickness is the dual control over the urban cooperative banking sector. The Committee is convinced that unless the dual control is replaced by unitary control, it will not be possible for a healthy urban cooperative banking sector to subsist. This unitary control must recognise that whereas the cooperative character of UCBs may be controlled by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, its banking functions must necessarily be under the sole control of the RBI.”

This is precisely what these amendments propose to do. In that regard, in 1999, the Madhava Rao Committee had made recommendations that the BR Act must be amended. Sadly, for all these years, it was not done and to even bring these monumental reforms, it took a Narendra Modi Government in office.

From the perspective of the depositor, a bank is a repository of trust. The moment a financial institution uses the word bank, the depositor presumes that it is supervised and guided by the RBI and therefore, his deposits are safe. He does not understand nor does he know that multiple legislations cover the field of banking and cooperative banks. This dual control regime, therefore, placed depositors of different banks on different pedestals which created a peculiar practical as well as a constitutional problem. Nothing highlights this anomaly better than the recent redressal of the Yes Bank by the timely
intervention of the Central Government, the Finance Minister and the RBI by facilitating a speedy reconstruction of the Yes Bank while depositors of both PMC Bank as well as Sri Guru Raghavendra Sahakara Bank continue to be in distress because of the moratorium placed on the respective banks even to this day. This was because the law, that is, the BR Act permitted the RBI to restructure and reconstruct banking companies while not allowing for the same in respect of cooperative banks. This was a gross violation of the Fundamental Right to Equality of depositors. I must thank the Finance Minister, Nirmala Sitharamanji, for bringing this issue to a closure. Very recently, she had met the depositors of Sri Guru Raghavendra Sahakara Bank in Bengaluru and had assured all of them that appropriate legislative measures would be taken immediately to address this big legal anomaly.

Madam, it is also pertinent to note that the amendment to Section 45 of the BR Act will henceforth allow reconstruction of banks without the imposition of a moratorium thereby avoiding disruptions and panic in the financial system.

It was appalling, rather surprising that a few learned Members from the Opposition said that law and legislation must not apply to cooperative banks and that they must be let alone, that there must be no law that must apply and regulate their functions.

Banking is a very sensitive issue. This is a space which cannot be let to have any statutory vacuum. Even ancient civilisational India was aware of this necessity and had framed appropriate rules in the dharma shastras. I would
want to quote only one sentence from the *Manusmriti*. In the *Manusmriti*, a few thousand years ago, the law-givers then had envisaged, “*Yo niksheapam narpayati, yascha anikshipya yachate, tavubau choravat shashyav dhabyava tat samam dhamam*”.

This means, a borrower who does not repay his loan and a banker who does not repay a depositor, both are thieves and must be punished.

Therefore, Madam, since long the importance of regulation on banking matters was stressed. However, in respect of cooperative banks, because of non-implementation of the RBI suggestions, resulted in a clique of persons controlling cooperative banks in different States, resulting in huge losses to depositors and, therefore, consequent losses for the common man in the banking system.

Let me just give one small example before I conclude. Half of the deposits of the PMC Bank is alleged to have been borrowed by the Chairman of the Bank. In the case of the Guru Raghavendra Bank, only 27 borrowers have borrowed upwards of Rs. 927 crore and have contributed to more than 70 per cent of the total NPAs.

The reforms that are proposed today will put an end to the conflict of interest of the promoters and usher in modernity, professionalism and progressive banking practices in the cooperative sector.

The most other important aspect of the reforms that is being proposed is the auditing of the cooperative banks. Cooperative banks have often been found to cook books to suppress their maladministration. Even the creation of
fake deposits, ever-greening of the NPAs, fictitious loan creations and false profit and loss statements are found at the time of emergence of scams.

Again, in the instant case of Guru Raghavendra Bank, I would like to say that it had paid an excess of Rs. 70 crore as income tax by presenting false profit and loss statements even when the Bank was suffering real losses.

It is also important to note that the instant reforms are addressing one other pressing problem of cooperative banks, that is the difficulty of raising capital. In the case of companies and commercial banks, capital can be transferred from one person to another, but is not refunded to the shareholder. But in a cooperative society capital is refunded to a member if he or she so demands. Also, because there is no scope for appreciation in the value of share capital of a cooperative bank, mobilisation of capital is a problem. Therefore, these reforms propose suitable provisions to make additional avenues for cooperative banks to raise additional capital. At the same time, it must be appreciated that the new avenues for raising capital are being provided without compromising the fundamental cooperative principle of ‘one member one vote’.

I would want to say in conclusion that even the provisions related to the removal of the Board of a cooperative bank have been made mandatory with the consultation of the State Government. This is in line with the constitutional principle of cooperative federalism.

Contrary to the argument that the Opposition is making, these reforms will not only strengthen the cooperative banks across the country but will also
revive the confidence of the small depositors, the micro business owners in the formal banking system, as in many cases it is the local cooperative bank that is the base of banking system in India.

Our Government, under the leadership of our hon. Prime Minister, Shri Narendra Modi, has always tried to protect the interest of the most vulnerable sections of the society. These reforms today which are historic in nature will help the States try towards achieving Mahatma Gandhi’s goal of enabling and empowering the weakest of the weak and the poorest of the poor.

I thank hon. Finance Minister, Shrimati Nirmala Sitharaman, for bringing this very important Bill. Thank you so much for the opportunity, Madam.
*SHRI SUNIL DATTATRAY TATKARE (RAIGAD):* Thank you, Madam Chairperson. The Union Government has brought this Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill 2020 for the better management and proper regulation of co-operative banks and I rise to speak on it. Maharashtra has been a guiding force for the entire nation in the field of co-operative movement.

Late Dhananjayrao Gadgil, late Yashwantrao Naik, late Vasant Dada Patil, and Shri Sharad Pawar ji have played a very important role in the overall agricultural and industrial development of Maharashtra as well as of this country, and we have been witnessing it for the last so many years. Yesterday, we discussed here the issue related to the APMCs and my friend Shri Rao Saheb Danveji mentioned about the exponential growth of agriculture sector in our country. But, this is not shown in the price rise for agricultural produce. During 2004-2014, while serving as a Union Agriculture Minister Shri Sharad Pawar ji took certain steps to improve import-export policy and that paved the way for further overall growth in agriculture sector. It was strengthened by Co-operative banks which came forward to give monitory assistance to the farmers.

In Maharashtra, District co-operative banks, state co-operative bank were formed. Whether it is the Pune District Co-operative Bank or the Satara District Co-operative Bank, these banks are led by hon’ble Sharad Pawar ji and these banks provide loans to the farmers by charging 0% interest. These

* English translation of the Speech originally delivered in Marathi.
banks are playing a pivotal role in the financial sector of rural Maharashtra by providing timely help to the needy and poor farmers. Of course, some cases have come to light which brought bad name to the co-operative movement. So, the Government has to take a stand to protect the depositors.

This is going to help the process of merger. Now, the Reserve Bank of India will regulate and control the activities of Co-operative Banks and at the same time, it is expected that some positive and constructive measures should also be taken. The 97th Constitutional Amendment was carried out through the Banking Ordinance and in part 5, Article 43 (B) was incorporated after Article 43 (A) which reads as:-

“The State shall endeavour to promote voluntary formation, autonomous functioning, democratic control and professional management of co-operative society”

It is understood that democratic control is necessary in every co-operative society. But on the basis of the amendment carried out in 2020, any person who is not a member of that society, can be appointed as the chairman of the bank. I want to mention here that this is totally contrary to Article 43 (B) and invalid. This ordinance proposes that the capital infused by the founding members of the bank, would not be returned back to them. I know that some frauds of larger amount come to light and that is why RBI has to come forward with this kind of regulations and I welcome it. But, while giving additional powers to the RBI, we should take cognizance of the banks which are working efficiently. Hence, we should not politicize this issue and
the banks should not be targeted on the basis of political linkage. The Pen Co-operative Bank in my Raigad district was established in the year 1935. It got banking license in 1986. It has a capital of around 613 crore. Rs. 178 crore was the recovery. It has got more then 1 lac depositors and it was working efficiently and transparently. But an administrator has been appointed for this bank. If Government wants to merge it, it should be merged with a financially strong bank. I would like to request the Union Government that these issues should be considered seriously. Jai Hind, Jai Maharashtra.
SHRIMATI NUSRAT JAHAN (BASIRHAT): Thank you so much, Madam. We are clearly opposing the Bill.

With regard to amendment of Section 12 by inserting Section 12 (1), we would like to say that these provisions go against the tenets of cooperative movement and cooperative societies registered under the West Bengal Cooperative Societies (WBCS) Act, 2006, as amended.

Raising of shares by way of public issue or private placement is not provided for in the WBCS Act, 2006. It is a corporate concept where ownership is determined by the number of shares held. The WBCS Act, 2006 provides for ‘one-member, one-vote’. There is also a prescribed ceiling on holding of shares under the WBCS Act. Moreover, there is no provision for issuing unsecured debentures by the cooperative societies in the WBCS Act. Also, as per WBCS Act, bonds cannot be issued without the prior approval of the State Government, but this Banking Regulation Ordinance provides for public issue or private placement of bonds. Therefore, it takes away the rights of the State Government.

Secondly, with regard to amendment of Section 12 by inserting Section 12 (2) (i) and Section 12 (2) (ii), the inserted Section 12 (2) (i) states that: “No person shall be entitled to demand payment towards surrender of shares issued to him by a cooperative bank.”. As per the inserted Section 12 (2) (ii), a cooperative bank shall not withdraw or reduce its share capital except to the extent and subject to such conditions as RBI may specify. Our views on this is
that the privileges of the members may be compromised. Moreover, the redemption of shares to the State Government will not be possible either. Thus, *inter alia*, the State cannot subscribe to a cooperative bank’s share capital. On the other hand, Section 12 (2) (ii) imposes more restrictions on the freedom of cooperative banks.

Lastly, with regard to the amendment of Section 56 by inserting Sub-Sections 56 (a) (iv) and 56 (a) (v), as per this inserted provision 56 (a) (iv) references to the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 except in Part III and Part IIIA shall be construed as references to the corresponding provisions, if any, of the law under which a cooperative bank is registered. As per the inserted provision 56 (a) (v) references to ‘Registrar’ or ‘Registrar of Companies’ shall be construed as references to ‘Central Registrar’ or ‘Registrar of Cooperative Societies’, as the case may be, under the law under which a cooperative bank is registered.

Our views on its implication is that cooperative banks may be converted into joint stock companies. This would make them lose their cooperative character. For the above stated concerns, the amendments made by inserting Sub-Sections 12 (1), 12 (2) (i), 12 (2) (ii), 56 (a) (iv) and 56 (a) (v) may kindly be removed from the Bill. Thank you so much for giving me the opportunity.
SHRI JAYADEV GALLA (GUNTUR): Madam, I thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak on this piece of legislation, which has come before this House in the backdrop of the Punjab and Maharashtra Cooperative (PMC) Bank scam.

The Bill aims, as per the Statement of Objects and Reasons, to improve cooperative bank’s management and regulation, infuse professionalism, better governance and sound banking practices so as to protect the interests of the depositors. So, I welcome this Bill. Since the time at my disposal is very limited, and I have no luxury to go into the cooperative movement, how cooperative banks came into existence, kinds of cooperative banks, their functioning, etc. I will make just a few quick points for consideration of the hon. Finance Minister.

Madam, I am from the Telugu Desam Party. As the Finance Minister has aptly demarcated in the Bill that Banking Regulations and Guidelines of the RBI are to be strictly followed by the cooperative banks and gives the authority to the Registrar of Cooperative Societies to deal with administrative issues. The cooperative banking system in our country was set up on a cooperative basis and belongs to its members. But if you closely observe the PMC scam, there was collusion between the bank officials and HDIL. Almost 77 per cent of the loan was given to HDIL holding companies which became NPA and the PMC Bank has gone bankrupt.

Here, the point I wish to highlight is, according to the RBI norms of exposure, the bank’s exposure to a group of connected companies is capped
at 25 per cent and 15 per cent for individual companies. But this was violated. I did not find any such cap in this Bill also. So, I would like to know from the hon. Minister, how is she going to implement this norm in the cooperative banks, who will monitor this, may kindly be explained. Madam, in 2017, when Shri Arun Jaitley was the Minister, this very House had given its approval for inserting two Sections 35 (aa) and 35 (ab) to the parent Act. The main objective was to strengthen the hands of the RBI to address stressed assets in the banking system. I am sure these two sections are also applicable to the cooperative banks but whether they are going to be prospective or retrospective is not very clear. I suggest making them applicable retrospectively to cover the PMC scam, which I think is the largest scam in the cooperative banking sector.

Secondly, I wish to know the results of the implementation of Sections 35(aa) and 35 (ab) in the last three years. The cooperative banks are still at the beginning of the cooperative movement. They are not following the modern practices of banking, such as, net banking, mobile banking, online banking, e-banking, ATM banking, and other electronic banking ways and lagging behind in adopting advanced and new technology in the banking sector. So, the hon. Minister may kindly explain how she is going to address this. I suggest for the consideration of the hon. Minister to provide a special grant for modernising the cooperative banks in the country.

With these observations, I support the Bill.
ADV. A.M. ARIFF (ALAPPUZHA): Madam, on behalf of the CPI(M) Party, I wish to register my strong protest on the decision of the Government to bring cooperative banks under the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020.

It is highly objectionable that the Bill, which would be debated in Parliament, was hastily enacted into law, by including the provision to grab the powers of the States. What was the urgency to bypass the legislative power of this Parliament? It is undoubtedly a death bell to the cooperative sector of the country, though the hon. Finance Minister tried to defend by saying that the decision will be applicable only to cooperative institutions using the words ‘bank’, ‘banker’, and ‘banking’. In Kerala, both land mortgage banks and primary agricultural credit societies are using the word ‘bank’ along with their names even before the enactment of the Banking Regulation Act, 1965.

Hence, they may be allowed to use the word ‘bank’ along with their names. Hon. Finance Minister is saying that the Government’s intention is to protect the interests of the depositors and the public at large through this amendment. But what is the truth? The truth is that this amendment will only lead to unnecessary restrictions on the availability of credit facilities to the common people. By the destruction of the cooperative sector, people will be thrown into the hands of the Shylocks and blade mafia.

Moreover, in Kerala, we have been given the insurance protection for all the deposits. Likewise, you can come up with an amendment to give insurance protection for all.
Yesterday, the hon. Finance Minister gave a list of ailing cooperative banks in the country as a whole but I want to remind this august House that the other side of the story is that we should not forget that thousands of primary cooperative banks and urban cooperative banks are functioning efficiently across the country. These cooperative banks are the only solace of the common people from the clutches of the money lenders and the so-called new generation banks which are only bothered about making profits, profits and profits.

At the same time, some technical issues will arise due to the amendment. The cooperative banks are now permitted to issue preference shares along with their equity shares giving preferential treatment to a particular class of shareholders. It is against the principle of cooperation. The cooperative banks are allowed to issue shares at premium. Members take shares of a cooperative bank, not for trading but to get the service of the bank to get more than the face value of the shares from members against the principle of equity. Giving shares to non-members is against the spirit of cooperation. Members of cooperative banks are not permitted to withdraw their shares.

As per Section 36 AAA, the Reserve Bank can supersede the Board of Directors of a cooperative bank. It will result in dual control and can be seen as an encroachment upon the powers of the States as envisaged under entry 32 of the 6th Schedule to the Constitution.
Section 53 of the B. R. Act permits the Central Government to exempt the class of societies from the provisions of the B. R. Act. Hence, the Central Government may exempt the Primary Agricultural Credit Societies (PACS) of Kerala from the provisions of the B. R. Act. So, I request the hon. Finance Minister to withdraw the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill or otherwise, send it to a Select Committee.
SHRI SAPTAGIRI SANKAR ULAKA (KORAPUT): The main aim of the proposed Bill is to increase professionalism and improve governance among the cooperative banks. The introduction of the Ordinance was necessitated by primarily two cases, the Mapusa Urban Cooperative Bank of Goa Ltd. and the CKP Cooperative Bank Ltd. in May 2020. If you look at this particular Ordinance or the Bill, the main reason is the PMC Bank crisis. Let me revisit what happened in the PMC Bank. Around 9,24,000 depositors and 50,000 shareholders of the Punjab & Maharashtra Cooperative Bank in seven States were on moratorium because of the mismanagement of the management. The PMC Bank failure is not a typical banking failure but a fraud committed by the PMC management. The Bank did not classify the loans as non-performing advances despite non-payment. This is purely because of lack of supervision of the RBI.

There are about 63 persons who succumbed to death, including 3 suicide cases. The Government should be accountable for the deaths. Finally, the Government woke up and came up with this Amendment. Let me briefly take up some key issues in the Bill which I would like to highlight. Firstly, the Reserve Bank is being vested with more regulatory responsibilities and the RBI’s record of regulating banks and some NBFCs is mixed. There is no justification to make the RBI the regulator of district cooperative banks and urban cooperative banks.

Secondly, if the Ordinance becomes the law, all the key financial intermediaries will come under the control of the Central Government. There
will be more centralisation. We should strive for decentralisation empowering the States. I am really surprised as to how some regional parties are supporting the Bill where the power from the States goes to the Central Government, the power to change the final structure of cooperative banks that enables control with crony capitalists, like everything else that the Government has been doing. The Airport Baba who has been buying airports across the country will come into this cooperative bank also.

The role of the State Governments is undermined. The Bill undermines the ability of the State Governments to regulate the cooperative banks by allowing the RBI to have greater control. The disbursal of social welfare schemes to cooperatives might be disrupted.
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Coming to continuance of dual regulatory system, the RBI will have greater regulatory powers with respect to banking guidelines while the Registrar of Cooperative Societies remains responsible for administration, contrary to the spirit of financial inclusion. Multiple regulatory factors will bog down the functioning of the cooperative mechanism.

There are misguided assumptions that all cooperative banks cannot function as commercial banks. Therefore, bringing cooperative banks under the ambit of the parent Act will limit the capacity as voluntary credit societies.

There is a concern about scheme for reconstruction. The enhanced powers of the RBI to initiate a scheme for reconstruction or amalgamation could lead to a scenario wherein the actual objective or function of a bank
could be eroded. The cooperative banks are often set up by a particular group of professionals with certain objectives of catering to a community or guild. It is a cause for concern that they may be merged in such a manner that the resulting entity would have no correlation with the original cooperative bank. A fishermen-promoted cooperative could be transferred to the hands of fish traders or non-fishermen.

Let me quote from what the hon. Finance Minister said in her Budget Speech.

*Kai nel aruthuk kavalam koline*  
*Arivudai vendhan neri arindhu koline*  
*Parivu thapa edukkum pindam nachin*  
*Yanai pukka pulam pola*  
*Thanum unnan, ulagamum kedume*

This is from the same poem which the hon. Minister read out during her Budget Speech. It means, “A few mounds of rice from paddy that is harvested from a small piece of land would suffice for an elephant but what if the elephant itself enters the fields and starts eating.” *(Interruptions)*

The Government has unleashed the elephant against cooperative federalism *(Interruptions)* It is very unfortunate. I would appeal to the Government to withdraw the Bill or send it to a Select Committee.
SUSHRI SUNITA DUGGAL (SIRSA): Hon. Chairperson, Madam, I am highly thankful to you for affording me this opportunity to speak on the long-awaited and long-desired Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020. The Bill seeks to replace the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2020. It seeks to protect the interests of depositors by amending Sections 3, 45 and 56 of the Act.

First of all, we should have a look at the Banking Regulation Act, 1949. The Banking Regulation Act, 1949 is a law that regulates banking firms in India. It was passed as the Banking Companies Act, 1949. It came into force from the 16th March, 1949. It was changed into the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 from the 1st March, 1966. The Act empowers the Reserve Bank of India to issue licences to commercial banks; regulate shareholders, shareholding and voting rights; supervise the appointment of Boards or management; control moratorium, merger and liquidation; regulate the operations of banks giving instructions for audit; issue instructions to banks in the interest of public welfare and banking policy; and impose penalty on banks if required.

The changes made in the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 through the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020 are particularly to Sections 3, 45 and 56. The Banking Regulation Act, 1949 will not apply to primary agricultural credit societies and cooperative societies whose principal business is long-term financing for agricultural development. I need a clarification from the hon. Minister as to what it says about the cooperative land mortgage banks. Other provisions say that these societies must not use the terms bank, banker or
banking in their name or in connection with their business. In the case of cooperative land mortgage bank, the term bank is used. But it is not as such in banking business, you can say, and acts as an entity that clears cheques.

Regarding issuance of shares and securities by cooperative banks, the Bill envisages that cooperative banks may issue equity shares, special shares at face value or at a premium, preference shares to its members or to any other person residing within their area of operations. The Bill states that no person shall be entitled to demand payment for the surrender of shares issued to him by the cooperative bank. In addition, a cooperative bank cannot withdraw or reduce its share capital, except as directed by the RBI.

Regarding supervision of Board of Directors, the Act states that RBI can supersede the Board of Directors of the multi-State cooperative bank for up to five years within certain conditions, that is, in the public interest or to protect depositors.

Regarding power to give exemptions to cooperative banks, the Bill states that the RBI may exempt cooperative banks from certain provisions of the Act through notification. These provisions are related to employment, the qualification of the Board of Directors, and the appointment of a Chairman. RBI will decide who will get relaxation in employment.

The Banking Regulation Act, 1949 states that cooperative banks cannot open a new place of business or change the location of the banks outside the village, town, or city in which it is currently located without the permission from RBI. The Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020 discards this provision. It
is expected that due to the change in the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, political interference in the appointment of officers of the cooperative banks of the country will be reduced and their working style will change, which will increase the confidence of the general public in the banking system of India. So, what is the rationale behind the amendment?

In 1904, the Cooperative Credit Society Act was enacted to encourage the cooperative movement in India. The cooperative movement was started in the country with a view to empower farmers, workers, and other not so privileged sections of the society. The model was simple. The cooperative institutions will be owned and managed by the farmers, workers and it will also work for their welfare. In a sense, the idea was that collective strength of less fortunate persons will sail them through the oceans of economic opportunity. Unfortunately, gross mismanagement, neglect, and plain corruption, you can say, have almost destroyed the whole cooperative system in the country, and the classic example, as everybody has already mentioned, is PMC.

So, 73 per cent of the funds have been given to only one entity. It is the moral responsibility of the State Government to ensure that the cooperative banks functioning within the State are not risking the depositors’ money and engaging in fraudulent practices. They need to have monitoring practice in place to protect the interests of the depositors. Furthermore, due regard should have been given to the jurisdiction of the Registrar of Cooperative Society for smooth regulation and coordination between RCS and RBI.
More than 9 lakh depositors are in deep difficulty in PMC, as everybody knows. A look at the financial position of the cooperative banks shows that – this is a sorry state of affairs – out of 1,934 number of cooperative banks, total deposits are Rs. 10.10 lakh crore and loans and advances are Rs. 7.52 lakh crore. And out of these, as the Finance Minister has already told that there are 592 cases of cooperative banks having high net NPA ratio, of more than 6 per cent. So, figures have already been given. I just want to add that in fact these figures do not reveal the true picture as most of the cooperative banks do not follow prudential accounting norms and conventions and, therefore, do not reflect their true picture. This situation is very scary as poor farmers’ and workers’ monies put at high risk, as is evidenced by the fact that as per RBI over the last two decades banking licence of about 430 urban cooperative banks have been cancelled across the country due to their precarious financial position in most cases. Therefore, the amendment is urgently required in this regard. …(Interruptions) I am completing in one minute.

HON. CHAIRPERSON: Shri Asaduddin Owaisi Ji.

SUSHRI SUNITA DUGGAL: Dual supervision means no supervision. I will only take one minute, Madam. …(Interruptions)
SHRI ASADUDDIN OWAISI (HYDERABAD): Hon. Chairperson, Madam, thank you for giving me this opportunity. The hon. Minister, while introducing the Bill, started by saying that this Bill is being brought to protect the urban cooperative banks and the depositors.
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My question to the hon. Minister, when she stands up to reply, is this. The RBI’s FSR report of December 2019 says that the State-run banks account for 89.8 per cent of frauds by value; private banks account for 9.2 per cent; and foreign banks, 0.4 per cent. This says a lot about the internal controls in the State-run banks. What is the Government doing? Their hollow claim has been badly exposed by the RBI report itself. My second question to the hon. Minister is this. The Punjab National Bank was hit with Rs. 23,000 crore and we know Mr. Nirav Modi fled and no heads have rolled so far. The third question is about the Modi Government’s real concern about PMC Bank which the hon. Minister mentioned. When it came to Yes Bank, the SBI immediately infused funds into Yes Bank. Why is this stepmotherly treatment there for PMC? Sixty people have committed suicide. What is the interest that Government had with Yes Bank and that same love is not shown towards PMC Bank? What kind of administrator is working in PMC Bank for last one year? The hon. person has not been able to sell the yacht which is stuck in Sri Lanka; two aircrafts are stuck at Mumbai airport. This is the love of this Government for PMC Bank’s depositors who are suffering day in and day out.
My third question is this. The Bill talks about issue of equity preference shares by way of public issue and private placement. Are you trying to say that now SEBI will interfere in it? Please enlighten all of us.

My fourth question to the hon. Minister is this. The RBI is being given power as claimed by the Government. If that is the case, why did Yes Bank, PNB, IL&FS and Franklin Templeton happen in March 2020? What was RBI doing? What was this Government doing? How did they allow all these four important things which happened right under the rule of Mr. Modi?

My fifth question is this. Since demonetization, the financial industry slowly and steadily started collapsing and showing how regulation by RBI, SEBI and NABARD is completely absent. The banks are now suffering. I feel one of the reasons is this unplanned Tughlaq-like decision of demonetization.

My sixth question is this. The above frauds reported by banks have increased by 28 per cent. Who is responsible for this? My colleague Shri Syed Imtiaz Jaleel, the hon. Member of Parliament from Aurangabad, is right when he told me that the Bank of Maharashtra should not be privatized because it is running in profit. The Government should not do it.

The last point which I want to know from the hon. Minister when she stands up to reply is this. The Modi Government has this habit of weakening the federalism and encroaching upon the State List. You know for a fact what a State subject is and what the Central List is. Why is it that you bring such legislation? What are your designs? Why do you want to weaken the federalism of this country which is the basic structure of the Constitution? We
know for a fact what is Schedule 7, Entry 32 and Entry 42 are. Hon. Members have spoken on it and I do not want to highlight it.

My last point is about the proportional voting rights. If they buy equity shares in cooperative society, it is a known fact that one member has one vote. Are you going to overrule that? Is it not a fact that in 2006, the Vishwanathan Committee recommended that cooperative banks should be allowed to raise capital through non-voting shares?

These are the important points to which I hope the hon. Minister will definitely reply. For God’s sake, I do not want the hon. Minister to say that all these cooperative banks, Nirav Modi, Mehul Choksi, PNB, Franklin Templeton etc. were acts of God. These were not acts of God. They happened because Mr. Modi is ruling the country and because of the misgovernance and because of the act of themselves.
SHRI K. NAVASKANI (RAMANATHAPURAM): Thank you very much, Sir, for giving me this opportunity. I stand to oppose this Bill.

The Seventh Schedule of the Constitution mentions cooperative societies as a State subject, under Item No.32 of the State List, and it excludes them from the jurisdiction of the Centre, under Item No.43 of the Union List. Thus, this Ordinance can be seen as an overreach by the Centre.

The Bill seeks to address the lack of professionalism in cooperative banks, which is leading to unfair practices, and which can be stopped by strengthening the regulatory power of the RBI over them at par with the provisions for commercial banks. However, in recent times there have been several reports of fraudulent practices being carried out in several large commercial banks themselves, both private and public. While strengthening the powers of the RBI over cooperative banks, it is also important to ensure that the supervisory role of the Central Bank is enhanced and kept uncompromised. Only then can the purpose of the Bill and its provisions to prevent malpractices among the cooperative banks be fully realised. Stress must be laid on proper implementation of the said provisions. Thank you, Sir.
SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN (KOLLAM): Thank you Chairman, Sir, for affording me this opportunity to speak on the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Ordinance as well as the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020. I fully support the Statutory Resolution moved by Shri Manish Tewari, and I strongly and vehemently oppose the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020, moved by the Finance Minister.

Before opening my remarks, I would like to appreciate that the hon. Finance Minister is very patiently sitting in the other Chamber of the House, and is giving a very good message to the whole Parliament and to the country, that Madam is sitting along with other hon. Members in the other Chamber. I could witness it. I want to place my appreciation on that good gesture.

I oppose the Bill, and the reason for opposition is that it is having far-reaching consequences for the cooperative sector. It is encroaching into the powers of the State Government in general and the cooperative sector in particular. We are having a federal Constitution. The mover of the Statutory Resolution, Shri Manish Tewari, has rightly pointed out the federal principles of the Constitution. Banking is within the purview of the Union List, but at the same time, the cooperative sector is in the State List. So, States should be taken into confidence. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether the State Governments were consulted. When you are encroaching into a subject which is within the purview of the State List in Schedule II, whether the State Governments were taken into confidence before making a legislation on a subject in which the State Government is also having the authority to legislate.
Though banking is in the Union List, I fully agree that the cooperative banks are in the State List.

The cooperative sector in India has played a very pivotal, important, role in the banking industry. There are 1,540 cooperative banks in the urban sector. A number of Members have already spoken about 8.6 crore depositors with total savings deposit of about Rs 4.85 lakh crore. In my State of Kerala, we are having a rich experience of successful functioning of the cooperative sector. You may see that more than 50 per cent of the credit societies in India are in Kerala. The poor and marginalised sections of the society are mainly depending on the cooperative banks for their immediate needs. Cooperative societies and banks provide big relief to the common people. My suggestion is that the cooperative sector of banking and the cooperative sector of financing should be promoted and encouraged.

Sir, I come to the provisions of the Bill. The hon. Finance Minister has rightly stated in the House that the Bill mainly proposes three major amendments to the Banking Regulation Act of 1949. There are only three amendments. You have to see that there are so many amendments within the clause or within the section of Section 56. Sections 3, 45, and 56 are the three provisions which are proposed to be amended. By virtue of amendment to Section 3 of the Banking Regulation Act of 1949, this is not applicable to the primary agricultural credit societies. Suppose if it is having the name of bank or banker or withdrawal of cheque or something, definitely that will come within the purview of the Banking Regulation Act and that will become a big problem
for the banks. I know about a bank in my constituency. The hon. Chairperson is well aware of the S.N.V. Regional Cooperative Bank. It is one of the best lead banks in South Kerala. It is facing a criminal prosecution only because of the reason that it is using the word ‘bank’. So, that has to be reviewed. Let me conclude within two or three minutes.

HON. CHAIRPERSON: Please conclude.

SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN: Now, I come to Section 45. The RBI is having the authority to make a scheme for protecting the interest of the public in the event of reconstruction and amalgamation without declaring a moratorium.

Now, I come to amendment to Section 56. The applicability of the Banking Regulation Act is extended to all cooperative banks. Section 36AAA was only applicable to multi-State Cooperative Banks. But now, it is made applicable to all the banks.

My point is that the Reserve Bank of India is a regulator and it is becoming the entire authority of all the cooperative banks. The Reserve Bank of India is having so many functions. They are burdened with so many functions.

HON. CHAIRPERSON: Please conclude. There are a number of hon. Members to speak.

SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN: I am speaking within the purview of the Bill. I am not going out of it. How can the Reserve Bank of India have the administrative control over all these primary cooperative societies which are
using the term bank or banker or drawing of cheques? So, my suggestion is that kindly send this Bill to the Parliamentary Standing Committee and review may be done so as to protect the cooperative sector in the country.

With these words, I conclude.

I take this opportunity to appreciate our hon. Finance Minister, Shrimati Nirmala Sitharaman, who has taken the next step by providing more power to the Reserve Bank of India in order to regulate urban and multi-State cooperative banks and thereby, intends to prevent banking scams in future.

I welcome this Amendment Bill for strengthening the urban cooperative banks by increasing professionalism, improving governance, and ensuring sound banking rooted through the Reserve Bank of India. There is a very popular saying that “With great power comes great responsibility”. In the Bill, there are provisions to increase the regulatory capacity of the RBI duly bringing more technical innovation in regulatory and supervisory concept of urban and multi-State cooperative banks.

I would like to ask a question to the hon. Finance Minister. The Reserve Bank of India will approve the cooperative banks to issue shares by way of public issue or private placement. Due to this, the subscribers of these shares will have the voting rights and they will also occupy the Board of Directors. By merely subscribing to a share, a person who is not participating in the business of a cooperative society, will become a member in the Board of Directors. I would request the hon. Finance Minister to clarify as to what the measures are taken by the Government to protect and build the spirit and principles of cooperation among the cooperatives.
Sir, the cooperative movement in Tamil Nadu is well-guided, protected, monitored, and controlled by the Tamil Nadu Cooperative Societies Act, 1983 and Tamil Nadu Cooperative Societies Rules, 1988. Our hon. Chief Minister, Shri Edappadi K. Palaniswami has already written a letter to the hon. Finance Minister regarding some provisions related to this Bill.

I would hereby request the hon. Finance Minister to ensure that all the above potent steps quoted in this amendment Bill will be implemented as quickly as possible without affecting the sovereignty of the State Government of Tamil Nadu.
वो बीके के लिए कम्पलेक्स आ रही थी, क्योंकि इनके जो अध्यक्ष और ट्रस्टी मंत्री थे, जो लोन
इंटरनैशनल दे रहे थे, बीस करोड़ रुपये देकर लोन लेकर उस पर खुद का इंट्रेस्ट दिखाते थे। जब
वह बोलता है कि हम डूब गए हैं, हमको सिफारिश पांच करोड़ रुपये निपटा कर बैंक को लौट में ला देते
है। बैंक एकाउंटहोल्डर्स को बड़े पैमाने पर डुबा दिया गया। मैं पिछले एक साल से फाइनेंस
मिनिस्टर के यहा कम्पलेक्स देती आ रही हूँ। I am very happy that the PMC Bank,
Mumbai City Cooperative Bank and Yes Bank इत्यादि बैंकों ने देश के एकाउंटहोल्डर्स
को नुकसान पहुंचाया है। जो देश को मजबूत करने के लिए बाहर निकलते हैं और जो कॉपरेटिव
बैंक का काम था कि फार्मस को समर्पण करना है और जो फार्मस के सपोर्ट के लिए है। जब से बैंक
आए हैं, तब से फार्मस को समर्पण करते आए हैं। लेकिन for the last many years, the banks
have been seen डिफाल्टर्स और वे बड़े लोगों को ज्यादा सपोर्ट करके बैंकों को बढ़ावा देते हैं।
फाइनेंस मिनिस्टर ने कहा है कि आर्थिक एवं वाणिज्य में महाराष्ट्र हो या कोई भी राज्य हो,
जिनमें भी कॉपरेटिव बैंक है, इनके अंदर में लाकर बैंकों पर कंट्रोल होना बहुत जरुरी है। ऐसे बहुत
सारे बैंक एकाउंटहोल्डर्स हैं, जो गरीब, मजदूर और काम करने वाले फार्मस हैं।

मैं बहुत दिनों से यह मुद्दा लगातार फाइनेंस मिनिस्टर और राज्य मंत्री के ध्यान में भी लाती
रही हूँ। अगर रिजर्व बैंक का इनके ऊपर होल्ड रहा, जितनी भी कॉपरेटिव बैंक हैं, जो अपनी
मनमानी राज्य और जिले में कर रही हैं, उनके हाथ हंड्रेड परसेंट खुला न देकर आने वाले भविष्य
में रिजर्व बैंक इनके ऊपर होल्ड रखकर काम कर सकता है। फार्मस, गरीब लोग और अच्छे लोगों
को लोन मिल सकेगा और उनकी मदद हो सकेगी न कि सिर्फ बड़े लोगों का जिनको फायदा पहुंचाने की कोशिश कई कॉपोरेटिव बैंक कर रहे हैं। जैसे महाराष्ट्र की पीएमसी बैंक और मुंबई सिटी कॉपोरेटिव बैंक।

मैं मिनिस्ट्री का दिल से धन्यवाद करना चाहूंगी। मैं फाइनेंस मिनिस्ट्री के राज्य मंत्री जी से एक विनती करना चाहती हूँ, जिन्हें छह महीने का समय ईएमआई लोन देने के लिए दिया गया है, आपसे रिक्वेस्ट करना चाहती हूँ कि बहुत सारे लोग अपने बिजनेस में ढूंढ चुके हैं, इस समय को छह महीने या एक साल बढ़ाया जाए ताकि देश के लोगों को पसर्नली हेल्प कर सकें। मैं इतनी ही विनती करती हूँ।
SHRI THOMAS CHAZHIKADAN (KOTTAYAM): Respected Sir, I oppose the Bill for amending the Banking Regulation Act, 1949.

The hon. Minister was telling in this House that this Bill is to safeguard the interest of depositors. I would like to inform the Minister that there are several lakhs of people who are depending upon primary cooperative societies which are known as primary cooperative banks in Kerala. This Bill is against the interest of those people who depend on the primary cooperative societies.

In Kerala, there are 14 district cooperative banks, 1692 primary agricultural credit societies which are known as banks, 16 licensed urban cooperative banks, and 20 multi-State cooperative societies. The primary agricultural credit societies and urban cooperative banks together have more than 4500 branches and they have a deposit of more than Rs.1 lakh crore in societies.

These cooperative societies are the backbone of Kerala’s rural economy and are responsible for weakening the influence of the moneylenders in the rural areas.

Sir, all the primary cooperative societies are known as primary cooperative banks in Kerala. Now, it is being proposed that the cooperative societies should not use the term ‘bank’, ‘banker’ and ‘banking’ without permission from the RBI. This move will destroy the well-established cooperative banking network in the State. The Central Government is ignoring the interest of several lakhs of people who are dependent on these societies.
Section 12, proviso (1) of the Bill ...(Interruptions) provides for RBI consultation with the concerned State in the case of a cooperative bank registered with Registrar of Cooperative Societies of a State. Here, the word 'consultation' is vague because the State cooperative societies come under the purview of a State law as was mentioned earlier and also has been mentioned in Item 32 in list 2 of the Constitution. Therefore, such a provision will violate the provision of the Constitution. The opinion given by the State Government on such consultation with RBI should be made binding.

As per the provisions of the Bill, if the cooperative societies come under the purview of the Central Bank, the provisions of the amalgamation under Section 45 of the parent Act can be misused and it will break the backbone of the people in the rural areas.

By giving the examples of PMC, this Government is running away from its accountability and responsibility by amending this Act ...(Interruptions)

Thank you.
SHRI GAUTHAM SIGAMANI PON (KALLAKURICHI): Hon. Chairperson, Sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity to participate in the discussion on the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 2020.

The provisions of this Bill empower the Reserve Bank of India to monitor and regulate cooperative banks all over the country. The Bill proposes to provide powers to the RBI to suspend business and prepare a scheme of reconstruction and amalgamation during the period of moratorium.

Sir, the nation already knows the size and volume of business under the purview of RBI and further burdening it with extraordinarily huge network of cooperative banking system is, in no way, going to do any good. The RBI is not a large organisation with extensive office networks and this additional work of regulating the cooperative banks is not going to be effective and fruitful.

There are so many grave issues, like COVID-19 pandemic, unemployment and lower GDP, now for the Government to deal with and what is the need for bringing this Bill now when 80 per cent of the cooperative banks are doing very well, particularly in the Southern States? The voting in cooperative banks is thoroughly localised and monitored by district level banking authorities, but still there is room for improvement. In what way a remote handling by RBI going to help in this matter? At best it can help in re-constituting the Boards, with BJP party leaders and cadres, as is reportedly happening in the State of Gujarat. The present Bill is in line with obsessive centralising efforts of the BJP Government. This extraordinary obsession is destroying every institution brought under its ambit. This move against the
cooperative banks is likely to have serious repercussions. This present amendment Bill is yet another attempt to curb the power of the States. RBI, as a regulator, is struggling with large scale financial irregularities, involving private banks, and has not proved its effectiveness in any way. In fact, RBI itself is struggling to maintain its autonomy.

Finally, the cooperative credit banks provide all the important financial credit oxygen to the suffocating farmers community and an attempt, by bringing in a regulatory mechanism, should not be made to put an end to this. Centralisation of powers is, in no way, an effective method of governance. It, in fact, makes governance impossible and ineffective. I strongly oppose the Bill.

Thank you.
DR. K. JAYAKUMAR (TIRUVALLUR): Hon. Chairperson, Sir, I rise to oppose the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Bill as well as the Ordinance. The hon. Finance Minister seeks the approval of this House to amend the Banking Regulation (Amendment) Act, 1949. This Bill was earlier introduced in the Lok Sabha on March 03, 2020 but was not taken up for discussion.

Giving some reasons is not acceptable. They are not realistic. This Ordinance was promulgated by the President on June 26, 2020. I do not know what went wrong where, in a double hurry, she had withdrawn the Bill in the beginning of the first day of this Session and again on the same day, within four hours, she re-introduced the Bill in this House. I do not understand why such a hurry and what for.

Presently, the Cooperative Banks are under the State Governments. In the last six years, we are seeing that this Government is bent upon bringing Bills after Bills, amendments after amendments simply to divest powers from the State Governments and it is bent upon converting the State Governments into a Tehsildar office, where this Government will remain like a Collector and the Tehsildar has to do everything with folded hands. This is what is happening even everywhere and in every part.

The Government claims that it wants to bring the cooperative banks at par with the developments in the banking sector through better management and proper regulation in them. This is the reason given on paper. But seeing the past actions of the Government, the hidden agenda of this Bill to bring State Cooperative Banks under RBI is to take away the deposits, the reserves
from these Banks, as has been done in the case of Reserve Bank of India itself. This Government has taken Rs. 1.76 lakh crore in August, 2019 to enable big business houses to take funds from these banks in the name of their involvement in agriculture sale and marketing.

HON. CHAIRPERSON: Please Conclude.

DR. K. JAYAKUMAR: Yes, I will finish it. These things are being done.

As regards better management and proper regulation, I beg to differ here. This Government has merged several banks. What had happened to them? Even after merging these banks, no tangible result has come. ...(Interruptions). The State Bank of India, the largest bank in the world has gone into debt. ...(Interruptions)
SHRIMATI SUPRIYA SADANAND SULE (BARAMATI): Sir, it is very unfortunate that the discussion on conversion of the Ordinance into the Bill has become a defaming of the cooperative banks. I would just ask a few pointed questions to the hon. Finance Minister because she has always been very patient with me whenever I have reached out to her with the PMC issues.

My first question to her is this. This Ordinance was brought out in the month of June. It has been over three months. If you brought this Ordinance out to help the PMC people, what have you achieved in the last three months to help every depositor of the PMC bank? This is my pointed question to her if she is generous enough to answer it.

My second question is this. What is the timeline? Thanks to this Bill, will every depositor will get his or her money back? I want a committed timeline? The whole idea of changing this is that every depositor gets the money. So, how many months does she commit officially on the floor of this House to this nation that every depositor of PMC bank will get their money.

Rs. 6,500 crore is the capital infusion required. So, will it be a long-term interest free loan? 80 per cent depositors have not got their money, only the small depositors have got their money. So, how are you going to infuse this Rs. 6500 crore under this new ruling and by when? Again, I want a timeline and we really need a date.

Talking about properties, there was a proposal to sell the properties so that these depositors get their money. You will be surprised to know that the
RBI went to court and stalled this decision. So, what is the position of this Government under this law for this?

There is an administrator being put in. Now, RBI has suggested this administrator. Why was PMC treated like this because IL&FS had a very young and dynamic person to turn it around. So, why is PMC constantly treated differently by this Government? This is something they really need to explain to all of us.

There is a merger or a resolution specialist on board. The proposal was given over one year ago. If this Government is so committed to improving the lives of these depositors of PMC banks, why are they not agreeing when the proposal was given one year ago for a resolution specialist on board? 60 people have died, three people have committed suicide, and nine lakh families are affected because of this PMC. So, what is the timeline? I reiterate and I repeat my question by when will you get this resolved? Everything is moving to RBI. Does RBI have a magic wand or a pill? It is because somehow IL&FS, ICICI, Nirav Modi, Mehul Choksi and other commercial banks have all been under RBI but I have not seen financial discipline there. So, it is just unfair to say about cooperative banks. Sir, I repeat my question because I am happy to see her in flesh and blood. I want to repeat and ask as to when will PMC Bank get their money. It will be very kind of you if you could give us a date and a timeline for PMC Bank.
श्री राहुल रमेश शेवाले (मुंबई दक्षिण-मध्य): धन्यवाद महोदय, बैंकिंग विनियमन (संशोधन) विषयक, 2020 को जो 14 सितंबर, 2020 को लोक सभा में पेश किया गया है, वह बैंकिंग विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 में संशोधन करने के लिए है। अधिनियम बैंकों के कामकाज को नियंत्रित करता है और लाइसेंसिंग, प्रबंधन जैसे विभिन्न पहलुओं पर विवरण प्रदान करता है। यह विषयक 26 जून, 2020 को घोषित बैंकिंग नियमन (संशोधन) अध्यादेश, 2020 को प्रतिस्पर्धापूर्वक करने के लिए है।

अधिनियम कुछ सहकारी समितियों जैसे कि प्राथमिक कृषि ऋण समितियों और सहकारी भूमि बंधक बैंकों पर लागू नहीं होता है। इसके अलावा, इन सहकारी समितियों को अपने नाम के साथ या उनके व्यवसाय के संबंध में, बैंक, बैंकर या बैंकिंग शब्दों का उपयोग नहीं करना चाहिए या चेक को मांजूरी देने वाली ईकाई के रूप में कार्य करना चाहिए तो फिर सहकारी समितियों और सहकारी भूमि बंधक बैंकों को आरबीआई द्वारा नियंत्रित करने संबंधी योजना का व्यय महत्त्व रह जाएगा और खाता धारकों या कर्ज धारकों के इंटरेस्ट को कैसे सुरक्षित किया जाएगा?

महोदय, 26 जून को केंद्र सरकार द्वारा इस अध्यादेश को लाने का काम सभी सहकारी और वैदेश बैंक को आरबीआई की छत्री के नीचे लाने का था तो पीएमसी बैंक के मुद्दों पर, जिसके डिपाविश्वास दस्तावेज संगठनमें हैं, को सुलझाने और एक सौहार्दपूर्ण समाधान के लिए आरबीआई क्यों देरी कर रहा है? वे दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय को हलफामात्संपकर सहकारी बैंक की पूंजी संरचना की व्याख्या कर रहे हैं। वास्तव में यह हास्यास्पद है। पीएमसी बैंक का कोई उचित समाधान अभी तक नहीं हो पाया है और अकाउंट धारकों को कोई राहत नहीं मिली है।

पीएमसी बैंक घोटाला नवंबर, 2019 में हुआ। एक साल बीत जाने पर भी आरबीआई इस मामले में कोई उचित समाधान नहीं निकाल पाया है, यह दुखद है। सुर्वन सहकारी बैंक का भारतीय विदेशी बैंक में विलय हो गया है। भारतीय विदेशी बैंक का अधिनियम भी शामिल है। मराठा मंडिर को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक और पश्चिम भारतीय को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक का सार्वजनिक को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक में विलय हो गया है तो फिर आरबीआई को पीएमसी बैंक के विषय में भी इसी तरह कोई कदम उठाने में क्या दिलचस्प है? पीएमसी बैंक विलय की सभी शर्तों को पूरा
करता है तो पीएमसी बैंक का भी किसी राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक में विलय कर देना चाहिए, जिससे खाता धारकों को राहत मिल सके, जैसा कि स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया ने यस बैंक को बचाने में सहयोग दिया। वे एलआईसी, आईडीबीआई, एचडीएफसी आदि हितधारकों से फंड जुटा सकते हैं या वे छोटे भुगतान बैंक में बदले जा सकते हैं। महोदय, देशबन्धु लॉकडाउन के कारण पीएमसी बैंक के जमाकर्ताओं को बीते 6 महीनों में बहुत मुश्किलें का सामना करना पड़ा है। हालांकि आरबीआई ने पैसा निकालने की लिमिट बढ़ा दी थी तो भी मुश्किलें समाप्त नहीं हुईं हैं। सभी समस्याओं का समाधान पीएमसी बैंक का विलय होने पर ही होगा जिससे जमाकर्ताओं को राहत मिल सकती है।

महोदय, महाराष्ट्र के इंडस्ट्री मिनिस्टर का कथन है कि राज्य के 65 प्रतिशत एमएसएमई से लाभ प्राप्त करने वाले केंद्रीय सरकार की 'रिवाइजन पैकेज' में कबर नहीं होते हैं तो महाराष्ट्र राज्य के लोगों को 'आत्मनिर्भर भारत योजना' का कोई लाभ नहीं मिलने वाला है और फिर मोरेटोरियम योजना में व्याज के ऊपर व्याज से बैंसे ही आम जनता की कमर उठने वाली है तो फिर मोरेटोरियम का क्या लाभ मिला है? मैं कनकलूड कर रहा हूं।

महोदय, अधिनियम के तहत, आरबीआई अधिस्थान के तहत एक बैंक रखने के बाद अपने उच्च प्रबंधन को सुरक्षित करने के लिए या जमाकर्ताओं के हित में बैंक के पुननिर्माण या समामेलन के लिए एक योजना तैयार कर सकता है।
श्री मनोज कोटक (मुंबई उत्तर-पूर्व): महोदय, आपने मुझे इस महत्वपूर्ण विषयक पर बोलने का अवसर दिया, उसके लिए मैं आपको धन्यवाद देता हूँ। आपने बैंकिंग रेगुलेशन अमेंडमेंट बिल के ऊपर बोलने के लिए मुझे मौका दिया और मैं यहां पर इस बिल का पुर्जोर समर्थन इस्तालिए करता हूँ, क्योंकि हमारे यशस्वी प्रधान मंत्री जी ने और वित्त मंत्री जी ने छोटे निवेशकों का ध्यान रखा है।

पहली बार उनके लिए किसी ने सोचा है। इस देश में ऐसा वातावरण बना है। मैं अपने साथियों की बात सुन रहा था, जिन्होंने को-ऑपरेटिव सेक्टर की दुःखाइयां दी हैं। मैं महाराष्ट्र राज्य के मुंबई जिले से आता हूँ। सहकारी और बिकंगे में सबसे आगे महाराजा है। मेरे साथी शिवकुमार उदासी जब लिस्ट पढ़ रहे थे कि किन-किन बैंकों ने छोटे निवेशकों का पैसा डुबाया है तो उस लिस्ट में बहुत सारे नाम ऐसे थे, जिन पर पिछली सरकार को योजना लाने की जरूरत थी, लेकिन उस सरकार द्वारा किसी प्रकार का अमेंडमेंट नहीं लाया गया।

मैं हमारे प्रधान मंत्री जी और वित्त मंत्री जी का अभिनन्दन करता हूँ कि उन्होंने छोटे निवेशकों का ध्यान करके, आज यह बिल यहां पर हमारे सामने लाए हैं। छोटे निवेशकों की संख्या, को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक के खाताधारकों की संख्या साठे आठ करोड़ के करीब हैं, साठे दस लाख करोड़ रुपये जितना डिपोजिट्स हैं और साठे सात लाख करोड़ रुपये जितने एडवांसेज इन बैंकों ने दिए हैं। यह एक ऐसा सबसे बड़ा क्षेत्र है, जिसमें आम आदमी का पैसा सुरक्षित रहे, इसके बारे में प्रधान मंत्री जी ने और वित्त मंत्री जी ने ख्याल किया है कि आम आदमी का पैसा कैसे सुरक्षित रखेगा।

18.46 hrs (Hon. Speaker in the Chair)

यहां पर पीएमसी बैंक की बात काफी लोगों ने कही है। मैं भी मुंबई से प्रतिनिधित्व करता हूँ। जिस मुंबई क्षेत्र में सबसे ज्यादा आत्महत्याएं हुईं या जिसे कहें कि जहां सबसे ज्यादा अफेक्टेड लोग हैं, वह मेरी कांटीट्रेंसी में है। इन सभी लोगों का भरोसा आज सरकार के ऊपर इसलिए है।
कि केवल केन्द्र की सरकार इसका निराकरण कर सकेगी, क्योंकि, मान्यता, जो भी नेता यहाँ बोल रहे थे, इन्होंने चिंतियां तो वित्त मंत्री जी और प्रधान मंत्री जी को ही लिखी हैं कि आप पीएमसी के खाताधारकों को राहत दिलाई। इस बिल के माध्यम से हम देखते हैं कि सेक्शन्स 3, 45 और 56 में अमेंडमेंट के ऐसे प्रावधान किए गए हैं, जो आज तक बड़ी बंकों, कॉमर्शियल बंकों के लिए प्रावधान थे। अब उन प्रावधानों को कोऑपरेटिव बंकों पर भी लागू किया जाएगा, उनको भी इसके दायरे में लिया जाएगा। कोऑपरेटिव बैंक के अंतर केवल रेगुलेटर बैंक देने से या केवल रेगुलेटर के आने से खाताधारकों का पैसा वापस नहीं मिलता है, उसके रिकॉन्स्ट्रक्शन और डिजाइन से लेकर सारे प्रावधानों के अंदर, वहां जो रेगुलेटर बैंक है, उस पावर देने की आवश्यकता थी। सेक्शन्स 3, 45 और 56 में जो अमेंडमेंट्स हो रही हैं, वे अब रेगुलेटर को पावर देंगी कि आपने वाले दिनों में यदि इस तरह का स्केम होता है तो मॉर्टगेजियम पीपीड की राह न देख बगैर उन खाताधारकों का पैसा उनका सुरक्षित वापस मिले। यह इस बिल का प्रावधान है। इसलिए यह बिल समाधान का पात्र है, क्योंकि इतना अच्छा प्रावधान अगर वित्त मंत्री जी और प्रधान मंत्री जी ने देश के छोटे निवेशकों के लिए किया है तो यह सरकार की मंशा दिखाता है कि कोऑपरेटिव सेक्टर और सहकारी क्षेत्र में जिन छोटे निवेशकों के पैसे हैं, उनको सुरक्षित रखने का काम, चौकस रखने का काम अब इस सरकार ने किया है। यह बिल इसे दर्शाता है। ये स्केम्स किस तरह हुए? इन सारे डायरेक्टरों ने अपने बंकों के डायरेक्टरों को ही ज्यादा लोन देकर, बंकों के डायरेक्टरों या उनके रिलेटिव्स को ज्यादा लोन दे देकर इन बंकों को हटाने का काम किया। जिन डायरेक्टरों ने इन कोऑपरेटिव बंकों को हटाने का काम किया है, यह बिल उनके ऊपर लगाम करने का काम करेगा। जब यह बिल पास हो जाएगा, उसका बाद जिन डायरेक्टरों की शेयर होलिङ्ग अदर कंपनीज में होती है, उनको भी लोन न देने का प्रावधान इस बिल के माध्यम से, इस रेगुलेशन के माध्यम से मिलता है। जब रेगुलेटर ज्यादा पावर के साथ काम करेगा, तो इस देश में साध आठ करोड़ छोटे निवेशक इस सरकार से आश्वस्त हैं, इस बिल के माध्यम से आश्वस्त हैं कि हमारा पैसा अब कोऑपरेटिव बैंक में सुरक्षित है।
इसलिए मैं इस बिल का समर्थन करता हूं और मैं सदन के सभी सदस्यों से प्रार्थना करता हूं, विपक्ष के सदस्यों से ज्यादा प्रार्थना करता हूं कि आप छोटे निवेशकों का हित देखिए, सरकार एक मंशा के साथ यह बिल लाई है, आप इस बिल का समर्थन कीजिए। जो अच्छा काम करते हैं, उनको उसने की जरूरत नहीं है, लेकिन जो बुरा काम करते हैं, उन पर लागू लगाने के लिए यह बिल जरूरी है। धन्यवाद।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: भगवंत मान जी, बिना मास्क के मत बैठा कीजिए। आपको मैं आज मौका दे रहा हूं, अब आपको कल मौका नहीं मिलेगा।

श्री भगवंत मान (संग्राम): खेती का बिल आ रहा है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: नहीं, आज ही मौका मिलेगा। आज ही डिसाइड कर दिया, आप बोल लीजिए।

श्री भगवंत मान: सर, खेती के बिल पर बोलना पड़ेगा, पंजाब एयरियन स्टेट है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: आपको एक दिन पहले ही बोलने का मौका दे दिया।

श्री भगवंत मान: सर, बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद।

सर, एक-एक करके राज्यों के अधिकार छीने जा रहे हैं, यह बिल भी उसी दिशा में एक कदम है। देश की जीडीपी आज माइनस में है, सिर्फ़ खेती का सेक्टर ऐसा है, जिसमें जीडीपी पंजीकृत है, उसे भी खेती से संबंधित बिलों के जरिए खत्म किया जा रहा है। जो कोआपरेटिव बैंकों की जनसह बॉडी है, क्या वित्त मंत्री जी यह बताने का कष्ट करेंगे कि क्या उनके साथ कोई सलाह हुई है? आरबीआई पहले ही ओवरबर्डंड चल रही है। जिन बैंक्स का एक-एक करके दिवाला निकला है, वे भी आरबीआई के अंदर ही थीं। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि नोटबंदी के नुकसान से लोग भी अभी तक उबरे नहीं हैं। लोगों का बैंकों पर से यकीन उठा गया है। उनको पता नहीं चल रहा है कि पैसा कहां रखे? अगर वे पैसा धर पर रखते हैं तो नोटबंदी करके …* ले जाते हैं और अगर बैंकों में रखते हैं तो नीख मोदी ले जाते हैं। लोगों को समझ नहीं आ रहा है कि करें तो

* Not recorded as ordered by the Chair.
क्या करें। भगवान भरोसे सरकार चलते सुनी थी, लेकिन सरकार द्वारा पहली बार कहते देखा गया है कि it is an act of God, यह भगवान भरोसे है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : आप सदन में बोल रहे हैं। सदन के नेताओं के बारे में कभी ऐसी टिप्पणी मत करा करें।

...(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष : श्री बापट जी, आप बोलिए।

...(व्यवधान)

श्री भगवंत मान : महोदय, मैं कोई अनपारियांमेंटरी बात नहीं कह रहा हूं। नीरव मोदी का सभी ने जिक्र किया है। मेहल चौकसी, विजय माल्या का जिक्र किया गया है।...(व्यवधान)
श्री गिरीश भालचन्द्र बापट (पुणे): अध्यक्ष जी, आज सदन की बालकनी में से एक जनप्रतिनिधि बात कर रहा हैं। मेरे पास बहुत मुद्दे हैं, लेकिन समय के अभाव के कारण में एक बात सीतारमण जी के समक्ष रखना चाहता हूं। पुणे में एक रुपी बैंक है। इस बैंक को श्री लोकमान्य तिलक जी ने लोगों से एक रुपया लेकर शुरू किया था। आज इसके पांच लाख डिपोजिट्स हैं। आज तक अमालगामेशन का प्रस्ताव तथा अन्य कई चीजें पंडित हैं। मैं बैंक के डिपोजिट्स और बिल का समर्थन करता हूं। माननीय सदस्यों ने बहुत लम्बे भाषण किए हैं, लेकिन यदि इस बिल के बारे में यदि एक वाक्य में कहना होगा तो डिपोजिट्स को अभय देना इस बिल की आलमा है। मैं इस बिल का सपोर्ट करता हूं और आपको तथा सीतारमण जी को धन्यवाद देते हुए अपनी बात समाप्त करता हूं। जय हिंद, जय महाराष्ट्र।
SHRI M. K. RAGHAVAN (KOZHIKODE): Hon. Speaker, Sir, I thank you for giving me this opportunity.

Sir, I strongly object to the provisions of this Bill moved by the hon. Finance Minister. The Bill neglects the historical contributions made by the cooperative sector to Indian banking. Traditionally, Indian banking has its genesis not in the western style institutions, rather on shrenis and merchant guilds. These shrenis and guilds can be categorised as the earliest forms of money lending institutions of our country and were similar to modern day cooperative banking.

This Bill is against the spirit of cooperative federalism and Article 43B of the Constitution of India. Not only that; this Bill does grave injustice to many primary agricultural cooperative societies and credit societies by asking them to drop ‘bank’ from their name. I wish to remind this august House that the successive transformation of rural areas of my State Kerala largely happened due to the large contributions of cooperative banks.

Section 12 of this Bill is highly controversial. It deals with issue and regulation of paid-up share capital and securities by cooperative banks. By way of this section, the shares become transferable. Anybody can purchase shares from the market and advise the bank to transfer the shares to their names in the books of bank. It may tilt the control of the Board in subsequent elections.

Sir, two more sections that prove the over-arching powers of RBI over cooperative banks are Section 36AA and 36AAA. So, I would like to say to the
hon. Minister that before taking a hasty decision the Government should take into consideration the role being played by our rural banks in the expansion of rural credit. The Government should also note that these cooperative banks form the capital base for our micro and medium scale industries.

Therefore, I request the Government to abandon this hasty decision and to withdraw the Bill or to send the Bill to the Standing Committee. With these words, I conclude my speech.
SHRI ADHIR RANJAN CHOWDHURY (BAHARAMPUR): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I shall be extremely brief in my deliberations. Long years back, Mahatma Gandhi exhorted the people of India that drops of water make the ocean. The reason for this is that there is complete cooperation and cohesion. This is the basic concept of cooperative banks in our country.

Sir, capitalist world stands for ‘I’ मतलब कि ‘मेरे लिए’; communist world stands for ‘us’ but the cooperative world stands for ‘we’ मतलब-‘हमारा’। यह ‘हमारा’ का जो कॉन्सेप्ट है, इसको बचा कर रखना जरूरी है। सर, मैं सिर्फ दो-चार मुझे उठाना चाहता हूं। हमारी माननीय मंत्री जी कहती हैं कि नेशनलाइज्ड बैंक्स और कोऑपरेटिव बैंक्स के एनपीए में फर्क क्या है? फ्रॉड्युलेंट ट्रांजेक्शंस नेशनलाइज्ड बैंक्स में कितने होते हैं और फ्रॉड्युलेंट ट्रांजेक्शंस कोऑपरेटिव बैंक्स में कितने होते हैं? Sir, there is a hidden agenda behind bringing this legislation, which is to convert the cooperative banks into private sector banks. Since, 1993, RBI has been persisting on this objective. सर, मैं मंत्री जी को दो छोटी चीज के बारे में बताना चाहता हूं। By allowing the RBI to have a greater role, the disbursal of social welfare schemes through cooperative banks might be disrupted as this has functioned successfully because of the financial autonomy of the cooperative banks under the States. कोऑपरेटिव की ओटोनोमी खतरे में है। Sir, multiple regulatory factors will bog down the functioning of the
cooperative mechanism. In fact, this dual regulation has led to the regulatory gap rather than efficiency.

...
कई माननीय सदस्य: हाँ।
माननीय अध्यक्ष: माननीय मंत्री जी।
श्रीमती निर्मला सीतारमण: अध्यक्ष महोदय, अगर आपकी अनुमति हो तो मैं खड़े होकर बोल सकती हूं।
माननीय अध्यक्ष: नहीं।
श्रीमती निर्मला सीतारमण: माननीय अध्यक्ष जी, ठीक है।
माननीय अध्यक्ष: अगर मैंने आपको अनुमति दे दी तो अधीर रंजन जी और बालू जी भी रोज खड़े होकर ही सदन में बोलेंगे।
SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: Sir, I wish to thank all the 32 Members, who have participated in the discussion on this Bill. I am not reading the complete list क्योंकि सभी माननीय सदस्य को यह जानकारी है कि कितने वरिष्ठ माननीय सदस्यों ने इसमें भाग लिया हैं। So, I am not reading the complete list. But I thank each one of the hon. Members for having gone through this Amendment Bill in such great detail and also given their valuable inputs.

19.00 hrs

Sir, I want to make it very clear that this Bill does not provide for regulation of cooperative banks by the Central Government. इस बिल के अंतिम तत्त्व का यह मतलब नहीं है कि केन्द्र सरकार सभी को-ऑपरेटिव बैंकों पर निगरानी रखेगी। अंतिम तत्त्व के लिए नहीं है, गलतफहमी नहीं होनी चाहिए।

दूसरा, यह को-ऑपरेटिव बैंकों को आज क्या हो गया है, आप क्यों रेगुलेशन करके इन्हें आरबीआई के हाथ में सौंपना चाह रहे हैं? सर, क्षमा कीजिए को-ऑपरेटिव बैंकों का रेगुलेशन आरबीआई के द्वारा वर्ष 1965 से ऑलरेडी हो रहा है। हम कुछ नया कर रहे हैं, लेकिन जो कुछ भी नया कर रहे हैं, वह डिप्लोमाटिस के हित में कर रहे हैं।

This Bill does not regulate the cooperative banks. The amendment is not for the Central Government to take over cooperative banks or to control the cooperative banks. It is not for the first time that this regulation of extending some powers to the RBI is happening. Since 1965, cooperative banks are already being regulated by the RBI. सर, मैं दूसरा पॉइंट रखना चाहती हूँ क्योंकि बहुत सारे वरिष्ठ मेंबर्स ने तरह-तरह से इसका जिक्र किया है। “तीसरा, यह स्टेट के अधिकार का उल्लंघन है, आप कैसे इस पर पैर रख रही हैं, यह स्टेट फेडरलिज्म को रिस्पेक्ट नहीं करता है,
वगैरह-वगैरह"। पालियामेंट की कॉम्पिटेंसी इस विषय को लेजिसलेट करने की बिलकुल है, क्योंकि हम बैंकिंग की एविटेविटी को रेगुलेट करना चाह रहे हैं, जो कि एंट्री नं. 45 में है। हम कुछ भी नया नहीं कर रहे हैं। हमारे पार्व में बैंकिंग को रेगुलेट करने के लिए जो है, हम उसका ही उपयोग कर रहे हैं। Entry 43 of the Central List talks about regulation of banking. मैं इसका जिक्र इसलिए कर रही हं, क्योंकि 45 और 43 में भी बैंकिंग और रेगुलेशन ऑफ बैंकिंग की पावर डेरिफिनेटली सेंट्रल लिस्ट में है। हम किसी स्टेट लिस्ट पर, we are not encroaching upon the State List. ...(Interruptions) You should have the patience to listen to people who are answering your questions. ...(Interruptions) प्रोफेसर साहब सुनने की आदत भी सबमें होनी चाहिए।...(व्यवधान)

स्पीकर सर, मैं आपके द्वारा यह सबमिट करना चाहती हूं कि ऑर्डिनेंस पर बार-बार बात हो रही है, ऑर्डिनेंस पर चर्चा हो रही है। अब हम बिल पर चर्चा करने के लिए आपकी अनुमति मांग रहे हैं। यह बिल पेश हुआ है, बिल पेश होने के बाद वह ऑर्डिनेंस के रूप में नहीं, बल्कि नए बिल के रूप में आएगा। इसलिए यह चर्चा बिल पर ही है। ऑर्डिनेंस का प्वाइंट ऑफ व्यू लाना इन एडिशन हो सकता है, मगर अभी चर्चा बिल पर है। वरिष्ठ सदस्य श्री एन. के. प्रेमचन्द्र जी ने बहुत ही अहम मुद्दा उठाया है। He raised a very relevant point and I am duty-bound to answer. He wants to know whether the States were consulted as cooperatives are in the State List.

सर, मैं आपके द्वारा माननीय सदस्य को जवाब देना चाहती हूं। Consultation with States is required only for Union legislation on the items of Concurrent List. Those items which come in the Concurrent List require consultation with the State Governments. But here, this Bill is in exercise of the Union's legislative power under the Union List. We are not touching the Concurrent List. If I am touching the Concurrent List, yes, I have to do the consultation. But I am doing
it on a Union List item, Seventh Schedule read with Article 246. Therefore, consultation with the States is not necessary.

Then, it was said: “You presented a Bill during the Budget Session. You should have come here now. In between, what was the need to get an Ordinance?” Absolutely, there was a need for an Ordinance. Several Members have very nicely highlighted that because of COVID, the uncertainty being what it is, one was not even sure when the next Session of Parliament would be. In the meanwhile, the protection of the depositors is of critical importance. I am sure, many of the well-meaning hon. Members contacted me or the MoS about the depositors who were suffering in many of these co-operative banks. I myself have received the representation from the Guru Raghavendra Co-operative Bank in Bengaluru, the place where I live in Bengaluru. The constituency Member of Parliament, my Member of Parliament, my representative Member of Parliament, Tejasvi Surya spoke very well clearly explaining what is the difference. He and I have received representation from depositors in Guru Raghavendra Co-operative Bank, for whom also, like the PMC Bank, till today, there is no solution given. They are trying and trying and trying; and so were the Punjab and Maharashtra Co-operative Bank members who met me in Maharashtra in Mumbai. Several times after that, several eminent Members – Supriya Sule is one of them – have really, with a very heavy heart come to say: “Can you not get something done quickly?”. Even today, she has given a very heartfelt appeal. We do not
want such things to happen that hurt the depositors. We want to make sure that there is some kind of a provision with which the RBI can do it.

Here, much before I go into the details, I would like to recall because many of the Members have duly said that in this country the role of cooperatives is very important. They have done excellent work. We cannot undermine them. I am fully with you. We cannot undermine and we do not want to undermine. We certainly want to support the co-operative movement. But if the cooperatives are doing banking function, several eminent Committees have said, there is a need to regulate them. There is a need to regulate them so that it is much more professionally run and, professionally, the depositors are handled.

Again, I am sorry to refer to one Member so many times. When the deposit collection of a co-operative bank goes outside of its members, it has got to be brought in through a regulation. It has been explained by so many Members. Therefore, it is not as if we have forgotten the success story of the co-operative movement. In the last two decades, 430 co-operative banks have been delicensed and they have gone into liquidation. The banks which were delicensed have also not been able to sustain themselves and the supervisory authorities have had to take action. This is not to say they are not under stress. Cooperative banks are under stress. There are some banks
which are under stress but not a single commercial bank. दो दशक की इसी कालावधि में not a single commercial bank, whose depositors are protected by the banking laws to the full extent of the BR Act, has gone into liquidation. हम यह भी भूल नहीं सकते हैं। Therefore, while I acknowledge the important contribution that cooperative banks have done for this country and are still doing, it is necessary in the interest of the depositors and also the banks themselves that we need some banking laws also to extend to the cooperative banking activity.

हम कोऑपरेटिव सोसाइटी के बाकी कारोबार में कुछ नहीं कर रहे हैं, सिर्फ इस लिमिटेड परिधि में हम बैंकिंग में जो कर रहे हैं, उसके लिए ही यह अमेंडमेंट हम लेकर आए हैं।

I want to add one thing. I would like to quote the line from the Banking Laws (Application to Cooperative Societies) Bill. यह वर्ष 1964 में कहा गया था।

“The deposits and working funds of cooperative banks are now so large that the extension of the more important provisions of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 and of certain other allied provisions of the Reserve Bank of India to these banks will be in public interest.”

This was said in 1964. There is more said but I am not going into the details. So, we should remember that it is not as if suddenly, we have come up with something and that is being construed as if we are stepping into the terrain of the States. Not at all.

Why was this Ordinance brought in June? After the Budget Session, it had to come in because for the financial year 2019-20, the annual financial data was made available before June. When we saw the June data, it showed
clearly that there is an increase in the gross NPA ratio of the urban cooperative banks from 2018-19 it was seven per cent; it had come to 10 per cent.

So, to stress further, due to the coronavirus, we were worried that many of the cooperative banks would become fragile. So, we wanted to also make sure that this legislation is brought in.

Senior Member Shri M.K. Raghavan asked why we are asking these cooperative banks to drop the name ‘bank’. We are not asking them to drop their names. They can still continue to have the name ‘bank’ but function like a bank, govern like a bank and be sure that their depositors are not going to suffer like a bank. Therefore, for better governance, we need to have a banking regulation.

There were concerns about the Registrar of Cooperative Societies and also the role of the bank. About section 32 which Prof. Saugata Ray also said ‘that did you have a look at it’, yes, we have had a look at it. So, the incorporation and regulation are also being done by the Cooperative Society, cooperative banks, State Registrar of Cooperative Societies and powers are not being taken away. They are still doing what they are doing. We are not encroaching into their area.

There were a number of questions. यह पूछा गया कि क्या मेंबरशिप स्ट्रोकचर को बदलेंगे? इसमें मेंबरशिप स्ट्रोकचर को नहीं बदल रहे हैं। The State co-operative laws define that and it is going to continue like that. The State co-operative laws are not being touched under this present Bill. I know a lot of Members spoke about equal voting rights. The provision of equal voting rights for all members based
on the principle of one member, one vote remains unaffected. Let me be clear on that. I hope I make it very clear. यह वन मैबर, वन वोटिंग का प्रावधान अभी भी जारी है। We are not touching that.

Are you not overloading the RBI? This question has been repeatedly asked. I just want to make sure that we recall, for the benefit of this House, the preamble of the RBI Act, Section 45L(1). It says “Reserve Bank of India Act provides for the RBI and recognises the RBI as the statutory body and it is statutorily constituted to operate and empowered to regulate the credit system of the country to its advantage. एंटायर कंट्री के क्रेडिट सिस्टम को रेगुलेट करने की अधॊरिटी, as per the preamble of the RBI Act, is with the RBI. So, when we are bringing this Bill, our standards are that the Registrar of Co-operative Societies regulate the co-operative societies as a whole, while the RBI shall be regulating the banking activities of the co-operative societies only. So, the distinction is very clear.

Hon. Member, Benny Behanan from Kerala raised a lot of questions and said that the high-level committee had said something; and we are going against it. I just want to say that the high-powered Committee on Urban Co-operative Banks, 1999, which was chaired by a former Chief Secretary of the Government of Andhra Pradesh has very clearly made the recommendation. Of the several recommendations, I want to bring to your notice only three points very quickly. That Committee is of the opinion that the Reserve Bank of India should be vested with such a power; it is, therefore, recommending that Section 36AA—some Members did refer to that—of the Banking Regulation
Act, as applicable to the banking companies, may also be extended to the urban co-operative banks. That was one observation that Committee gave.

Again, another observation that Committee has given is that it recommends that Section 45 of the Banking Regulation Act should be suitably amended to extend to urban co-operative banks sub-sections 4, 5 and 6 and also all other provisions of Section 45 of the BR Act as are incidental to or connected with the carrying on banking business, which is what we are doing now. So, it is not suddenly a thought but it is something which has been suggested.

Sir, there were very many questions from several Members. Hon. Members namely, Pinaki Misra and Jayadev Galla, have all asked questions. I will just touch upon one or two of them. But given the consideration of time, I will just highlight one point for each of them. Jayadev Ji had raised the issue of the large exposure framework. The large exposure framework is issued by the RBI in exercise of its regulatory powers. As such, this already applies and it does not require any amendment through the Bill. So, that has to be a kind of clarification given. There were other points also. But I am not getting into the details. I may write a separate reply to the Members of Parliament on some specific details.

Sunita Duggal raised this question about land mortgage banks and whether it will apply to them. Simple, anywhere where ‘bank’ is being used, it will apply. Land mortgage banks use the word ‘bank’. Therefore, if they retain this word, they will be governed by this Act.
However, if they do not use the word ‘bank’ and restrict themselves to their mandate of ‘long-term agriculture development credit’, they will not come under the Bill’s purview as per the amendment that we have been talking about.

Hon. Member, Nusrat Jahan also talked about capital requirement. Capital is necessary for safety of the banks and the depositors. Unlike cooperative societies that do not do banking, cooperating banking societies need to have capital at least as per the regulatory minimum requirement in order to carry safe banking. Therefore, regulatory capital for banking includes not only share capital which has a high cost for the bank but also capital in the form of bonds, which are cheaper for the banks. Therefore, allowing cooperative banks the power to issue bonds will only be in the interests of the banks and also for the depositors.

Sir, I am almost finished. I just want to add one more thing. Dr. Jayakumar felt that as if the State Governments are being treated like tehsildars. Even a tehsildar will not be treated in the way he, the hon. Member, imagined under Modi’s Government. Everybody will be treated with respect.

In response to the way in which the Member spoke and said, ‘you are treating the State Governments like tehsildars’, I would like to say that we treat everybody with respect. After all the Prime Minister says about himself that he is a Pradhan Sewak. We do not undermine anybody. But yet if depositors are suffering, we have to stand with them.
I would like to say to Hon. Member Shri Jayakumar that we have not shown disregard for anyone; never talked ill of any one; rather we are doing this for the welfare of everyone. Therefore, you please don’t say that we are treating the States like Tahsildars. In a nation where the hon. Prime Minister is calling himself as a servant, the Government here will not show disrespect to anyone.*

Sir, finally, therefore, amendments to Sections 45, 56 and 3 are all required in the interests of the depositors. I would not want to take more of your time.

Thank you very much, Sir, and thank you all the hon. Members.

* ... * English translation of this part of the Speech originally delivered in Tamil.
श्री बालूभाऊ उर्फ सुरेश नारायण धानोरकर (चन्द्रपुर): धन्यवाद अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं आपके भाषण से माननीय मंत्री महोदय से दो प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हूं। ये प्रश्न महाराष्ट्र से संबंधित हैं। पीएमसी बैंक के डिपोजिट्स को उनका पैसा कब वापस मिलेगा? मंत्री महोदय ने यह अपने भाषण में स्पष्ट नहीं किया है। मेरा दृष्टर प्रश्न है कि पीएमसी बैंक के डिपोजिट्स के लिए यह बिल लाया गया है। मंत्री महोदय यह बताएं कि यह बिल लागू होने के बाद वह क्या कदम उठाएंगे ताकि डिपोजिट्स को उनका पैसा वापस मिल सके?

माननीय अध्यक्ष: प्रश्न यह है: 

“कि यह सभा राष्ट्रपति द्वारा 26 जून, 2020 को प्रक्षयापित बैंककारी विनियमन (संशोधन) अध्यादेश, 2020 (2020 का अध्यादेश संख्यांक 12) का निरस्तर करती है।”

प्रस्ताव अस्वीकृत हुआ।

...(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष: प्रश्न यह है:

“कि बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 का और संशोधन करने वाले विधेयक पर विचार किया जाए।”

प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत हुआ।

माननीय अध्यक्ष: अब सभा विधेयक पर खंडवार विचार करेगी।

खण्ड 2 नई धारा 3 का प्रतिस्थापन

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री टी. एन. प्रधान।

...(व्यवधान)
माननीय अध्यक्ष : श्री एन. के. प्रेमचन्द्रन, क्या आप संशोधन संख्या 2 और 3 प्रस्तुत करना चाहते हैं?

SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN (KOLLAM) : Sir, I am moving my amendments.

I beg to move:

“Page 2, line 10,--

*omit* “does not”. (2)

Page 2, line 11,--

*omit* “does not”. (3)

माननीय अध्यक्ष : अब मैं श्री एन. के. प्रेमचन्द्रन द्वारा खंड 2 में प्रस्तुत संशोधन संख्या 2 और 3 को सभा के समक्ष मतदान के लिए रखता हूं।

संशोधन मतदान के लिए रखे गए तथा अस्वीकृत हुए।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : प्रश्न यह है:

“कि खंड 2 विधेयक का अंग बने।”

प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत हुआ।

खंड 2 विधेयक में जोड़ दिया गया।

खंड 3   धारा 45 का संशोधन

माननीय अध्यक्ष : श्री टी. एन. प्रथापन।

...(व्यवधान)

माननीय अध्यक्ष : प्रश्न यह है:
“कि खण्ड 3 विधेयक का अंग बने।”

प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत हुआ।

खण्ड 3 विधेयक में जोड़ दिया गया।

खण्ड 4 धारा 56 का संशोधन

माननीय अध्यक्ष: श्री एन. के. प्रेमचन्द्रन, क्या आप संशोधन संख्या 5 से 9 को प्रस्तुत करना चाहते हैं?

SHRI N. K. PREMACHANDRAN: Sir, I am moving my amendments.

I beg to move:

“Page 3, line 17,--

for “no person”

substitute “a person”. (5)

Page 3, line 19,--

omit “not”. (6)

Page 3, lines 20 and 21,--

for “except to the extend and subject to such conditions as the Reserve Bank may specify in this behalf”

substitute “on application of the share holder”.

(7)

“Page 3, line 35,--
for "in consultation with the"

substitute "with the consent of". (8)

Page 3, lines 36 and 37,—

omit "seeking its comments, if any, within such period as the Reserve Bank may specify". (9)

Mānaniyā ādhyāt: Ab mē śhē ān. kē, prēmchandnā₀ dvara khánd 4 mē prastūṭ sāṃśoḍhyān sāṃṣṭya 5 sē 9 kō sambā kē sāṃśk hāṭadān kē līte rākṛta hōṁ | sāṃśoḍhyān hāṭadān kē līte rākṛ ṣe gā ṭē thā ṭāsvīkṛt hūṁ.

Mānaniyā ādhyāt: prāṣh yāh hē: "Kē khánd 4 viṅḍeṅk kā ṭāṅγ bāṅe!"

prastāva svāviṅḍit hūā. khánd 4 viṅḍeṅk mē jōḍ diya gāya. khánd 5 viṅḍeṅk mē jōḍ diya gāya.

khānd 1, aḍhiniṃnān sūṭ ṭā thā viṅḍeṅk kā pūṛa nāṃ viṅḍeṅk mē jōḍ diye gāye.

Mānaniyā ādhyāt: mānaniyā mṛnti jī, ab prastāva karē kē viṅḍeṅk pārīti kīya jāāye. 

SHRIMATI NIRMALA SITHARAMAN: I beg to move: "That the Bill be passed."
माननीय अध्यक्ष : प्रश्न यह है:

“कि विधेयक पारित किया जाए।”

प्रस्ताव स्थीरकृत हुआ।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : अब सभा की कार्यवाही गुरुवार, दिनांक 17 सितंबर, 2020 को तीन बजे तक के लिए स्थगित की जाती है।

19.27 hrs

The Lok Sabha then adjourned till Fifteen of the Clock on Thursday, September 17, 2020/Bhadrapada 26, 1942 (Saka)